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RED RUBBER

The standard of emancipation is now unfurled.
Let all the enemies of the persecuted blacks tremble
I will be as harsh as truth and as uncomprising as justice.
I am in earnest;
I will not equivocate,
I will not excuse,
I will not retreat a single inch:
And I will be heard. '!',..»
Posterity will bear testimony that I was right.

William Lloyd Garrison
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THE NATIONAL LABOUR PRESS. LTD.,
In the past four years there has been a steady demand for a new edition of "Red Rubber," provoked in part no-doubt by the attacks upon the Author for having—in conjunction with other pubh'c men—anticipated the constructive Peace programme of President Wilson by a couple of years; and for having, in his individual capacity, enunciated views as to the fundamental causes of the Great War of 1914-1918 which are now very widely held. Apart from this personal aspect of the case, the terrible catastrophe which fell upon Belgium, following so swiftly in the wake of the prolonged effort—in which a number of Belgians played a prominent and distinguished part—to free the Congo from the far more appalling visitations inflicted upon its people for twenty years, was a
dramatic historical episode calculated powerfully to affect the imagination, and to revive interest in the Congo tragedy.

It is now possible for me to comply with this demand and to complete a story unique in contemporary annals, the final incidents of which had still to be evolved when Red Rubber made its appearance.

I desire to add here the briefest of personal allusions. As everyone acquainted with the modern history of Africa is aware, it was not I, but the late Sir Charles Dilke, "Gwynn and Tuckwell's "Life of Sir Charles W. Dilke" may be usefully consulted. Vol. II., chapter liii. (John Mun'ay.)

AUTHOR'S PREFACE TO NEW EDITION

than whom aboriginal races have had no warmer or so competent an advocate among British statesmen since Burke, and the late Mr. H. K. Fox-Bourne, the devoted Secretary of the Aborigines Protection Society, who first called public attention to the crime of the Congo.

Some years after these initial steps had been taken and had failed to cause more than a momentary sensation, accident put me in the way of discovering what the so-called "Congo Free State" really was. The discovery was of such an extraordinary and unprecedented character that it was only after laborious investigation and after applying every measure then open to me of testing the accuracy of the facts I had acquired, that I ventured to launch the charges which in the course of the ensuing twelve years were so fully corroborated.

During the prolonged struggle which followed, it fell to my lot, as Hon. Secretary of the Congo Reform Association, to lead an agitation which eventually received the support of every section of the community, and the official endorsement of the Governments of Britain and of the United States. The agitation was finally successful in accomplishing its objects. "When the purpose for which the Congo Reform Association was formed had been achieved, the Association voluntarily dissolved. This event took place on June 16, 1913. Since that date I have had nothing to do with the affairs of the Congo.

King's Langley,
1919.
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AUTHOR'S ORIGINAL PREFACE

This book is written with the object of putting into the
hands of the British public, at a cost which places it within the reach of many, a brief and up-to-date narrative of the Congo tragedy, avoiding side issues, and dealing with the main features of the story.

Much of it will be new to all save those who have followed the Congo question as students, and even as regards the latter it is hoped that the cumulative force of recent revelations here presented in their natural sequence may lead to a even clearer perception of the problem.

A crisis in this history has arrived.

The Report of the Commission of Inquiry, wrung from King Leopold by the pressure of British public opinion, Professor Cattier's volume, and the five days' debate on these two publications which took place last February in the Belgian House of Representatives," have removed the last doubts which remained as to the accuracy of the charges publicly brought against the personal, uncontrolled, and unfettered management of the Congo territories by King Leopold.

Contention as to facts has disappeared. The controversial stage has, in that respect, gone for ever.

The truth, in all its international dangers, its greed, its

1 Translated by E. D. Morel. Published by the Congo Reform Association, price Is.

xliii.
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disordered ambitions, above everything in its horror, stands out naked.

Will the British Public, which in the ultimate resort has compelled exposure of a crime unparalleled in the annals of the world, compel the cessation of that crime?

It has the driving force to do so if it will.

E. D. MOREL.

Havovahdex, October, 1906.

SOME REVIEWS

If there are any who are not yet believers in the reality of the Congo Government's misdeeds, Mr. Morel's new book may be recommended as a certain means of conviction. To the author more j than to any man alive is due the ventilation of this crime against civilisation. He has fought a long uphill battle against apathy, misrepresentation and the power of an unscrupulous purse. And he has been successful. He has made Congo Reform a part of the sworn creed of many of our chief public men. — The Spectator.

It is an eloquent book and a ghastly book. It is one of the most tremendous indictments ever drawn up. It is one of the most lofty and impassioned appeals ever yet written for the salvation of a human race. — The Labour Leader.

It can safely be asserted that never in history has there been more fiendish cruelty, and the comparison with the declarations of the Powers when they first recognised the Congo flag, justifies all that Mr. Morel has here written. Mr. Morel's gallantry in flinging himself into the fight will never be forgotten so long as history records the iniquities of the Congo State. — Sir Charles Dilke in the Evening .Vewv.

Mr. Morel understands clearly the difficult position in which
Belgium finds herself in her relations with the Congo State. Annexation by Belgium appears to him the best solution because, as he says, it would free Belgium from an intolerable moral situation. — Le Peuple (Brussels).

The Story of the Congo, one of the most complicated and astonishing of modern times, is told by Mr. Morel with a force and sincerity which can scarcely fail to impress all who are anxious to appreciate the elements of the present situation. Mr. Morel's personal enthusiasm in unveiling the inner workings of the Congo question has already been rewarded by an almost unparalleled expression of feeling in all classes in this country. — Morning Post.

An indictment prodigous in its scope because of the scrupulous care in which dates, names and localities are given for the crimes recorded. — Journal de Geneve (Geneva).

Mr. Morel, unlike the Congo Government, publishes not only the conclusions to which his inquiry has led him, but also the evidence upon which they are based. He quotes all his authorities, and he gives chapter and verse for all his statements. — The Times.

The most appalling indictment of personal rapacity, cruelty, expropriation of life and labour, maladministration and tyrannical atrocity ever recorded on irrefutable proof against any one man in any country or in any age. Contention as to facts has disappeared. The truth in all its international dangers— the horror of it all— stand out naked. — Daily Mail.

SOME NEWSPAPER REVIEWS

The author gives us a mass of carefully-sifted facts, and the book constitutes a tremendously strong indictment of the system prevailing. — Westminster Gazette.

A terrible exposure of inhuman oppression and ghastly crime. — Globe.

"Red Rubber" places every reader in possession of the whole case. Every line of it is irrefragably buttressed. — Limerijool Daily Post.

Every fact in this volume has been placed beyond dispute by official investigation, and will thrill every human "soul with horror and indignation. — Lloyd's Newspaper.

Rubber black with promises broken before the Powers of Europe and the United States, rubber red with blood, rubber which should stink in the nostrils of the Englishmen who — to their shame — grow fat on the profits of shipping it home. — Daily Chronicle.

The detailed story of a grim tragedy. — Northern Daily Telegraph.

For years past Mr. Morel has been forcing this matter upon public attention, and in this book he summarises the whole awful story. — Yorkshire Weekly Post.

An impassioned and unanswerable indictment. — Daily Express.

The record of a stupendous crime against humanity. — The Inquirer.

We trust this book will succeed in rousing through all England the deep indignation that every page in it stirs up. — Morning Leader.

Seldom has a more terrible indictment been made against a Sovereign and a policy. — North Mail.

Among the most terrible — the most horrible — human and historical documents which have ever neen the light of day. — Daily Mirror.

Never has a crusade against man's inhumanity to man more loudly called for support. — Yorkshire Post.

This is a terrible indictment, but the proofs which Mr. Morel,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glasgow News</td>
<td>It is a story which should make every Christian hang his head for shame, and every European blush for our common humanity. — Justice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Church Chronicle</td>
<td>This is a story which should burn its way to the heart and conscience of the whole British nation, and cause such an irresistible wave of feeling to arise as shall once and for all put a stop to this awful business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Times</td>
<td>No such indictment, probably, has ever been made against a monarch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retjons Beyond</td>
<td>This is a book written by a strong man for strong men to act upon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Congregationalist</td>
<td>The story owes nothing to word painting. It is a grim, bare record of facts — facts that are all the more startling and impressive because they are set forth absolutely unadorned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodist Tivien</td>
<td>We have been alternately stirred and sickened by the terrible revelations contained in Mr. Morel's volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord</td>
<td>The fact that Mr. Morel writes from Hawarden reminds us of the great name and voice always raised against crushed humanity,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimsby News</td>
<td>and it is to be hoped this book will help in freeing the Congo from the Belgian curse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester Daily Dispatch</td>
<td>The publication of &quot;Red Rubber&quot; by E. D. Morel marks an epoch in the history of the terrible atrocities which for thirteen years have been perpetrated in Central Africa. Mr. Morel has laboured for six years to secure and to sift the evidence concerning well-nigh unbelievable barbarities, and to bring that evidence before responsible persons and Governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New Age</td>
<td>Mr. Morel wisely sees that the unutterable tortures and miseries of the natives are not the first point of attack. He has looked deeper, and from the first has endeavoured to show that &quot;given certain premises — the repudiation of native rights in the land and in the produce of the soil, and the destruction of trade as the basic factor in relationship between the European and the native in tropical Africa, of which this repudiation was the logical accompaniment — these deeds must of necessity take place. The last words deserve to be inwardly digested by all humanitarians.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Endeavour Times</td>
<td>&quot;Red Rubber&quot; condenses much of the valuable material Mr. Morel has given in his three larger books and voluminous writings. The book has been written in hours stolen from sleep and recreation and in the midst of vast correspondence and literary work. He is graphic, direct and simple in style, and the book abounds in passages of deep feeling and eloquent utterance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Whig</td>
<td>Mr. Morel's indictment is not a hysterical one. He formulates his charges and then brings forward evidence which sustains them.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is a tremendous book. - The Christian Commonwealth.

With deadly details, remorseless logic and flaming scorn Mr. Morel endeavours to awaken the British people to the sense of their responsibility. - Christian World.

The story of the iniquities perpetrated upon the Congo natives is told in all its horror in "Red Rubber" by Mr. Morel, who has so long and so courageously stood out as the champion of humanity against this vile oppression. - Bristol Times.

A damming indictment of King Leopold and his subordinates. - Nottingham Express.

Mr. E. D. Morel's volume will come as a revelation of things that had been deemed impossible. - The People.

Surely the issue of such a book as this must strike the death-knell of one of the most portentous abominations of iniquity the world has ever known. - Baptist Times

SOME PUBLISHED OPINIONS

I have read most things published in English on the Congo, but I know of nothing, not even your own other publications, which tells the horrible way in which the Congo has been governed by King Leopold so effectively as "Red Rubber." If it could be put in the hands of every man and woman in the country possessed of the tiniest particle of humane feeling it would have such an effect that Great Britain would be bound to recognise its responsibility for what is going on, and would be compelled to take some action to make itself clean, - Mr. J. Ramsay MacDonald.

No more powerful means of rousing the conscience of this country to demand the reform of unspeakable wrongs could be found than the circulation of this volume. - Dr. J. Scott Lidgett.

It is just the book to convince English people that the righteous indignation which is growing in this country at the oppression and "tyranny exercised in the Congo State, which England helped to erect, is not "without cause." - Bishop of Winchester.

I regard this book as a most valuable contribution to the problem of the Congo. - Sir T. Fowell Buxton (the late).

All men should read it through, for it gives clearly and distinctly - chapter and verse - witness for every atrocious act, and brings home the special responsibility which rests upon Great Britain. - Bishop of London.

The story it contains will light the flame of indignation in every heart that cares for the well-being of humanity, irrespective of race and colour, and its widespread circulation cannot fail to stir up public feeling in such a way as to press upon the Government the need of immediate and resolute action. - Sir George White (the late).

This is a positive marvel. I shall be exceedingly surprised if 't does not make the greatest stir of anything you have written. What strikes me most is the crushingly convincing manner of the compilation. My feeling is that it is the cony de grace. - Mr. John 31. Harris.

"Red Rubber" is sane, strong, masterly in its marshalling of facts, figures and arguments, and throbbing with noble emotion. Buy it, read it, circulate it! - Rev. F. B. Meter.

It will further the great cause immensely. - Dr. GLirFORD.

CONTENTS
"How came England to be mixed up in this Congo business?"

"How did King Leopold come to hold the position he now does?"

"How is it that all this oppression and atrocity has only begun to be realised within a comparatively recent period by the general public?"

Those are three questions which are constantly being asked us. Detailed answers to the first two are to be found in one or two publications. They will be re-stated here. The answer to the third question is a more difficult and a somewhat delicate one to handle, but as a great deal of misconception exists on the subject, misconception which has done harm to the cause of the Congo natives here at
home, and especially abroad, it seems advisable to deal with it frankly and at once, not from the standpoint of the critic, but from that of the recorder of facts.

People who suppose that the atrocities of King Leopold's African enterprise are a relatively new phase in the history of that enterprise are mistaken; but the mistake is natural. Those atrocities have been recorded in one unbroken stream since 1892, and even earlier, but they have not, in the main, been publicly accessible until recently. Slowly have they emerged into the light, some are still coming out, others continue to be hidden. Nothing even approximating to the whole truth will ever be known. The reasons for this are various.

Parliamentary apathy, comprehensible from the absence of information. — Sir Charles Dilke, who, as every one knows, takes a deep interest in the welfare of the African races, brought the general treatment of those races, and especially the Congo races, before Parliament in April, 1897. He suggested an International Conference, and

1 Sir Charles Dilke died on January 26, 1911.
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was supported by Mr. Sydney Buxton, Sir George Baden-Powell, and Mr. John Dillon. From then to the great debate in May, 1903 — a space of six years I cannot find that the Congo was mentioned in Parliament, otherwise than by some chance and rare question and answer.

The attitude of the British Government. — In that interval the British Government received a number of reports from British officials and officers in, or adjacent to, the Congo, both as regards the general treatment of the natives of the country, and as regards the treatment of British coloured subjects employed in different capacities on the Congo. So numerous were the latter reports that a year previous to Sir Charles Dilke's early initiative, Mr. Chamberlain, replying to Mr. J. A. Pease, stated in the House that he had prohibited the recruiting of labourers by King Leopold's agents in the British West African Colonies. The nature of the reports may be gauged from Mr. Chamberlain's own words: "Complaints have been received of these British subjects having been employed without their consent as soldiers, and of their having been cruelly flogged and in some cases shot." I have been told, and I believe the statement is true, that Mr. Chamberlain, as a consequence of the reported nature of these reports, did his utmost to induce the Cabinet, but without success, to assume the rights of extra-territoriality on the Congo secured to Great Britain under the Convention with King Leopold of 1884. In its Note to the Powers of August 8, 1903 — arising out of the resolution passed by Parliament in the May debate — the Government referred to both classes of reports. The Note says: "Moreover information which has reached His Majesty's Government from British officers in territory adjacent to that of the State tends to show that, notwithstanding the obligations accepted under Article II. of the Berlin Act, no attempt at any administration of the natives is made, and that officers of the Government do not apparently concern themselves with such work, but devote all their energy to the collection of revenue. The natives are left entirely to themselves, so far as any assistance in their government* or in their affairs is concerned. The Congo stations are shunned, the only natives seen being soldiers, prisoners, and men who are brought in to

^ That is to say, assistance in their own internal administration. — Vide Section iv., pt i="
The neighbourhood of stations which are known to have been populous a few years ago is now uninhabited, and emigration on a large scale takes place to the territory of neighbouring States, the natives usually averring that they are driven away from their homes by the tyranny and exaction of the soldiers. In connection with British coloured subjects the Note, after referring to the "disadvantage" under which "His Majesty's Government have further laboured," owing to the fact that "British interests have not justified the maintenance of a large Consular Staff in the Congo territories," goes on to state that a Consul "of wide African experience" (Mr. Casement) was appointed to "reside permanently in the State," but that "his time had been principally occupied in the investigation of complaints preferred by British subjects," and that he had not been able, therefore, to travel in the interior for the purpose of ascertaining the general condition of the natives of the country. Mr. Casement's advice, the Note proceeds, disclosed, in connection with these complaints, "examples of grave maladministration and ill-treatment," occurring "in the immediate vicinity of Boma, the seat of the Central Staff."

The whole of these official reports were suppressed "cock, stock, and barrel, and they have never been made public, although Mr. Alfred Emmott pressed for their production in the Parliamentary debate of 1904. The British Government contented itself with making private representations to King Leopold through H.M. Minister in Brussels, the farcical "Commission for the protection of the natives," and sundry bogus "judicial reforms," coupled with an intensified period of oppression, being the sole results.

The Silence of the Missionary Societies. — As will be shown in Section II of this volume, there has been accumulating in the decade 1892-1902 in these Protestant mission stations of the Upper Congo, record of a comprehensive and appalling character. Enough information was available to have stoned every religious platform in this country. The Home Executives of the Missionary Societies took no public action, however, and

I should say here that Mr. Alfred Emmott and Mr. Herbert Samuel have rendered the greatest services to the cause of the Congo natives. Humanity owes them a debt of gratitude.

For many years one Congo missionary, and one only, dared to confront with the righteous indignation of a spirit stung to passionate anger by the fearful evidence of his own eyes, King Leopold's agents in Africa, and King Leopold himself in Europe. He was a Swede. His name was Sjoblom, and he stands out an apostolic figure of those earlier days. His pendant of later times in energy and determination is John Harris (and Mrs. Harris) of whose courage in Africa and self sacrifice in Europe it) would be impossible to speak too highly. Two other missionaries followed in Sjoblom's footsteps, a Virginian and an Irish-American. With those three exceptions no missionary appears to have given expression to his experiences in a form available to the general public, until October, 1903, when Mr. J. H. Weeks, with whom I had come in touch through a mutual friend, sent me the first of his powerful communications. A number complained locally to the officials, and did, and have always done, all they could do for the natives. The Home Executives, or some of them, made private representations to King Leopold. So far as the Roman Catholic missions are concerned, neither the Home Executives nor the missionaries on the field made any public statement until this year, after the publication of the Report of the Commission of Inquiry. We know now that some
of the Roman Catholic missionaries, like some of their Protestant brethren, complained locally to the officials. The Home Executives may have made representations to the King. From the end of 1903 when the testimony of British and American missionaries became continuous, detailed, and insistent, the organs of the Roman Catholic Missions - and the Roman Catholic religious press, generally - attacked the former with great bitterness. This attitude was dictated by the Vatican direct, doubt-

1 That great pressure was brought to bear upon the Roman Catholic Missionaries to keep silence is not, I think, doubtful. Speaking in the Belgian House in March last. M. Coifs, a Catholic Member of Parliament, said: "Our missionaries are expected to keep silence. As the Bien Public has so well put it, optimistic statements are alone tolerated from them. There is, therefore, a gag. The gag is only placed in the mouths of Belgian missionaries, and it was to ensure this result that the Congo State urged the Vatican to agree that Catholic evangelisation on the Congo should be confined exclusively to Belgium." This utterance is the more notable since M. Coifs was the spokesman in the debate of the religious missions.
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less under the influence of King Leopold's abjuree that the British movement disguised an attack upon the Roman Catholic Church, a legend which the King's agents were particularly active in propagating throughout the Roman Catholic world in the United States. This attitude was maintained until the appearance of the Report of the Commission, when it underwent a complete change, at least as regards the Belgian religious orders and organs. I have said that this is not a criticism but a statement of fact, and I pass no opinion on the silence thus observed either in defence or stricture, contending myself with the remark that - as in the case of the British Government - it delayed by many years the manifestation of the truth.

King Leopold's Active Opponents. - Until the Parliamentary debate of May, 1903 found all political parties so impressed with unofficial testimony and exposition, as to be united in demanding from the British Government a definite invitation to the Powers for the convocation of an International Conference, the active opponents of the existing regime on the Congo were to all intents and purposes the Aborigines Protection Society and myself. Who says Aborigines Protection Society, says Mr. H. R. Fox Bourne. So that there were only two men really to reckon with. When Mr. Fox Bourne, under the auspices of his Society, organized a public meeting - as at the Mansion House in 1902, and to hear the American missionary, Morrison, in 1903 - he could always count upon Sir Charles Dilke (whose pen was not inactive in the cause) and other distinguished members of the Society. But the persistent hammering at the public, without which no movement can hope to make headway, and indispensable individual proselytising - this was left

1 When in the fall of 1904 I visited the United States with the dual mission of addressing the International Peace Conference at Boston on the Congo question, and presenting a Memorial to President Roosevelt signed by a number of public bodiea and influential public men (which mission I carried out) I found myself - greatly to my astonishment - opposed by Cardinal Gibbons, head of the Roman Catholic Church in that country. The open correspondence which passed between his Eminence and myself is published in the official organ of the Congo Reform Association for November, 1904

3 The Italian Government also possesses an enormous number of reports from its officers in the Congo army, but they are of more recent date. The German, French, Danish, and Swedish Governments also possess reports.
almost entirely to Mr. Fox Bourne and myself; Mr. Fox Bourne a long way ahead in point of time, for I only came on the scene in 1899 or 1900, while he, tired of making representations to King Leopold, had approached the British Government in the name of his Society as far back as 1896. Mutually convinced of one another's integrity of purpose, but working on wholly independent and slightly different lines, we were terribly handicapped. The name of Fox Bourne is synonymous with unselfish devotion on behalf of subject races which cannot protect themselves, but I shall not, I feel sure, be causing offence if I submit that the Aborigines Protection Society is not a public body in the enjoyment of very wide popular support. It is respected by a number, and disliked probably by a much larger number. As for myself, I was known only in a restricted circle, through occasional signed articles on African questions which I used at that time to contribute to the Pall Mall Gazette chiefly. The odds were, therefore, severe. We had against us a King who was a multi-millionaire, with a then misguided nation at his back and all that this implies, and a Government at home which did not want to be bothered, whose policy had been a policy of silence. It was perfectly natural for them to approach the terrible charges launched at the Congo State with a scepticism, proof against all but the most overwhelming demonstration. That scepticism had to be overcome and that demonstration made step by step, by slow, laborious, and painful degrees, while the forces at work to stop it grew in activity and unscrupulousness with its progression. The marvel is that headway was made at all. That success attended these efforts is owing in the main to the British Press, for whose support I have been personally indebted beyond words, especially when the campaign of charges, innuendo, and vilification against myself was set on foot by King Leopold's Press Bureau, and editorial offices were flooded with the most extraordinary fabrications concerning a humble and unknown individual, dragged by the force of circumstances into a notoriety which was anything but welcome.^

Consul Casement's famous report published early in 1904, and the mass of missionary evidence which was then coming to hand suggested to my mind the formation of an association which should concentrate its energies upon one direct and simple issue — that of thrusting the Congo question to the front rank among international problems in urgent need of solution — and which could on those lines not only combine all individual effort, but appeal to a wide public on a platform divorced from politics, creed, or even nationality. This association came into being^ with Earl Beauchamp as its first president, in April, 1904.

This plain and unvarnished recapitulation of events will, I venture to hope, suffice, with the summarised evidence in Section II., to clear up some points which have remained obscure to the majority.

1 I was the head of, or the agent of, "a syndicate of rubber merchants," jealous because the rubber from the Congo went to Antwerp instead of Liverpool: the tool of the British Government which masked territorial ambition behind my agitation; a vulgar
adventurer with a shady past seeking notoriety; the possessor of large sums of money wherewith I bribed witnesses to manufacture stories of atrocity; an unsuccessful blackmailer, etc., etc.

2 Mr. Alfred Ennnot, Mr. John Holt, Dr. Guinness (Head of the Congo Balolo Mission), and two other personal friends gave me their early and invaluable assistance.

II

WHAT BRITAIN DID

"As to the question of the natives, the whole anti-slavery world had been swindled by the administration of the Congo Free State."


I NOW propose to deal as briefly as the subject permits with the first two questions placed at the head of the last chapter.

In the sixties and seventies of the last century Central Africa, which had been a closed book to the world, became the scene of notable exploring feats which excited in the highest degree the scientific, commercial and political interest of the Western Powers. To the scientist in geographical and ethnological research an immense field for activity loomed upon the horizon. To the commercial nations was suddenly revealed enormous possibilities in the creation of new markets, and that revelation was accompanied by a desire, especially among the Protectionist Powers, to acquire as much of the African Eldorado as possible as an outlet for their own manufactures.

This desire led to what has been termed the "scramble for Africa." England, France, and Portugal were owners of African territory already: Germany and Italy became attracted by the African magnet, King Leopold II., constitutional monarch of Belgium, which since 1831 had become a separate kingdom, owing primarily to the action of Great Britain, who led the way in recognising the series of events resulting in the secession of the Southern Provinces of the Netherlands from Holland.

King Leopold's imperialist tendencies were at that time regarded without approval by the Belgian people.

Of all the exploring feats which had caused the Western world to focus its gaze upon Central Africa, Stanley's discovery of the Congo was the most sensational, and in that direction King Leopold bent his steps. He formed a Company styled the "International African Association" and sent several investigating expeditions at his own expense into the Congo region, mostly commanded by Englishmen and Germans, taking particular care to assure the world that his intentions were purely scientific and severely disinterested.

France despatched de Brazza to the Congo region on a political mission of a definite character, and Portugal revived her historical claims to the territory lying behind her possession of Angola.

King Leopold's plans were not nearly so altruistic as he professed, and fearing that they would be checkmated either by France or by Portugal, he appealed privately to England for support.

What was the position of the King's "International
African Association" at that period? It was a private enterprise anxious to secure international sympathies and calling itself "international" to that end, whose Managing-Director was nursing political and other ambitions. From the standpoint of international law it had no status whatever.

While conducting "a long private correspondence with Lord Granville," working American opinion through Mr. Henry Sanford, United States Minister at Brussels, and canvassing by various means the different European Courts, King Leopold was, meanwhile, posing before the world as the self-appointed philanthropist and saviour of the African race. He proposed to convert his Association into a "State" with "freedom" as its watchword, thus providing a neutral field for the legitimate activity of all commercial nations, whence rivalry should be de facto excluded, and where the native would benefit by the blessings of even-handed justice, and good government. He repudiated with scorn the very notion of pursuing material ends, either for himself, or for Belgium (which, in point of fact, continued to view these schemes on the part of her Monarch with distaste and apprehension).

So admirably did the King play his cards that public opinion was captivated.

The King captured the British Chambers of Commerce by declaring that if the British commercial community supported his proposals) the Congo trade would be free to all the world, and would be exempt from such irritating restrictions as, for instance, characterise Portuguese fiscal policy. The Chambers "plumped" for King Leopold.

He captured the Protestant Missionary Societies of England and the United States by his fervid philanthropic protestations, and his promise to give every conceivable support to their propaganda. The Protestant Missionary Societies "plumped" for King Leopold.

He captured the Aborigines Protection Society, of which he became a member, and the philanthropic world of Great Britain — entire.

What was the attitude of the British Government?

"Sir Robert Morier had some years before submitted a scheme to Lord Beaconsfield to place the Congo River under some form of international control on the model of the Danube Navigation Commission." According to this scheme Great Britain was to recognise the claims of Portugal northwards from Ambriz to the southern bank of the Congo, while the northern bank was to become British. 2 Lord Beaconsfield did not favour it, and when (in 1875) Consul Lieutenant Cameron issued a proclamation on his own initiative taking possession of the basin of the Congo, his action was repudiated by Lord Carnarvon. Portugal, whose explorers had discovered the Lower Congo in the fifteenth century, which had spent large sums in the coastal regions north and south of the river, was the only power which, historically speaking, could lay claim to political rights in the Congo basin. She was our old ally, and she was pressing ardently for British support. The British Cabinet entertained the greatest objection to the placing of protectionist France — with her hostile tariffs directed at British trade — in control of the mighty Congo basin, and Lord Granville did not believe in King Leopold. Hence a friendly ear was turned to the Portuguese proposals. Mr. Gladstone wrote to Lord Granville
WHAT BRITAIN DID

Those proposals were that Great Britain should recognise the sovereignty of Portugal on both banks of the river up to a certain limit inland, and to draw an interior line which, without expressly limiting Portuguese sovereignty for ever in those regions, would put an end to the indefinite extension of her ancient claims; leaving the interior to be dealt with by conventions from time to time. It was proposed to declare the river open to the trade of the world, and to place it under an Anglo-Portuguese Navigation Commission, to which the accession, of the Great Powers would be welcome.

The proposals were accepted, clauses were introduced protecting international trade against exaggerated tariffs, protecting religious teaching of whatever denomination, and the rights of the native chiefs of the Coast who had concluded treaties with British Consuls and merchants: and the Treaty was signed.

But King Leopold had not been playing to the gallery for nothing. The Treaty was denounced by the British Chambers of Commerce and by the British philanthropic world. The British Government was accused of betraying national interests. The Portuguese Government was accused by its subjects of a similar crime. France, encouraged by the clamour in England, fanned into stronger flame by Stanley's impassioned diatribes, took up an attitude of resolute hostility, and Bismarck, who in a fit of spleen had flung himself into competition with England on the Dark Continent, and who desired on the other hand to keep French eyes from the Rhenish frontier, was only too glad to kill two birds with one stone by administering a sly kick at the Anglo-Portuguese instrument.

France was now, seemingly, the mistress of the situation, and Central Africa ran the risk — so thought the British Government — of becoming a French preserve whence foreign trade would be barred. This Great Britain wished to prevent. King Leopold quickly realised the danger from his point of view, and Stanley, acting on his behalf, renewed the advances previously made to Lord Granville.

The only course left open to the British Government was to support the King's enterprise, but mistrusting the scheme and foreseeing its dangers, Lord Granville deter-
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mired "to bind down the new State by conditions as stringent as those in the defunct Anglo-Portuguese Treaty, to secure freedom of trade and the protection of the natives."

Bismarck's proposal for an International Conference on West African affairs was assented to.

1 "Life of Earl Granville." By Lord FitzMaurice. 1906.
THE INTERNATIONAL AFRICAN ASSOCIATION.

"Recognition was accorded not to the Congo State, but to an association professing an international character, and proclaiming before the world as the object of its being not the accumulation of rubber at an infinite cost of human life and suffering, but the protection and civilisation of the natives of Africa." — Lord Percy, 1904.

On October 21, 1884, Stanley, on behalf of King Leopold, communicated to the British public a manifesto on behalf of the "International African Association."

It is a long document. In it the Association states that its "sole object" is to "enable commerce to follow the Association's advance into inner equatorial Africa," and announces that the sympathy and recognition of the Government of the United States have been secured on these grounds. Throughout the Congo "States" over which the Association will exercise supervision, the European merchant may "freely enter into commercial negotiation with the natives"; *absolute freedom of trade is ensured." The Association proposes to govern these native "States" on the Congo "on the principles of law recognised by civilised nations," and upon "philanthropic principles." Its aim is to "civilise Africa by encouragement given to legitimate trade." The Congo region is therein said to abound "in produce of various kinds now lost to the world," but which, "thanks to trade," "will enter into circulation." The natives of the Congo "States" "will be enriched thereby because, thanks to European commercial activities which the Association's policy, by granting to them encouragement and protection, intends to promote, they will receive European merchandise in exchange for the produce of their country. "Thanks to trade"...the counterpart of its value" — that is the value of the produce collected by the natives — "will return to Africa, for which it will prove a source of prosperity." So anxious is the Association that nothing shall be allowed to restrict in any way whatsoever the development of trading relations between the white man and the natives on the Congo, that it will not even impose customs dues on European merchandise entering the country, believing such to be restrictive, a doctrine which "is also that of Richard Cobden and John Bright." The document concludes with the assurance that "the Association will never part with any of its possessions without stipulating that the buyer shall maintain the absolute freedom of trade and the complete individual liberty of trade which it has established."

On December 15, 1884, "Declarations" were exchanged between the British Government and the Association. The declaration of the Association opens as follows:

"The International Association of the Congo, founded by His Majesty the King of the Belgians for the purpose of promoting the civilisation and commerce of Africa and for other humane and benevolent purposes, hereby declares..." The declaration thereupon sets forth that by treaties with certain native rulers, "legitimate Sovereigns," it has established and is establishing "free States" in the Congo region, whose administration by virtue of these treaties "is vested in the Association," that foreigners will be guaranteed in the "free exercise of their religion, the rights of navigation, commerce, and industry, and the right of buying, selling," etc.; that everything possible will be done "to prevent the slave trade and suppress slavery."
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The declaration of the British Government is laconic, and to the point:—

"The Government of Her Britannic Majesty declare their sympathy with, and approval of, the humane and benevolent purposes of the Association, and hereby recognise the flag of the Association, and of the free States under its administration, as the flag of a friendly Government."

On the same date a Convention was signed between the British Government and the Association. It consists of ten Articles, of which the most important are the second, fifth, and tenth, which read respectively as follows:—

Article II. — "British subjects shall have at all times the right of sojourning and of establishing themselves within the territories which are or shall be under the government of the said Association. They shall enjoy the same protection which is accorded to the subjects or citizens of the most favoured nation in all matters which regard their persons, their property, the free exercise of their religion, and the rights of navigation, commerce, and industry. Especially they shall have the right of buying, of selling, or letting and of hiring lands, and buildings, mines, and forests, situated within the said territories, and of founding houses of commerce, and of carrying on commerce and a coasting trade under the British flag."

Article V. — "Every British Consul or Consular officer within the said territories who shall be thereunto duly authorised by Her Britannic Majesty's Government, may hold a Consular Court for the district assigned to him, and shall exercise sole and exclusive jurisdiction, both civil and criminal, over the persons and property of British subjects within the same, in accordance with British law."

Article X. — "In case of the Association being desirous to cede any portion of the territory now or hereafter under its government, it shall not cede it otherwise than as subject to all the engagements contracted by the Association under this Convention. Those engagements, and the rights thereby accorded to British subjects, shall continue to be in vigour after everycession made to any new occupant of any portion of the said territory."

The great "West African Conference" opened its sitting "in the name of Almighty God" at Berûn on November 25, 1884. It closed them on February 26, 1885. Fourteen Powers were represented. Count Bismarck began his opening speech with these words:—

"In conversing this Conference, the Imperial Government has been guided by the conviction that all the Governments invited share the desire of civilising the natives of Africa by opening the interior of that Continent to trade."

He defined the programme of the Conference as limited "to the freedom of trade in the Basin of the Congo and its mouth."

Sir Edward Malet, the British representative who spoke immediately afterwards, read a long address, in the course of which he said:—

"I cannot forget that the natives are not represented among us, and that the decisions of the Conference will, nevertheless, have an extreme importance for them. The principle which will command the sympathy and support of Her Majesty's Government will be that of the advancement of legitimate commerce, with security for the equality of treatment of all nations, and for the well-being of the native races."

Throughout the discussion which took place before the final drafting and signature of the Act, we find the British...
delegate constantly making suggestions on behalf of the
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natives, in regard to their freedom in commercial matters, in regard to slavery and the slave-trade, in regard to the importation of alcoholic hquor.

A perusal of these discussions shows that in accordance with the inaugural statement of the President, all the delegates were at one in considering the freedom of the natives to trade as the primary guarantee of their collective and individual liberty, their principal safeguard, against oppression and injustice. Baron Lambermont, the senior Belgian delegate, opined that this freedom in commercial transactions would prove in itself to be an impediment "to the temptation of imposing abusive taxes"; Baron "e Courcel, the senior French delegate, was emphatic as to the need of guarding against the fundamental vice of sixteenth-century colonisation, which looked upon native peoples in the light of suppliers of revenue for a European metropolis. Count Laimay, the delegate for Italy, was anxious to secure that freedom of trade should be protected from interference not for a specified period of years, but for all time. Herr Woermann — the great West African shipowner and merchant of Hamburg — one of the experts consulted by the Conference, explained the nature of West African trade, e.g., the barter of forest or agricultural produce by the native owners and gatherers of such, for imported European merchandise. A special committee was appointed by the Conference to prepare a report on the subject", and this report, signed by the delegates of Belgium and France, was submitted to the Conference and adopted. All monopolies, or exclusive privileges in matters of trade, were prohibited: the words " "monopoly" and 'privilege " were analysed etymologically. In short, every conceivable precaution was taken to ensure Lord Granville's determination that "freedom of trade and the protection of the natives " should be secured throughout the Congo valley.

The last sitting but one of the Conference, on February 28, was noteworthy. The President opened it by reading out to the assembled delegates the contents of a letter communicated to him by the representative of King Leopold, in which the writer — Colonel Strauch — after notifying to the President in the name of the King of the Belgians that the International Association had concluded separate conventions with the delegates of all the Powers represented at the Conference save one, went on to say : —
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"The meetings and deliberations of the distinguished Assembly sitting at Berlin under your high presidency, have materially contributed to hasten this happy result. The Conference to which it is my duty to render homage, would, I venture to hope, consider the accession of a Power whose exclusive mission is to introduce civilisation and trade into the centre of Africa, as a further pledge of the fruits which its important labour must produce."

Then ensued a pathetic scene; the delegates, figuratively-speaking, fell upon each other's necks and wept with emotion. "The new State," declared Baron de Courcel (France), "has been dedicated to the exercise of every liberty." Sir Edward Malet (England) followed with a panegyrick of King Leopold.

"'The whole world," exclaimed Count Launay (Italy), "can but testify to its sympathy and its encouragement for this civilising and humanitarian work which honours the nineteenth century, and from which the general interests of humanity benefit and will
continue increasingly to benefit."

The Count of Banomar (Spain) shared the views of Count Launay as to the "humane and civilising work of His Majesty the King of the Belgians," and likewise M. de Vind (Denmark), and the representative of Sweden and Norway. M. Sanford (Ireland) rendered "homage to this great civilising work." Count van der Straeten-Ponthoz (Belgium) was grateful. He added:

"The Belgian Government and nation will adhere, therefore, with gratitude to the labours of this High Assembly, and thanks to which the existence of the new State is henceforth assured while principles have been laid down from which the general interests of humanity will profit."

The general Act of this West African Conference as agreed to, provides (Article I.) that:

"The trade of all nations shall enjoy complete freedom."

Article V. - "No Power which exercises or shall exercise sovereign rights in the above-mentioned regions shall be allowed to grant therein a monopoly or favour of any kind in matters of trade. Foreigners without distinction shall enjoy protection of their persons and property, as well as the right of acquiring and transferring movable and immovable possessions; and national rights and treatment in the exercise of their professions."

Article VI. - "All the Powers exercising sovereign rights or influence in the aforesaid territories bind themselves to watch over the preservation of the native tribes, and to care for the improvement of the condition of their moral and material well-being, and to help in suppressing slavery, and especially the Slave Trade.
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They shall, without distinction of creed or nation, protect and favour all religious, scientific, or charitable institutions, and undertakings created and organised for the above ends, or which aim at instructing the natives and bringing home to them the blessings of civilisation.

'Christian missionaries, scientists, and explorers, with their followers, property and collections, shall likewise be the objects of especial protection.

"Freedom of conscience and religious toleration are expressly guaranteed to the natives, no less than to subjects and foreigners. The free and public exercise of all forms of Divine worship, and the right to build edifices for religious purposes, and to organise religious missions belonging to all creeds, shall not be limited or fettered in any way whatsoever."

Articles XIII. to XXV. deal with the navigation of the Congo, of which more anon.

On August 1, 1885, King Leopold notified the Signatory Powers that the International Association would be henceforth known as the "Congo Free State," and himself as Sovereign of that "State."

Let us summarise these facts:

I. Sir Robert Morier proposes to Lord Beaconsfield that "the regime of the Congo should form a leading chapter in a large settlement of African affairs." One feature of this scheme is that the river "be placed under some form of international control." Lord Beaconsfield rejects the idea and Lord Carnarvon repudiates Consul Cameron's proclamation taking possession of the Congo basin in the name of Great Britain.

II. Stanley's discoveries of the mighty fluvial system of the Congo bent all eyes towards Central Africa.

III. The King of the Belgians founds an International Association ostensibly to promote "civilisation and
IV. France and Portugal take alarm and put forward political claims in that direction.

V. King Leopold, fearing for his enterprise, which has already begun to assume a political and - we may presume by subsequent events - financial complexion, appeals to the British Government privately for support.

VI. Portugal appeals to Great Britain likewise. She proposes that the river Congo shall be thrown open to the trade of the whole world, that the river itself shall be placed under an Anglo-Portuguese River Commission to

1 " The Life of Lord Granville." Lord FitzMaurice.
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VII. King Leopold's scheme is not trusted by the British Government, which favours the Portuguese proposal, and Mr. Gladstone recommends agreement while making it clear that England has no intention of securing any exclusive advantage for herself.

VIII. King Leopold is, meanwhile, making desperate efforts to capture British public opinion and to influence it against the Anglo-Portuguese treaty. To the philanthropic section of the British public he represents his enterprise as a great humanitarian undertaking. To the commercial world of Great Britain he describes its main purpose as securing for ever Central Africa to commercial liberty, free from vexatious imports and tariffs. He succeeds in raising a storm of opposition in England against the Anglo-Portuguese Treaty.

IX. Germany is in a grumbling mood, and France, encouraged by the home opposition in England, protests against the treaty.

X. The British Government in view of these attacks at home and abroad abandons the Treaty with Portugal and henceforth supports King Leopold's scheme, for the same reason which led it to support Portugal, but, still mistrusting the king's intentions, determines that stringent conditions for the good treatment of natives and the freedom of commerce shall be secured.

XL Agrees to participate in an International West African Conference suggested by Bismarck to settle the question.

XIL Exchanges declaration and signs a Convention with King Leopold's Association on the lines above indicated.

XIII Takes a leading part in the Conference at Berlin which results in freedom of commerce, prohibition of monopoly or privilege, and just treatment of the natives being solemnly proclaimed.

A good many morals might be drawn from this record, but it will suffice to accentuate three conclusions, and these are :

A. King Leopold's " International Association " would have dissolved into thin air but for the separate and collective action of the Powers in allowing it to blossom from a private undertaking into a great free area under
the trusteeship of the Sovereign of a small neutral European State.

B. Without British sanction, co-operation, and assistance, no such arrangement could possibly have been arrived at.

C. But for the influence exercised by King Leopold and his agents upon British public opinion, the British Government viould never have given its sanction to the arrangement.

IV.
A PROGRAMME IN THREE PARTS.

"It appears to me that the facts I have stated afford amply sufficient proof of the spirit which animates the Belgian administration, if indeed administration it can be called." — Lord Cromer, White Book, Africa, No. 1, 1904.

The first five years of the "Congo Free State's" existence were devoted by King Leopold to the maturing of the vast financial, military, and political programme he had been caring for — as must now be clear to the average intellect — if not from the very commencement of his undertaking, at least very shortly after its inauguration. There were many things to accomplish in the interval.

One of the most important was the establishment on a solid foundation of the claim to philanthropic motive, which later on might be contested by pestilent critics, animated, of course, with the most unworthy motives. Large drafts were therefore made upon public credulity, and the resource displayed in this regard was elevated to a fine art. Royal decrees, laws, and regulations, followed in rapid succession, breathing the very quintessence of philanthropy. Those which breathed another sort of essence, the King, as we shall see in a moment, thoughtfully refrained from publishing. Friendly relations were established with the unspeakable Arab whose ill-deeds were shortly to be trumpeted all over the world. Very great activity was displayed in despatching, exploring, and reconnoitring expeditions throughout the country.

Yet, towards the end of this first period of King Leopold's enterprise, ugly rumours were already gaining currency. A policy of veiled antagonism had been set on foot in the Lower Congo towards European merchants there settled. Trade instead of being encouraged was in process of being throttled by heavy taxation. A decree was issued claiming all "vacant lands" as the property of the State; subsequent decrees whittling down the rights of the native to the area upon which his hut was built, or his cultivated patch of farm land. Another decree prohibited the hunting of the elephant throughout the whole extent of the State's territory (three-fourths of which had not at that time been trodden by the white man's foot) without special permission, special permission being withheld; yet another decree prohibited the trade in India rubber and gum copal — e.g., in the only articles except ivory, in which it was impossible to trade — in the Aruwimi district, and so on. More astonishing than aught else, perhaps, was the feverish haste with which a large body of troops recruited from the most savage tribes in the Upper Congo was being raised and equipped with modern rifles of precision. By 1889, 2,200 regulars had been recruited. An official report of that year foreshadowed the recruiting of 5,000 in the Bangala country, and 3,000 more in the Aruwimi district. Confidential circulars which only became known last year and this, and with which not a dozen people in
this country are probably acquainted, were despatched to the King's officials on the Congo. Here is an extract from one of these circulars signed by the then principal Secretary on the King's Brussels staff:

"The Congo State will allot for each recruit obtained a bonus on the following lines: 90 francs for every healthy and vigorous man considered fit for military service, and whose stature exceeds 1 metre 55 centimetres; 65 francs for every youth whose stature is at least 1 metre 35 centimetres; 15 francs per male child. The male children must be at least 1 metre 20 centimetres in height, and must be sufficiently strong to be able to support the fatigues of the road. For every married man the bonus will be increased to 130 francs. The bonus will only fall due when the men have been handed over to the headquarters of the various districts."

The children were drafted to the "camps of military instruction," to be made soldiers of in due course. The recruits were obtained by armed raids upon villages, differing in no degree from the raids of the Arabs except that they were accompanied by greater loss of life. In this way the Bangala country has been drained of its life-blood, and the population reduced in the last fifteen years by about 75 per cent., as Mr. Weeks will tell us in the

* The Albini rifle.


2 These were a portion of the lib Ms - so-called free slaves. See Section II.
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next chapter; similar results have followed in the Bakussu and Batetla country—indeed, I believe, the Batellas are practically wiped out. Another confidential circular, dated October, 1891, and signed by the Acting Governor-General in the Congo, M. Felix Fuchs, informs the District Commissioners that the Government has set aside a sum of one hundred thousand francs, which sum it is free to distribute, partly or wholly, or not at all, "with the object of rewarding the District Commissioners and their subordinates who show exceptional zeal and devotion in the accomplishment of all the duties which are incumbent upon them." The circular goes on to explain that the sine qua non of the allotment of these bonuses is the rigorous fulfilment of the decrees bearing upon recruiting.

"It must be well understood that no bonus will be granted to districts which do not carry out the recruiting operations provided in the decree of July 30, 1891. The maximum of bonus will be allotted only to those District Commissioners who recruit at least the number of men above mentioned for 1902. These recruiting operations are distinct from voluntary enlistments, which will continue as before. The balance of the credit not allotted will be distributed among the districts which recruit more than the number of men here mentioned."

So direct and explicit a command to raid slaves, necessitated extreme secrecy, and we find the Acting Governor-General recommending that the circular "must under no pretext be removed from your archives. You will convey to your subordinates such explanations as may be necessary in connection with this circular, verbally."

These instructions led to a further crop of circulars, of which the following may be considered a type:

"Coquilhatville, May 1, 1896. — Chief Ngulu of Wangata is sent into the Maringa to buy slaves for me. The agents of the A.B.I.R. are requested to inform me of the depredations he may commit en route. Signed, Captain Commandant Sabrazin, District Commissioner of the Equator." 2

The humanitarian undertaking began to wear a curious
In 1890 King Leopold broke with the Arabs, and appealed to the Powers to allow him to impose import duties on merchandise in order to raise money with which to fight the wicked Arabs, those inconvenient competitors in ivory - the "Congo Free State" had already imposed an export duty of £80 per ton on ivory and £20 on rubber - ostensibly to crush their slave-trading operations. This demand, coupled with the acts previously referred to - i.e., exorbitant taxation of trade, prohibition of elephant hunting, partial prohibition of rubber trading, etc., - was too much for the Dutch Government, whose subjects drove a considerable trade in the lower river. It shook the faith of the British Chambers of Commerce, and disgusted a section, at least, of the philanthropic element. Mr. F. W. Fox, for instance, made what has since become, in the light of subsequent events, a most remarkable prediction, at a public meeting, held on November 4 of that year in London. He said:

"There is an impression, very widely existing among the people in the Congo State, that when this money is voted by the Brussels Conference, there will be war and raids instead of any beneficial result, and that great evils will grow far greater than the slave trade as existing at present. (Hear, hear.) We contend that it ought to be suppressed by judicious efforts, by the extension of legitimate commerce, by fair consideration for the natives, by being just to the Arabs and enlisting their sympathy, and not by exterminating the natives or the Arabs in a series of wars."

But the same old tactics were resorted to which had been used to such advantage to hound on British opinion against the Anglo-Portuguese Treaty. Public and Press were flooded with inspired articles and pamphlets representing the Dutch Government and all who shared its views as friendly to the slave trade. Finally, King Leopold got what he wanted, a mandate from Christendom to exterminate the Arabs; and, on the strength of it, obtained £1,000,000 from Belgium as a cash-down recognition of his generosity in leaving the Congo to her in his will. Thus doubly fortified, the sovereign of the "Congo Free State" brought his plans rapidly to a head. The royal programme was divided into three parts, between which there existed a close correlation.

I. The extermination of the Arabs. II. The conquest of the Soudan. III. The conversion of the Congo Basin,

1 The West African Conference had prohibited the levying of import dues; but had not prohibited the imposition of export dues.

2 Vide Section V.
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its economic riches, and its human inhabitants into the private property of the Sovereign. The disappearance of the Arab had a twofold advantage. It would strengthen King Leopold's reputation for philanthropy in the world, enabling him to pose more than ever as the "Godefroi de Bouillon of the nineteenth-century crusade," and, incidentally, would place in his hands not only the ivory markets occupied by the Arabs, but the vast stores of that article held by them. This was accomplished. The second was on the high road to success when at the last...
moment it fell to pieces. Four thousand rifles, one thousand irregulars armed with lances, and hundreds of porters, together with a considerable force of artillery, marched Nilewards after concentrating at Dungu. There were two columns. The first, under Chaltin, had only 750 rifles. Nevertheless it occupied the left bank of the Nile up to Rejaf, driving the Dervishes before it. The second, under Dhanis, met with complete disaster owing to the mutiny of the greater part of the force. Had this event not take place, the history of the last few years might have undergone no small change, for King Leopold's objective was Khartoum; his ambition, to play the part of "honest broker" between France and England for the settlement of the Egyptian question. The ambition was a large one, but it was seriously entertained, and the chance of success w^as not at all remote. As it was, his partial triumph lured Lord Rosebery into the unfortunate Agreement of 1894 over the Bahr-el-Ghazal, which alienated us from Germany in Congo matters, and nearly brought us to war with France.

The third part of the programme needs to be handled in greater detail, for, like the first, it has been carried out only too completely, and to its realisation is primarily due the abomination of desolation into which the Congo territories have been plunged.

Before the birth of the "Congo Free State" a brisk trade with the natives of the Upper Congo was carried on by European merchants established on the lower river, through the native middlemen, the Ba-Cougo. These Ba-Congo caravans transported goods from the European factories into the interior by the caravan route with the 200 miles of cataracts which, prior to the construction of the railway by Colonel Thys,^ separated the

1 Vide Section V.
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lower river from the upper. At the head of the cataracts (Stanley Pool) they disposed of their goods to the natives who awaited them in canoes, some of which hailed from enormous distances in the interior, receiving in exchange products, chiefly ivory and rubber, which were bought down again to the European factories. With the advent of the "Congo Free State," Belgians, Frenchmen, and Englishmen, in the employ of a powerful trading Company registered in Belgium, La Societe Anonyme beige pour le commerce du Haut Congo, started trading stations on the upper reaches of the river, dealing direct with the natives of the country. They pushed inland along the banks, and purchased ivory and rubber with European goods. They laid the foundation of legitimate business transactions with the "enterprising, high-spirited" — as Stanley called them — races of the Upper Congo, the nucleus of a trade which would have gone on expanding as has been the case everywhere in West Africa, in Nigeria, Senegambia — all down the coast, in fact, gradually penetrating inland as native enterprise grew with the improvement of ways of communication, and the increased accessibility of native markets. Stanley in referring — in the course of his public speeches in 1884 — to the future possibilities of the Congo trade if placed under the auspices of a philanthropic monarch, had been positively lyrical in his enthusiasm, and there is no doubt that had that trade been allowed to develop, its proportions to-day would have been very large. What a different picture we should have had to contemplate!

But the role of supreme administrator of a tropical dependency run on lines of decency, justice and legitimate commerce, such as was understood to be the King's intention at the West African Conference, simply did not enter into that monarch's purview. The part would have been altogether too confined. His ideas were widely different. He was, indeed, "a dreamer of dreams" as
Stanley had described him, but not of that sort of dream. He wished to cut a great figure in the world, a desire impossible of accomplishment without vast financial resources which he did not possess. So, the royal feet being now firmly planted on African soil, the royal position in Europe being secure, the royal will entered, with immovable determination to crush all obstacles, on its predestined course. . . .
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A secret decree dated September 21, 1891, and the measures taken by the King's officials in Africa upon receipt of it, changed the whole outlook of affairs in Central Africa and revolutionised the actual and future situation of its millions of inhabitants. This decree laid down as the paramount duty of the officials of the "Congo Free State" the raising of revenue, "to tSke urgent and necessary measures to secure for the State the domainial fruits (anglice, the produce of the country) notably ivory and rubber." It was followed by a series of regulations issued by the Governor-General through the District Commissioners, forbidding the natives to sell ivory and rubber to European merchants, and threatening the latter with prosecution if they bought these articles from the natives.

The merchants protested, and the King in reply defined his position. Everything in the country belonged to the "State"; the land and the produce thereof. The natives were tenants upon the "State's" property. If they interfered with that property they were poachers, and whoever abetted them in that interference were criminals, receivers of stolen goods, and violators of the law of the land.

In that way did King Leopold by a stroke of the pen appropriate Central Africa.

To leave his officials under no misapprehension as to his intentions, other secret instructions were despatched by the King to his Governor-General. Some of these secret documents have recently come to light, but as they are practically unknown in this country — owing to the limited means of the Congo Reform Association — and as they are of transcendent importance to a proper understanding of the policy of brigandage substituted by King Leopold for the "freedom of commerce" laid down in the Conventions and at the West African Conference, from which King Leopold derives his trusteeship as over-lord of the Congo, I make no apology for giving them here.

Brussels, June 20, 1892.

"To the Governor General, — As I have had the honour upon several occasions of informing you, the Officials of the Congo State must neglect no means of exploiting the produce of the forests. They must succeed in keeping commerce informed of the riches of our territories, and gradually bring about a considerable traffic towards Stanley Pool for the period when the railway is opened.

"To stimulate the zeal of our officials in this matter, I have decided that in future a bonus proportionate to the cost of exploitation shall be allotted to those who are concerned with forest exploitation. These bonuses will be established as follows:

15c. bonus per kilo on rubber costing 30c. and less per kilo.
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12c. = 31c. to 40c.
10c. = 40c. to 49c.
8c. = 50c. to 59c.
6c. = 60c. to 69c.
4c. = 70c. to 80c.

"For gum copal and wax as follows:
10c. = bonus per kilo on gum, &c., costing 5c. and less per kilo.
10c. = 6c. to 10c.
5c. = 11c. to 15c.

* For ivory costing the State in the Congo 15 francs, I shall give no bonus.

On

ivory costing

14f.
I will
give
a bonus of 15c.

>>

13f.

30c.

i) y>

12f.

45c.
"For scri\elloesl and defective tusks, the bonus can be reduced as the Government may decide, to one-half the above figures. A proportion of the bonuses due may be given to a subordinate in accordance with the lists which must be furnished me by Station Chiefs, District Commissioners, and Leaders of Expeditions. This measure will begin and will apply to all products collected from October 1st. It will not be retrospective and annuls all preceding regulations on the subject.

The Secretary of State,

(Sgd.) Van Eetvelde.

"Certified correct, Governor-General Wahis."2

Thus the less the Official employed by King Leopold cost his royal master in obtaining his royal master's revenues, the more his royal master was pleased, and the greater his reward. The less the native got for his rubber and ivory, the larger the Official's commission! A more direct incentive to robbery and violence was never penned. There are times when the recorder of the Congo tragedy
stops short with a mental gasp, and pauses before he goes
1 Small tusks.
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on again, to wonder whether he is the victim of hallucination.

On February 6, 1893, M. Felix Fuchs, Acting-Governor-General, forwards a circular to Inspectors, District Commissioners, Commanders of Expeditions, Heads of Stations — those "fine Stations" we shall hear about presently — which says:

"The State cannot assure its future unless it finds the where-withal to defray its expenditure. The exploitation of its Domaine Prive is in this respect an important asset. It is of paramount necessity therefore that the State should obtain promptly there-from the necessary revenue to balance its expenditure."

This philanthropic document proceeds:

"At present it is chiefly necessary to give an energetic impulse to the collection of rubber and ivory. As regards the first of these products, the task of the District Commissioner, who has jurisdiction over a portion of the Domaine Prive, will be facilitated in large measure by the fact that no private person can buy rubber therein unless he has obtained already a concession of a portion of the Domaine Prive. . . . The Government hopes that you will do your utmost to carry out its behests. and that you will collect the greatest quantity of the various products of the Domaine."

This from a "Government" to its officials!

The German Government having got wind of this singular fashion of interpreting the articles of the West African Conference, protested in the most vigorous terms through its Minister at Brussels, Count Alvensleben. Astonishing as it may seem to those who have not yet realised that Niccolo Machiavelli's precepts have been adopted and vastly extended by his modern prototype, King Leopold denied absolutely and repeatedly that any bonus was paid to his officials on ivory and rubber. Here is the letter which closes the correspondence:

"Brussels, December 11, 1895.
"Monsieur le Comte. — In reply to the communication of your Excellency of the 7th of this month, I beg, without entering into an examination of the question of right, to declare formally that there does not exist any commercial premiums for the agents of the Independent State of the Congo, and that the Government has no intention of establishing any, either for rubber or for ivory, or for any other product whatever,

(Sgd.) Edmond Van Eetvelde."
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This official was the co-signatory with Sir Edward Grey the other day to a Convention under which the Congo forces are to evacuate certain portions of British territory they have occupied. His pledges are somewhat at a discount.

The system of paying these bonuses on revenue collected has taken various forms. Here are extracts from another circular dated Boma, January 3, 1896, and signed by the Governor-General, Baron Wahis:
"Boma, January 3, 1896.

"Gentlemen, — By reason of the decision taken by the Government to suppress bonuses to officials connected with the exploitation of the Domaine in respect to products collected by them, the Government has decided to grant extra bonuses to officials rendering exceptional services to the State, principally in the development of the resources of the country and the bettering of the conditions of the natives. These bonuses will be granted according to the suggestions which may be made by the Commanders of Expeditions or by District Commissioners. These bonuses, however, will only be granted in districts which produce annually to the State at least 50,000 francs in taxes paid in kind by the natives — it being well understood that these taxes are to be reckoned in products sold in Europe for the benefit of the Treasury. Reports as to collection of produce must continue to be sent to me regularly to enable the Government to take note of the services rendered by our officials, and to check the arrivals of produce. But for the present marginal notes will be substituted others, which shall consist in allotting to officials who may have contributed directly or indirectly to the collection of produce, a certain number of marks according to their respective merits, the total of the marks being represented by ten. It will not be necessary to mention in the Report the names of District Commissioners or Commanders of Expeditions, because the Government will be able, according to the produce collected, to judge of the importance of the services of these Officials. It will suffice, therefore, to follow the name of the Official with the number of marks attributed to him, according to the services he has rendered, thus:

M.X. Clitj de Zone … … … 5 marks.
A. Officer … … … 2 marks.
B. Non-commissioned Officer … 2 marks.
C. Cleik … … … 1 mark.
Total … 10
(Sgd.) Governor-General Wahis."!

The procedure adopted at present is as follows. Officials whose districts have produced much revenue (e.g., rubber, for the ivory is becoming exhausted) receive an annual grant: District Commissioners from 6,000 to 10,000 francs. 1 Official shorthand report, Belgian Parliamentary Debates, March, 1905.

A PROGRAMME IN THREE PARTS 80 francs, Captains in the Force Publique 4,000 to 7,000 francs. Lieutenants in the Force Publique 2,000 to 3,000 francs. Foreign officers who are inclined to be disagreeable when they return are lunched by the King, told how bad are the effects of the African sun upon the European temperament, assured that they must have been dreaming or misinformed, and if possible a douceur sends them away happy — not given direct of course; that would be vulgar, but quietly arranged in a subsequent confidential chat with one of the high officials. The grants mentioned above take the form of an entry to the grantee's credit in the register of the four per cent. Public debt (grand livre de la dette publique) of the Congo State, on which interest is paid. Permission is afterwards given for the conversion of this credit entry into bonds to bearer.

It is very ingenious and simple withal. The official's future is bound up with the production of revenue. He can claim nothing from the King; but if his "zeal and devotion" in raiding through the country at the head of an armed rubber-hunting expedition has been sufficient, he knows that he will be rewarded. If he is wise he keeps
a copy of his instructions from headquarters, which will enable him to retaliate in kind lest by any chance the royal purse strings be closed. His horizon begins with rubber and ends with hard cash — matabiche in Congo slang. The native is between the upper and nether millstone.

So it was in the beginning, is now and ever shall be so long as King Leopold and his financiers are allowed to pirate the wealth of the Congo forests by armed force.

Read this circular given in the White Book Africa No. 1, 1904. It is dated Boma, March 29, 1901, and is signed by the inevitable Baron Wahis.

"The quality of the rubber exported from the Congo is sensibly inferior to what it was some time ago. The difference arises from several causes, but principally from the addition to the latex I which is fit to be gathered, of other kinds of latex of very inferior value, or even of any dust-like matter. This cause of loss can and must be removed."

(I may here remark in parenthesis that an ingenious method of removing it has been practised by some of the subordinate officials. They have made the natives eat it when badly prepared. 2)

1 E.g., the juice of the vine which, coagulated, produces rubber.
2 Vide Section III.
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"The commissioners of districts and chiefs of zones who have all experience, know the fraudulent means which the natives often try to employ. They must take measures completely to prevent these frauds. It cannot be doubted that in those parts where the population submits to the tax it will not be impossible to lead the natives to furnish pure produce; but in order to effect this, constant supervision is necessary, for as soon as the native notices that the supervision is becoming lax he will try to lessen his work by taking latex of a bad quality, if he obtains it easily, or by adding foreign matter. Whenever these frauds are discovered they must be put down. The commissioners of districts and chiefs of zones must examine the produce at frequent intervals, in order to report in time to their heads of stations, and not to permit a condition of affairs which is most prejudicial. To this cause of the decline in the value of rubber must be added that arising from defective packing of the produce, which thus often travels during several months under the worst conditions. Much of the effort which has been taken to obtain produce in keeping with the richness of the country may be said to be lost through this neglect, for the value of the rubber may be diminished by half through this want of care. I may add that the value of rubber, even when free from all admixture, has gone down in every market for some time past. Territorial chiefs, therefore, must not only remove the two causes of loss which they can eliminate, but they must also try to neutralise the third by making unceasing efforts to increase production to the extent laid down in the instructions. The orders which I have here given will have my constant attention."

Such circulars as these from the fountain head of the Congo autocracy are accompanied as may be supposed by circulars from the subordinates to their subordinates — the men who actually get the revenue, not those whose task it is to say that the revenue must be secured but of these documents have ever seen light. Those that have may be taken as typical samples. Here are two in sequence from the higher to the lower rung of Congolese hierarchy:

Acting Governor-General Felix Fuchs to Commandant Verstraeten in charge of the Kubi Welle zone:

"I close by advising you that the Government firmly hopes that, inspired by the considerations set forth in the present communication, you will exhibit a fresh proof of your activity and devotion,
by making the district you command produce the maximum of resources which can be drawn from it." 1

Commandant Verstraeten to the Officials in charge of the Stations of the Rubi Welle district:

1 Official shorthand report, Belgian Parliamentary debates, Jul. v. 1903.
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"I have the honour to inform you that from January 1, 1899, you must succeed in furnishing 4,000 kilos of rubber every month. To this effect I give you carte blanche. You have, therefore, two months in which to work your people. Employ gentleness first, and if they persist in not accepting the imposition of the State employ force of arms." 1

Here are extracts from another:

"District Commissioner Jacques to the Official in charge of the Station of Inoryo:

"M. le Chef de Poste. — Decidedly these people of Inoryo are a bad lot. They have just been and cut some rubber vines at Hull. We must fight them until their absolute submission has been obtained, or their complete extermination."

2

When the inevitable results of such appeals to pillage by violence attain too great proportions, we get a circular of this kind.

"* BOMA, November 7, 1893.

"Gentlemen, — From information which has reached the central government recently it appears that some of our agents settle palavers, make war upon the natives, burn villages without reporting their actions. Others who have gone so far as to carry out with their own hands summary executions, and have thus become assassins, have not been brought before any tribunal or court-martial. Their immediate Chiefs present in the region, could not have been ignorant of facts of this gravity, and have thus assumed serious responsibility. The government invites me to take the severest measures at my disposal to cause these deplorable abuses which sully our reputation to cease. I need not add here that this order will be executed. We have decrees and regulations. Each person must conform to them. If individual caprice was to substitute itself for law, we should become in certain parts of the territory more savage than the natives whom we have to lead to civilisation.

" (Sgd.) The Governor-General Walhs."

3

After this avowal, we observe the same supreme official and King's "mandatory" in Africa issuing the following instructions to the District Commissioner of Lake Leopold II. The circular is dated January 9, 1897. It reads:

"Where the natives refuse obstinately to work, you will compel them to obey by taking hostages."

1 Ibid.


3 Official shorthand report, Belgian Parliamentary debates, March, 1905. Compare this circular, in which the Governor-General admits that the Congo officials are "assassins," with the furious denunciations of the Press Bureau against their foreign accusers.

36 RED RUBBER

"Work" meaning to gather rubber for King Leopold and its financial friends, on lines laid down in the earlier regenerating circulars. It is unnecessary to add that the taking of women hostages has, since that circular, become
a recognised feature of the rubber slave trade. ^

No wonder King Leopold suppressed the documentary evidence of his own Commission of Inquiry last year.

By these measures did the produce of the Congo Basin, "lost to the world," become "a source of prosperity" to the native collector of it! Under this system £13,715,664 of raw produce (85 per cent, rubber) has been forced out of the Congo native in the last seven years at the point of the bayonet. Furnishers of untold wealth to their absentee landlord in Europe, their own condition has steadily worsened. Deprived of everything and compelled to devote their lives to gathering rubber for an alien potentate, who claims the labour of Central Africa as his personal asset.

Thus, the rubber slave trade in the making. We shall follow it in the working. We shall plunge into the equatorial forest and see how the rubber is acquired under the stimulus of force and bonuses. We shall move among the natives and realise, so far as it is possible at a distance of several thousand miles from the scene of their oppression, the daily effects of the system upon them, the system of "moral and material regeneration." And after inquiring whether this calculated plunder of a Continent possesses any redeeming feature for the plundered people, we shall pass to an examination of the revenues derived therefrom, the amount of them, and the manner of their distribution.

iSee Section III.

2 The total value of the imports in that period only amounted to just over £6,000,000, the overwhelming proportion of which was composed of Government material and storey.

SECTION II
THE DEEDS

"Aferre, trucidare, rapere falala nominibus imperiin, atque ubi solitudinem faciunt, pacem appellant " (Tacitus, Agricola, eXXX).

("What they, by a misuse of terms, style Government, is a system of pillage, murder, and robbery, and their so-called peace is a desert of their own creation.")

I reproduce below the comments upon "Affairs of West Africa," published in 1902, in which book four chapters were devoted to the affairs of the Congo, because they are typical of the difficulties which those of us who took up this matter were confronted, difficulties which are referred to in the opening chapter of the present volume. — Author.

"The state of affairs to which he calls attention in the latter portion of the book is, indeed, so terrible, and the accusations which he does not hesitate to bring personally against King Leopold II. are so grave that, notwithstanding the unfortunately too general apprehension entertained in well-informed West African circles that there exists very solid ground for criticism, we hesitate, without independent investigation, to give further currency to his assertions. . . . If Mr. Morel is accurately informed there is hardly a condition of its (the Congo State's) charter that it has not broken, nor a law of common humanity which it has not flouted. The sufferings of which the picture was given to the world in 'Uncle Tom's Cabin' are as nothing to those which Mr. Morel represents to be the habitual accompaniment of the acquisition of rubber and ivory by the Belgian companies." — The Times, December 19, 1902.
Sir Harry Johnston in the Daily Chronicle, December 20, 1902:

"Mr. Morel's indictment is one of the most terrible things ever written, if true."

Within the last few months only have the closest students of the Congo question been in a position to appreciate to the full the staggering volume of records to the continuity and uniformity of outrage, and the all-pervading CAUSE OF OUTRAGE, on the Congo. Many of the data here summarised are unknown save to the comparatively few persons who are subscribers to the Congo Reform Association, in whose monthly journal they have been recorded. Others now appear for the first time. In the main the records here given are but the briefest and baldest summaries. If the whole of them were to be set down, a book double the size of the present one would hardly suffice to contain them. My object—or one of them—is to show how unbroken is the tale of horror, how dreadful the similarity. We see precisely the same scenes described by men thousands of miles apart, at ad with many years' interval between them.

Records from 1890 to 1893.

Letter from Colonel Williams, read out to a London meeting by Mr. R. Cobden Phillips, representing the Manchester Chamber of Commerce, on November 4, 1890. (Extract.) (Area: presumably upper river banks.)

"Your Majesty's Government has been, and is now, guilty of waging unjust and cruel wars against natives, with the hope of securing slaves and women to minister to the behests of your Majesty's Government. In such slave-hunting raids one village is armed against the other, and the force thus secured is incorporated with the regular troops."

March, 1891. — Letters from correspondents in the Congo read out to Manchester Geographical Society by Mr. E. Sowerbutts, the secretary. Letters speak of atrocities by Congolese troops, women and children seized as prisoners. Sec, in this "diabolical and unholy so-called civilising work." (Area: probably Cataract region.)

In 1891 the secret decree appropriating the produce of the soil, and calling upon officials to devote all their energies to collecting revenue, is issued with the regulations and circulars which followed it (see last chapter). The immediate effects of the regulations and circulars are chronicled in letters from Belgian and French traders in the Upper Congo. Letters dated 1891 and 1892. Published for the first time in 1904. (Area: river banks and central region.)

"Yambaya, February 6, 1891. — The country is ruined. Passengers in the steamer Ro des Beiges have been able to see for themselves that from Bontya, half a day's journey below our factory at Upoto, to Bumba inclusive, there is not an inhabited village left — that is to say four days' steaming through a country formerly so rich; to-day entirely ruined."

"GONGA Dona, October 20th. — Thanks to the proceedings of the State we cannot travel three hours in a canoe without coming across a hostile village. This is the way they go on. They go to a village and say to the Chief, 'If by noon three tusks of ivory..."
are not here for us to buy, you are no longer our friend.' At noon
the Chi'c'f arrives and says, 'I have only two,' or as the case may
be. ' If that is the case,' replies the representative of the State,
'we will see.' The whole party then springs on shore and
endeavours to nilike prisoners. That having been accomplished, the
Chief is told, 'Come with so many tusks, and your men and women
will be returned to you.'
" Basankusu, S'-ptember 17, 1892. - The villages are compelled
to pay heavy taxes in rubber; they are compelled to furnish so
many kilos to the State every week. To give you an idea, the
State has received 1,060 kilos in one month and a half. The State
had made war upon the villages from Lulonga to Basankusu. All
the villages in the Maringa suffered the same fate."
" LiKINI, October 15th. - After the wars with the Mambatis
and the Boucoundu, when the State people took many prisoners, which
the Mambatis redeemed with ivory, they have begun the same pro-
cedure again. To buy ivory in this way does not need many
goods, and has the merit of simplicity. Four days ago they started
making war once more; thirteen killed, six prisoner."*
" October ISth. - The frequent wars upon the natives undertaken
without any cause by the State soldiers sent out to get rubber and
ivory are depopulating the country. The soldiers find that the
quickest and cheapest method is to raid villages, seize pris.oners,
and have them redeemed afterwards against ivory. At Boucoundje
they took thirty prisoners, whom they released upon payment of
ten tu.sks. Each agent of the State receives 1,000f. commission per
ton of ivory secured, and 175f. per ton of rubber."
" Yambaya, March 23, 1893. - The majority of natives in every
village are fleeing to the forests on account of the perpetual troubles
with the State."
Such was the immediate result of the official
instructions, to raid ivory and rubber on commission; the
early beginnings of the system which was to prevail for
fifteen years, and which still prevails.
Records from 1894 to 1898
Glave, E. J. : an independent English traveller,
formerly with Stanley, who speaks very highly of him.
Crossed the Congo from the Great Lakes to the ocean in
1894-5. His voluminous diary published by the Century
Magazine in 1896. " (Area: the whole country traversed.)
" The white officer at Kamambare has commissioned several
chiefs to make raids on the country of the Warua and bring him
slaves. They are supposed to be taken out of slavery and freed,
but I fail to see how this can be argued out. They are taken from
their villages and shipped south to be soldiers, workers, &c., on
the stations, and what were peaceful families have been broken up
and the different members spread about the place. This is no
reasonable way of settling the land. It is merely persecution. . .
The brutal action of the soldiers so terrified the people that many
fled into hiding, and have not since returned. . . Not content
with this, the soldiers steal everything on the plantations and in
the houses. If the rightful owners object they are beaten, the
women taken by force. . . In stations in charge of white men,
Government officers, one sees strings of poor, emaciated old women,
some of them mere skeletons, working from ten to six tramping
about in gangs with a rope round their necks and connected by a
rope one and a half yards apart. They are 'prisoners of war,' . . .
Expeditions have been sent in every direction forcing natives to
make rubber and to bring it to the stations. Up the Ikelomba
away to Lake Mantumba, the State is perpetrating its fiendish
policy in order to obtain profit. . . War has been waged all
through the district of the Equator, and thousands of people have
been killed and homes destroyed, . . . Many women and children
were taken, and twenty-one heads were brought to Stanley Falls, and
have been used by Captain Rom as a decoration round a flower-bed in front of his house. . . Most white oficers out in the Congo are averse to the india-rubber policy of the State, but the laws command it.1 . . . If the Arabs had been the masters it would be styled iniquitous trafficking in human beings, and blood, but being under the administration of the Congo Free State, it is merely a part of their philanthropic system of liberating the natives."

Sjoblom, a Swedish missionary of the American Baptist Missionary Union. In conjunction with an Englishman in the same Mission, Banks, Sjoblom had complained with great vehemence locally, and caused furious resentment to the Governor-General, Baron Mahis, who threatened him with five years' imprisonment. Through the intermediaries of Mr. Fox Bourne he appealed to the world at a public meeting in London (May 12, 1897). His experiences cover 1895-7. (IVrea : central region.) The following are extracts from his statements:

"The natives in inland town,8 are, as a matter of custom, asked whether they are willing to gather india-rubber. The question put to them is not 'Will you live at peace together? Will you acknowledge the Congo Government?' 'It is, 'Will you work india-rubber?' 'Well, many of the people are killed, and they try suddenly to disband, and refuse to bring the india-rubber. Then war is declared." Describes the usual procedure. Within his knowledge 45 towns have been burnt down. Describes the sentry-system, the soldiers stationed in the villages, living on the people, and driving the adult males into the forest to gather india-rubber. Narrates how he visited a village at sunset. The people had never seen a white man and had returned from their hunt for rubber. As he was speaking to them, a soldier rushed in among the crowd, and seized an old man guilty of having been fishing in the river instead of gathering rubber; shoots him before Sjoblom's eyes. Right hand cut off. People flee out of the town. "All except the old chiefs are forced to go away and work rubber." The sentries are "from the wildest tribes." "When they get to this work they are many times worse. They are really small kings in the towns and often kill the people for the sake of the rubber. If the rubber does not reach the full amount the sentries attack the natives. They kill some and bring the hands to the Commissioner. Others are brought to the Commissioner as prisoners. Hundreds are constantly taken down in large steamers." . . "From this village I went on to another where I met a soldier who pointed to a basket, and said to me, 'Look, I have only two hands.' He meant there were not enough to make up for the rubber he had not brought. 'He had several hammocks to tie up to trees. When I came back, some of the villages were in an uproar. . . When I reached the river I turned and saw that the people had large hammocks in which they were gathering the rubber to be taken to the Commissioner. I all"o saw smoked hands, and the prisoners waiting to be taken to the Commissioner. This is only one of the places in which these practices occur. There is a small island in a stream at Lake Mantumba.2 The people had not been able to bring in the full amount of rubber. The officers with some soldiers went along there. Several of the natives were killed. I saw the dead bodies floating on the lake with the right hand cut off, and the officer told me when I came back why they had been killed. It was for the rubber. In fact the officers have always freely told me about the many who were killed, and always in connection with india-rubber. In one village which I passed through, I saw two or three men on the wayside quite recently killed — about an hour before. The sentry who had to oversee the gathering of the rubber told me they had killed the men because they had not brought in the rubber. When I crossed the stream I saw some dead bodies hanging down from the branches in the water. As I turned away my face at the horrible sight one of the native corporals who was following us said that was nothing, a few days ago I returned from a fight, and I brought the white man 160 hands and they were thrown into the river.' . . I have seen extracts of letters in which the writers have freely told about hundreds being killed, hundreds of hands brought by
the sentries, hundreds of slaves being taken, and one of the State officials said to a resident agent, 'I have two hundred slaves here. Do you want some?' Another agent told me that he had himself seen a State officer at one of the outposts pay a certain number of brass rods (local currency) to the soldiers for a number of hands they had brought. One of the soldiers told me the same. That was about the time I saw the native killed before my own eyes. The soldier said: 'Don't take this to heart so much. They kill us if we don't bring the rubber. The Commissioner has promised us if we have plenty of hands he will shorten our service. I have brought in plenty of hands already, and I expect my time of service will soon be finished.' Mr. Sjobom also gave many particulars of the monstrous demands for food, fish, &c., upon the people; the fines inflicted upon them for shortage, their general condition of impoverishment, &c.

Campbell, Dugald, a missionary belonging, I believe, to a Scotch Presbyterian Mission. Has laboured for about a quarter of a century in the southern-eastern portion of the State (Katanga). His voluminous reports to Mr. Fox Bourne cover a very extensive period. Those I am about to quote cover the period 1891 to 1898. Published in 1904. (Area: south-eastern region.)

Mr. Campbell sub-sectionalis his report into the "Ivory regime," the "Rubber regime," "Treatment of Natives," the "Sentry system," etc. Under "Treatment of Natives" he writes:

"This is, and ever has been, shocking, and the cause of revolts, troubles, and when possible, exodus into the territories of other Powers. The treatment of the down-trodden natives since State occupation has brought about a moral and material degeneration. Through the gross and wholesale immorality, and forcing of women and girls into lives of shame, African family life and its sanctities have been violated, and the seeds of disease sown broadcast over the Congo State are producing their harvest already. Formerly native conditions put restrictions on the spread of disease, and localised it to small areas. But the 17,000 soldiers, moved hither and thither to districts removed from their wives and relations to suit Congo policy, must have women wherever they go, and these must be provided from the district natives. . . Native institutions, rights, and customs, which one would think ought to be the basis of good government, are ignored."

Among the incidents he gives characterising the 'Ivory regime,' I quote the following:

"After that Katoro, another very large chief living near the apex of the western and eastern Luiliba, was attacked. The crowds were tired into promiscuously, and fifteen were killed, including four women and a babe on its mother's breast. The heads were cut off and brought to the officer in charge, who then sent men to cut off the hands also, and these were pierced, strung, and dried over the camp fire. The heads, with many others I saw myself. The town, prosperous once, was burnt, and what they could not (ar)ry off was destroyed. Crowds of people were caught, mostly old women and young women, and three fresh rope gangs were added. These poor 'prisoner' gangs were mere skeletons of skin and bone, and their bodies cut frightfully with the chisett when I saw them. Chiyonibo's very large town was next attacked. A lot of people were killed, and heads and hands cut off and taken back to the officers. . . Shortly after the State caravans, with flags flying and bugles blowing, entered the mission station at Luanza, on Lake Mwepo, where I was then alone, and I shall not
soon forget the sickeniiiiir sight of deep baskets of human heads. These baskets of 'war trophies' were used... for a bijj war-dance, to which we abided the State quota of powder and percussion caps... 

To appea... the State quota of powder and percussion caps. [Here follow twenty villages, with their localities, etc.] Each of these posts was manned, as stated, by two black soldiers to look after State interests, chiefs, and ivory. Perhaps you will say, 'Why did you not speak out and report all this?' My first experience in Katanga was Cap'ain X's threat to imprison my colleague for denouncing these doings. Every time I made representations they were declared impossible, or the answer was, 'I will ask my head sentry to make inquiries,' the head sentry being one of the worst blackguards in the country. Nothing was ever proved. He would not believe his soldiers could be guilty of such misconduct, or, 'Well, they must have carle blanche, or the natives would not respect the State.' Sometimes 'Might is right,' would be the curt reply. What could one say? There were no judge... or courts of appeal, and the officer, often at his wits' end, would say, 'What can I do? I must get ivory. I have no law or regulation book. T am the only law and only God in Katanga.'

Under the "Rubber regime" similar stories are given, always with an abundance of names, places, etc.

Here are a few short extracts:

"Meanwhile, on the Luapula similar abuses existed, and women were raped and riftra... white and black, until many of the best and biggest villages crossed into British territory, where they live in peace. [Follows a long list of the villages which have migrated.] The wholesale exodus is due to Belgian lairing, the sentry sy.~tem, and the maltreatment of the natives."

Under the "Sentry system" Mr. Campbell says:

"I have known them tie up chrips for a week in ropes, and keep them tied until a sufficient ransom was brought... I have met them on the road on plundering expeditions, travelling in hammocks with from twenty to thirty carriers - these, of course, impressed into the work" besides other carriers who carried their pots, cloth, provisions, and guns wherever they went... It was a common practice to remove sentries who were unsuccessful in securing sufficient ivory and to replace them by olheis more ruffianly disposed, whose ivory-extorting powers had been previously tested."

Banks, of the American Baptist Missionary Union, reporting locally from Bolengi in 1896 (Area: central region): --

Describes raid of State troops upon the villages of Bandaka Majiko. Cause, poor quality of rubber. Questions soldiers, and is
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told fifty people have been killed and twenty-eight taken prisoners. Sees the prisoners taken through the mission station. Counts "sixteen women tied neck to neck." Some of these women carrying their tiny children. Several "young children were walking on before who were also prisoners." Visits the raided village. "In a little shed lay one of my late school children, a promising young lad. I lifted the leaves by which he was covered, and saw his right hand cut off. I then went through the village and saw the people burying their dead. I counted over twenty bodies and newly filled-up graves. All the bodies had the right hand cut off."

Kenred Smith, of the British Baptist Missionary Society, testified before the Commission of Inquiry in 1904, as to atrocities committed in 1893. Extract from letter to the author; published this year in C.R.A. organ. (Area: central region.)
I thought that all evidence submitted to the members of the Commission would be given in due course to the public, and was not, therefore, too careful in making manuscript notes of my remarks before it. Happily I have notes. I submitted them to them and now send you the substance of my remarks. Detail a
Expedition sent on June 2, 1898, by local agent of the Anversoise, (vide Section IV.), to punish people who sought to escape the rubber "tax." Villages of Mika and Bosomakuma attacked. Men. women and children killed and mutilated. Village of Bosolo then attacked and became, according to native evidence, "a veritable shambles." Visited Mika, and "saw mutilated bodies or parts of bodies representing some twenty people, and new-made graves bringing up the number to at least thirty." Native evidence placed before him showed two hundred people killed. "A cannibal feast followed the slaughter." Complained locally. So far as he knows no action taken.

Clark, Joseph, of the American Baptist Missionary
Union. Extracts from his diary, personal correspondence, and reports to local officials from 1894 to 1899. The complete documents were handed to the Congo Commission in 1904, and suppressed together with all other documentary evidence brought home by that Commission. They are now made public for the first time here, with Dr. Barbour's permission. The area from which Mr. Clark writes is the Dornaine de la Couronne, and this account, together with Mr. Scrivener's, which will be referred to later, will show an appreciative public how the regenerator of Africa obtains his revenues!

Ikoko [Clark's mission station] represented in diary and letters — in 1893, as a large town "beautifully situated in a bay with, say, four thousand people within a radius of 1 mile from the mission station." The people are "fine-looking, bold and active." In
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1894 the district first came under the influence of the philanthropic monarch Leopold II. Large demands for rubber princely are made; also for fish and forced labour for the State plantations at Rikoro. Outrages commence.

"November 15, 1894. — Seven Irebus were foully murdered about half an hour from here. They had been tied and brutally shot when unable to move away from their murderers. Mr. Clark only hope under present rule is for us to try to put the information into the hands of the American Ambassador, and try to get him to personally lay the reports before Leopold II. I do not think he can know of what is being done in his name." (To a correspondent.)

"November 28th. — The State soldiers brought in seven hands and reported having shot the people in the act of running away to the French side." (To a correspondent in Scotland.)

"December 8th. — A year ago we passed or visited between Irebu and Ikoko the following villages. [Here follow the names of eight villages with "probable population" of each: total, 3,180.] A week ago I went up, and only at Ngelo (one of the villages in the list) were there any people; there we found ten." (To a correspondent.)

"April 12, 1895. — I am sorry that rubber palavers continue. Every week we hear of some fighting, and there are frequent rows even in our village with the armed and unruly soldiers. During the past twelve months it has cost more lives than native wars and superstitions would have sacrificed in three to five years. The people make this comparison among themselves. It seems incredible and awful to think of these savage men armed with rifles and let loose to hunt and kill people, because they do not get rubber to sell at a mere nothing to the State, and it is blood-curdling to see them returning with hands of the slain, and to find the hands of young children amongst bigger ones evidencing their bravery." (To a correspondent.)

"May 1st. — The war was on account of the rubber. The State demands that the natives shall make rubber, and sell same to its
agents at a very low price. The natives do not like it. It is hard work and very poor pay, and takes them away from their homes into the forest where they feel very unsafe, as there are always feuds among them. The rubber from this district has cost hundreds of lives, and the scenes I have witnessed while unable to help the oppressed have been almost enough to make me wish I were dead. The soldiers are themselves savages, some even cannibals, trained to use rifles, and in many cases they are sent away without any supervision, and they do as they please. When they come to a town no man’s property or wife is safe, and when they are at war they are like devils. Imagine them returning from fighting some rebels (?) see on the bow of the canoe is a pole, and a bundle of something on it. These are the hands (right hands) of sixteen warriors they have slain. ’’Warriors! ’’ Don’t you see among them the hands of little children and girls? I have seen them. I have seen where the trophy has been cut of, while the poor heart beat strongly enough to shoot the blood from the cut arteries at a distance of fully four feet.” (To a correspondent in America.)
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"May. — All the fighting about us on the Lake for say eight months has been on account of the rubber.” (To a correspondent in America.)

"May nth. — Nearly all Ikoko is in the bush — this everlasting rubber palaver is sending lots into eternity, and many to live like wild beasts in the woods, where they are afraid to make a fire for fear of attracting the man-hunters ” (i.e., the soldiers). (To a correspondent.)

** May 2Qt/-. — Kindly let me appeal to you again on behalf of Ikoko that the tax of rubber may be taken off.” (To Commissaire Fievez).!

''June 5, 1895. — There is a matter I want to report to you regarding the Nkake sentries. You remember some time ago they took eleven canoes and shot some Ikoko people. As a proof they went to you with some hands, of which three were the hands of little children. We heard from one of their paddlers that one child was not dead when its hand was cut off, but did not believe the story. Three days after we were told that the child was still alive in the bush. I sent four of my men to see, and they brought back a little girl whose right hand had been cut off, and she left to die of the wound. There was no other wound. As I was going to see Dr. Reussens about my own sickness, I took the child to him and he has cut the arm and made it right, and I think she will live. But I think such awful cruelty should be punished.” (To M. Mueller, Chef de district, Bikoro.)

"June 1th. — How many people have been slain for the sake of rubber I cannot tell, but the number is large.” (To a correspondent.)

"March 25, 1896.— This rubber traffic is steeped in blood, and if the natives were to rise and sweep every white person on the Upper Congo into eternity there would still be left a fearful balance to their credit. Is it not possible for some American of influence to see the King of the Belgians and let him know what is being done in his name? The Lake is reserved for the King — no traders allowed — and to collect rubber for him, hundreds of men, women, and children have been shot.” (To a correspondent.)

The Congo "Government" in Brussels — i.e., the King — denied the existence of this royal preserve — until 1902! The proceeds are handled by the King exclusively, and are not paid into the so-called public revenues of the Congo State. Vide Section IV. The exasperated natives now turn upon their destroyers:

"April 1st. — Two white men and about fifty soldiers killed by the Montaka natives on the Lake. Ikoko and Ngero are the only

1 In the Official Bulletin for June 1896, there is an eulogistic
report on the admirable assiduity of this official in obtaining rubber. It tells us that the district under his administration produced in 1895 "650 tons of rubber bought at 2½d. - European price – and sold at 5s. 5d. per kilo in Antwerp! **
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important villages not in arms - all caused through the rubber demand and mode of operation." (To a correspondent.)

"Nov. 2, 1898. - Some fighting in Ikoko two weeks ago. Two old men, one old woman, one girl, and two children killed. The old woman's hand was cut off. I saw the body. One child of about two and a half or three years of age had been struck over the stomach with the butt of a gun, and then thrown into the water, and a younger child had been no doubt treated in the same way, but its body was not found. A young girl (about ten) was with them, and she had been beaten and thrown into the water and died. The woman had been stabbed after being taken prisoner - the old woman was shot." (To a correspondent.)

We have seen that in 1893 Ikoko had a population of 4,000 souls. I complete these particular extracts with the following appeal to Lieutenant Dubreucq, Commissaire, dated May 5, 1899: -

' ' I desire to pray you that some alteration be made in the present State demands on Ikoko, or before long there will be no people here but those attached to the mission . . Now probably there are not over six hundred of all ages of people in the town and fishing camps. There is not one native chief of influence. While I have been here there have been four chiefs of considerable 'force,' but two of them were shot, and the other two were several times in the chain, and at last died in the town here. At present the death rate is very great because the people are badly nourished."

Such is the story of Ikoko and neighbourhood.


" Last year the country all about here was flooded, yet you levied your cassava tax month after month upon the people, in addition to your oil, fowls, and goat tax, etc. The people here had not enough to eat, and as their cassava was destroyed by the flood, they had to buy it at an exorbitant price from more fortunate districts. This year again the country is flooded and the farms spoil, but I suppose you will enforce the cassava tax, and the people have to starve again; and why? To feed and strengthen the State soldiers, to raid them again in their weakness! You take away the sturdy young men, leaving only the old people and children, so that every steamer that stays here loots the town, because the proper defenders have been taken off by the State."

Mr. Weeks, reporting to the District Commissioner and to the Governor-General in June, 1908, dealt with
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the depopulation of the country since 1890. His full letter is published in the West African Mail, October, 1903. It covers thirteen years.

** It distresses me very much to see and hear that this town, and others of this and neighbouring districts, are in a more deplorable state than they were two years ago (when Mr. Weeks returned to Europe on furlough). When we came to settle in Monserabe, in 1890, there were over 7,000 people between here and Bokongo. In
1900 there were very few over 3,000, and now there are not many over 1,000. If the decrease continues at the same rate, in another five years there will be no people left." Proceeds to set forth the causes. Continual deportation of young men to serve as soldiers and workmen, and of young women for other purposes. Demand for men levied without "any regard to population." Flight to get away from oppressive taxation. Sleeping sickness; thinks that this disease "would never have taken such a hold upon the people if they had not had their spirit crushed out of them by an ever-increasing burden of taxation." Taxation in food stuffs becoming heavier and heavier. Imposition of fines "sapping the life of the people." "Heartrending to compare this district now with what it was in 1890."

In letters to friends in England, dated June and July, 1903: —

"I say, without any fear of contradiction, that the condition of the people is, to put it mildly, one hundred per cent, worse than in 1093." . . "The entire population of the district is now 9,400, and quite half has recently been driven from the bush to the river to repopulate its banks. Stanley, in 1885, reckoned this same district at 80,000 people. In 1890 Mr. Stapleton and myself, in search of a site, landed at a very large number of towns, and concluded that the figures of 1885 were too high, and put the population down at 50,000. The population has dropped in 13 years from 50,000 to under 5,000 . . . This is not the only district which has gone down in population. Starting from Stanley Pool, Bwembe, has about 100 for every 1,000 it once had; Bolobo has not a third of its former population . . ." (Here follows an enumeration of towns with their old, and former population.)

Morrison, William, of the American Presbyterian Mission. Reports outrages in the Kasai district, beginning in 1898. They are given in the next record.

Murphy, of the American Baptist Union. Describes in Times of 1895 the raids and atrocities carried on by Congo troops in his district from 1893-5. "The hands — the hands of men, women, and children — were placed in rows before the Commissaire, who counted them to see that the natives had not wasted cartridges." Area: Domaine de la Couronne (Lake Mantumba).

Casement, Roger, British Consul in the Congo. It is difficult to dissect, from the point of view of time, the
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long and detailed disclosures of Consul Casement, which disclosures cover the past as well as dealing with the present. But here and there are passages which can be selected as showing how the present day situation is the outcome of long years of oppression. Consul Casement 'g Report was published in 1904. (Area : Domaine de la Couronne.)

"The population of the Lake-side towns would seem to have diminished within the last ten years by 60 to 70 per cent. It was in 1893 that an effort to levy an India-rubber imposition in this district was begun, and for some four or five years this imposition could only be collected at the cost of continual fighting."

Area : river banks.

"The station at Bikoro has been established as a Government plantation for about ten years. It stands on the actual site of the former native town of Bikoro, an important settlement in 1893, now reduced to a handful of ill-kept, untidy huts, inhabited by only a remnant of its former expropriated population."

"We touched at several points on the French shore, and on the 25th July reached Lukolela, where I spent two days. This district had, when I visited it in 1887, numbered fully 5,000 people; to-day the population is given, after careful enumeration, at less than 600.

"Bolobo used to be one of the most important native settlements
along the south bank of the Upper Congo, and the population in the early days of civilised rule numbered fully 40,000 people, chiefly of the Bobangi tribe. To-day the population is believed to be not more than 7,000 or 8,000 souls. The Bolobo men were famous in former days for their voyages in Stanley Pool and their keen trading ability. All of their large canoes have to-day disappeared, and while some of them still hunt hippopotami - which are still numerous in the adjacent waters - I did not observe anything like industry among them. Indeed, it would be hard to say how the people now live. . ."

"Perhaps the most striking change observed during my journey into the interior was the great reduction observable everywhere in native life. Communities I had formerly known as large and flourishing centres of population are to-day entirely gone, or now exist in such diminished numbers as to be no longer recognisable. The southern shores of Stanley Pool had formerly a population of fully 5,000 Batekas. These people some twelve years ago decided to abandon their homes, and in one night the great majority of them crossed over into French territory. Where formerly had stretched these populous native African villages, I saw to-day only a few scattered European houses. In Leopoldville there are not, I should estimate, one hundred of the original natives or their descendants now residing."

Area: Domaine de la Couronne. In the notes to his report Consul Casement gives details of native evidence showing how the "Lake-side" people were extirpated :
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"I decided to visit the nearest settlement of these fugitives. I asked first why they had left their homes and had come to live in a strange" far-off country where they owned nothing and were little better than servitors. All, when the question was put, women as well as men, shouted out, 'On account of the rubber tax levied by the Government Posts.' . . I asked them how the tax was imposed. . . 'From our country each village had to take twenty loads of rubber. These loads were big; they were as big as this (producing an empty basket which came nearly up to the handle of my walking stick). . . We had to take these loads in four times a month.' 'How much pay did you get?' - (Entire audience) 'We got no pay. We got nothing.' . . "It used to take ten days to get the twenty baskets of rubber. We were always in the forest, and then when we were late we were killed. You had to go further and further into the forest to find the rubber vines, to go without food, and our women had to give up cultivating the fields and gardens. Then, we starved. Wild beasts - the leopards - killed some of us when we were working away in the forest, and others got lost or died from exposure and starvation, and we begged the white man to leave us alone, saying we could get no more rubber, but the white men and their soldiers said, 'Go! You are only beasts yourselves; you are nyama (meat)." We tried, always going further into the forest, and when we failed, and our rubber was short the soldiers came up our towns and shot us. Many were shot; some had their ears cut off; others were tied up with ropes round their neck and bodies and taken away. We fled because we could not endure the things done to us. Our chiefs were hanged, and we were killed, starved and worked beyond endurance to get rubber. . . The white men told their soldiers, "You kill only women; you cannot kill men." So when the soldiers killed us' (here he stopped and hesitated, and then, pointing to the private parts of my bulldog - it was lying asleep at my feet) he said, 'then they cut off those things and took them to the white men, who said, "It is true you have killed men."' 'You mean to tell me that any white man ordered your bodies to be mutilated like that, and those parts of you carried to him? ' - (All shouting) '..Y'es, many white men.' 'You say this is true? Were many of you so treated after being shot? ' - (All shouting) 'Nkoto! Nkoto!' (Very many; very many)."

]Vr. Scrivener in his diary confirms this last statement. He heard it from the lips of the natives themselves, and in the Mongalla massacres of 1899 the agents of the Anversoise confessed to ordering sexual mutilations.

Dealing in a long enclosure with the appalling depopu-
lation of this region, Consul Casement gives as the primary reason thereof:—

"War," in which children and women were killed as well as men. Women and children were killed not in all cases by stray bullets, but were taken as prisoners and killed. Sad to say, these horrible cases were not always the acts of some black soldier. Proof was laid against one officer who shot one woman and one
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man while they were before him as prisoners with their hands tied, and no attempt was made to deny the truth of the statement. To those killed in the so-called "war" must be added large numbers who died while kept as prisoners of war. The irregular food supply has been another cause, says the Consul. The native is "without ambition because without hope." He does not attend to his plantations owing to the sense of insecurity. "When sickness comes he does not care." A third cause is the 'lower percentage of births.' Weakened bodies brings this about, also "women refuse to bear children and take means to save themselves from motherhood." They "give as the reason that, if war should come, a woman big with child or with a baby to carry cannot well run away." With regard to the mutilations practised by the soldiers and referred to by Mr. Clark and others, the Consul says, "Of acts of persistent mutilation by Government soldiers of this nature I had many statements made to me, some of them specifically, others in a general way. Of the fact of this mutilation and the causes inducing it there can be no shadow of doubt. It was not a native custom prior to the coming of the white man; it was not the outcome of the primitive instincts of savages in their fights between village and village; it was the deliberate act of the soldiers of a European administration, and these men themselves never made any concealment that in committing these acts they were but obeying the positive orders of their superiors."

Whitehead, John, of the British Baptist Missionary Society. Extracts from letter to Governor-General, dated Lukolela, July 28, 1903. Published in Africa no. 1, 1904 (White Book). (Area: river banks.)

"The population of the villages of Lukolela in January, 1891, must have been not less than 6,000 people, but when I counted the whole population in Lukolela at the end of December, 1896, I found it to be only 719, and I estimated from the decrease, as far as we could count up the known number of deaths during the year, that, at the same rate of decrease, in ten years the people would be reduced to about 400; but judge of my heartache when on counting them all again on Friday and Saturday last to find only a population of 352, and the death rate rapidly increasing."

Records from 1899 to 1903.

With the year 1898, the great Trusts of the central region came into being, and to the horrors of the Domaine de la Couronne and all that had been up to that time Domaine Prive were added the horrors of the Trust areas, as the agents of these concerns (which are the King under varying labels — Vide Section IV.) — struck new ground, or, as was the case with the A.B.I.R., carried further devastation into districts already "tapped."
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Lacroix and other agents of the Anversoise IVust, confessions of. (Area: central region, Mongalla.)

Fighting in the Mongalla district had been continuous since 1898. On April 10, 1900, the Nieuwe Gazet, of Antwerp, published the confessions of Lacroix. Instructed by his superiors to attack a certain village for shortage in rubber, he had killed in the course of his raid many women and children. "I am going to appear before the Judge for having killed 150 men, cut off 60 hands; for having crucified women and children, for having mutilated many
men and hung their sexual remains on the village fence." Other
confessions followed, published in Le Petit Bleu and other papers.
The Congo Courts inflicted long terms of imprisonment. The
men never served them, and have long since been released. The
defence was identical. They had acted under instructions— to
force rubber by any and every means. The "superiors" were not
troubled. Later on, as we shall see, the trial of the man Caudron,
also an agent of the Anversoise, showed, four years later, a
precisely similar state of affairs existing in the district.

Weeks, John (see above).

Letter of protest to District Commissioner of Bangala,
dated Monsembe, November 30, 1903. Published in the
West African Mail in 1904. Describes punishment of
towns of Bokongo, Bongondo, etc., for shortage in food
stuffs by a force of 150 soldiers under an officer; gives
names of eleven women, ten men, and a girl slaughtered
unresisting: "It is very evident from the different places
in which these people were shot down that there was no
armed resistance": "have you neither mothers nor
sisters that you can treat women in this brutal way?"
Mr. Weeks proceeds to give particulars of increasing
wretchedness of people owing to scandalous taxation;
people compelled to sell their relatives into slavery to
merit it; gives names of people sold into slavery to
provide food stuffs for State stations. Lieutenant in charge
was allowed to "etum to Europe, although a subsequent
inquiry confirmed the truth of Weeks' charges. So
admitted by the Commission of Inquiry.

In a letter to the author, dated December 24, 1903,
published in the West African Mail, 1904. Gives
abundant and detailed statistics of taxation in food stuffs:
shows that the 820 natives of all ages and both sexes in
the four sections of Malela, Bongondo, Mungundu, and
Bokongo must supply each year to the State food stuffs
aggregating £1,605 lbs. 8d. in value.

"I need scarcely point out that young children, very old people,
and invalids cannot earn a wage, or even farm or fish; consequently
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the burden falls heavier on those who can, and the vision before
them is one of unceasing toil in order to comply with the demands
of the State. Is it any wonder the natives die under the burden?
The wonder to me is that so many are alive after these seven years
of oppression and taxation. Death has less horror than this con-
stant grind, this perpetually trying to fill a bottomless sack, this
everlasting paying of heavy taxes, meeting exorbitant fines, being
shot down untried, or forced to work in the chain on a Stati
station. Death is kinder than this sort of living... My
colleague has just returned from spending a week among the Ndobo
towns, and his comment on what he there beheld was "Death and
decay in all around I see."

Tilkens, Lieutenant, officer of the Force Publique. His
letters, read in the Belgian House in July, 1903; cover
1897-1900. At the time he wrote them Tilkens was
carrying out his duties as fixed by his superior officers.
(Vide Sections I. and IV. Area: north-eastern region.
Domaine Prive.)

Letter to Major Lenssens of the Belgian Army on
July 20, 1898.

"The Chef de Poste of Buta announces the arrival of the
steamer Van der Kerhove, which is to be floated upon the Nile.
He will require the colossal number of 1,500 carriers. Unhappy
blacks! I dc not like to think of it." I ask myself, where I can
find them. If the roads were good it might be different; but they
are bazee cleared, crossed repeatedly by marshes, where many will
find a certain death. Hunger and the fatigues of an eight days
march will account for many more. What blood this transport
had not caused to flow! Three times already have I been forced
to make war upon chiefs who refuse to co-operate in the work.
Unfortunately they are but poorly paid for such arduous labour—5d. worth of cowries for the upward journey, and a piece of American cloth for the homeward journey. If a chief refuses it is war, and that atrocious war—perfected weapons of destruction against spears and lances. A native chief has just come to tell me, 'My village is a heap of ruins; all my wives have been killed. Yet what can I do? When I tell my people to carry the white man's goods they flee to the woods, and when your soldiers come to recruit I can give them no one because my people prefer to die of hunger in the woods rather than do transport work.' Often am I compelled to put these unhappy chiefs in the chains, until some 100 or 200 carriers are obtained, which procures their liberation. Very often my soldiers find the villagea deserted; then they seize women and children and capture them.'

To his mother in 1898:

"Commandant Meeus, my District Commissioner, ip about to return and Commandant Verstraeten, the friend of Major Le/issens, replaces him. It is he who inspected my station and who compli- raented me highly. He told me that the nature of his report vould depend upon the quantity of rubber produced. When he left me he told me to employ myself actively in collecting rubber, and from 360 kilos in September my production rose to 1,500 kilos in October, and this month I trust it will be over 2,000 kilo. . . By January I shall be making 4,000 kilos per month, which makes 500 francs profit above my salary. . . I really am a lucky fellow, and if I play at rubber for two years I shall make 12,000 francs over and above my salary."

On January 26, 1899, Commandant Verstraeten wrote to the Governor-General:

"I draw the Government's attention to Lieutenants Tilkens, Landeghen, and Verslype. These agents have specially distin- guished themselves in putting in train the exploitation of ruVber. To them is due the surprising results obtained in the area allotted to their action."

Tilkens to Major Lessens, May 12, 25, July 11, and August 10, 1899:

"I expect a general uprising, i think I warned you of this, Major, in my last. The motive is always the same. The natives are tired of the existing regime—transport work, rubber collecting, furnishing live stock for whites and blacks. . . For three months I have been fighting, with ten days' rest. . . I have 152 prisoners. For two years I have been making war in this country, always accompanied by forty or fifty AlbinisA Yet I cannot say I have subjugated the people. . . They prefer to die. . . What can I do? I am paid to do my work, I am an instrument in the hands of my chiefs, and I obey the orders which discipline exacts."

Verstraeten was never punished. Nay, he has been promoted in the Belgian army, which he continues to adorn.

Ruskin, of the Congo Balolo mission. Declarations before Judicial Officer Rossi, April 12, 1902. Minutes taken down by Mr. Jeffery of the same mission, in short- hand. Confirmed before Commission of Inquiry, and accepted as correct, 1904. Published in 1904 by author. Area: A.B.I.R. concession; central region (extracts):

"In the early months of 1899 M had a large number of prisoners at the factory. They were Improperly fed and cared for and died at the rate of from three, five, and sometimes ten a day. They were dragged by a piece of ngoji tied to the foot out into the bush, and only a little eai-th and a few sticks thrown on top of them. Hands and feet were left sticking up and the stench was awful. . . On July 18, 1899, four were released. An old man
U.e., soldiers armed with the Albini rifle.
".f., hostages.
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was found in the mission station. We gave him water and food which he ate ravenously, the director came up . and released one hundred and six prisoners. We saw them pass our station, living skeletons. Some were so much reduced that they had to be carried home. Among them were old greyheaded men and women. Many children were born in prison.

" They also seized Balua, the wife of Bontanga, and M, F had her flogged, giving her two hundred chicotte. So severely was she dealt with that blood and urine flowed from lier. She died shortly after. . . One man had bad rubber ; M.G compelled him to lie on the ground and Ilunga, one of tlie sentries, gave the man chicotte. G then struck the man with the flat of a machet, and he jumped up. G drew his revolver and shot him through the leg. . . M. F thought that his men were not strong enough and, therefore, could not compel the people to bring in what he considered sufficient rubber. Once when he was away his men stole some rubber, and for this he had them tied up right in the sun to stakes for a day and a night. Mrs, Cole (now Mrs. Haiber) when passing on her way to the schools saw the men there from a distance. They were naked and without food and water all day, and so great was their agony that their tongues were hanging out"

Didier, French explorer attached to the Bolirg de Bozas Expedition, passed through the Lado enclave in October, 1902, reports the neighbourhood of the Congo State Fort at Dufile " deserted by its former inhabitants." Along the whole course of the route the natives had fled " fearing the white man's impositions." (Area: north-eastern region; Dornaine Prive.)

Cromer, Earl of, reporting to British Government of the same region in 1903 (White Book, Africa, No. 1, 1904) says:

"The reason of all this (deserted condition of the country, oppression, etc.) is obvious enough. The Belgians are disliked, the people fly from them, and it is no wonder they should so do, for I am informed that the soldiers are allowed full liberty to plunder, that payments are rarely made for supplies. . . I understand that no Belgian officer can move outside the settlements without a strong guard."

Grogan, independent English explorer, says of the whole eastern frontier^ (Dornaine Prive) :

" From the north of Lake Albert to Lake Mweru there is a perfect state of chaos. Whole districts are administered by incompetent officials, often non-commissioned officers, and the troops are the lowest type of natives, almost invariably cannibals. . . The people were terrorised and living in marshes. . . The Belgians have crossed the frontier, descended into the valley, shot down large numbers of natives — British subjects — driven off the young women and cattle, and naturally tied up and burned the old

1 " From the Cape to Cairo," 1900.
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women. I do not make these statements without having gone into the matter... Every village has been burnt to the ground, and as I fled from the country I saw skeletons, skeletons everywhere; and such postures — what tales of horror they told! Thus a tract of country about 3,000 square miles in extent, has been depopulated and devastated... This was the Congo Free State!... When in Mboya the Balegga told me similar tales; here I was repeatedly given accounts that tallied in all essentials, and further north the Wakoba made the same piteous complaints, and I saw myself that a country well-populated and responsive to just treatment in Lugard's time is now practically a howling wilderness."

Baccari Captain-Surgeon in the navy, royal Italian envoy to the Congo in regard to a bogus emigration scheme fostered by the King Leopold to throw dust in the eyes of the Italian public. Passed through the eastern district (Domaine Prive) in 1903. Report suppressed by Italian Government; a bald, very bald summary, only allowed to appear:

"As to the natives those nearest to the proposed Italian settlements are nearly all in revolt against the Belgians. Everywhere the blacks are terrorised and suspicious... The natives have to be compelled to work, so we have all the ghastly scenes of the slave trade, the collar, the lash, and the press-gang."

Interviewed by the Giornale d'Italia, Captain Baccari stated that the:

"Italian officers employed in the Congo were intended to be used in the enslavement of the natives, but that they had refused to carry out this design, and had in consequence become objects of persecution."

Many reports of the Italian officers employed in the Congo army were publish'd by the Italian papers m 1905, covering their experiences chiefly in the Eastern district. Summing up these reports the Corner e delta Sera says:

"Slavery nominally established is rampant, cannibalism exists, and the sole desire of the native is, if possible, to flee from the white man." Vide also statement in Section IV.

Lloyd, A. B., independent English traveller, crossed the Congo from the Semliki to the ocean in 1899. Area: north-eastern region, Domaine Prive.)

"In the afternoon I was walking through the potato fields when I came upon sixty or a hundred women, all with hoes cultivating the ground, and close at hand a native soldier with a rifle across his shoulder mounting guard. I inquired where all the poor creatures had come from, and was told a sad story — alas! not uncommon in the Belgian Free State. A Wakona chief had been told to do some work for the Belgians, and when he refused, soldiers were sent, and upon the least resistance the men were shot down and the women captured. It was a sad sight to behold these poor creatures driven like dogs here and there and kept hard at their till from morning till night. One of the Belgian soldiers told me that there had been many killed, including the chief, and when I said what a terrible thing it was, he merely laughed and said. 'Washenzi Bevana' (they are only heathen)."

Scrivener, A. E., of the British Baptist Missionary Society, traversed a tract 150 miles long, on foot, in the Domaine de la Couronne in July, August, and September, 1903. Allowed the author to make full use of his diary; printed in full in the West African Mail, in 1905. It is very voluminous and the briefest summary here given:

"In the afternoon we passed a ruined mud house, and were told that this had been a rubber post with soldiers in charge, but that since all the people had run away it had been given up. Later on
we saw still more numerous sites where only recently thousands of people had been living. Cassava was still growing in the plantations, and bananas were rotting on the trees. . . All as still at the grave. . . A little further on we found another deserted rubber post: as the sun was setting there rose a large and imposing State post. . . All round were plentiful signs of the former population. Later I heard from a white official that the remaining population did not number a hundred all told. . . For hours we walked through a deserted country, though here and there on both sides were frequent signs of a recent population. . . Three chiefs came in with all the adult members of their people, and altogether there were not three hundred. And this where not more than six or seven years previously there were at least three thousand! It made one's heart heavy to listen to the tales of bloodshed and cruelty. . . We passed through miles and miles of deserted sites, and on all sides were groves of palms, and bananas, and many other evidences of a big people. . . A man bringing in rather under the proper amount of rubber, the white man flies into a rage and seizing a rifle from one of the guards shoots him dead on the spot. Men who had tried to run from the country and had been caught, were brought to the station and made to stand one behind the other and an Albini bullet sent through them. 'A pity to waste cartridges on such wretches.' . .

M. removing from the station, his successor nearly fainted on attempting to enter the station prison in which were numbers of poor wretches so reduced by starvation and the awful stench from weeks of accumulation of filth that they were not able to stand. . . In due course we reached Iballi. There was hardly a sound building in the place. Why such dilapidation? The commandant away on a trip likely to extend into three months, the sub-lieutenant away in another direction on a punitive expedition. In other words


60 RED RUBBER

the station must be neglected and rubber-hunting carried out with all vigour. I stayed here two days, and the one thing that impressed itself on me was the collection of rubber. I saw long files of men come, as at Mbongo, with their little baskets under their arms, saw them paid their milk-tin full of salt, and the two yards of calico flung at the head men; saw their trembling timidity. . . So rash for my journey into the T'ake (Tar) country! It has enlarged my knowledge of the country, and also, alas! my knowledge of the awful deeds enacted in the mad haste to get rich. The Bulgarian atrocities might be considered as mildness itself when compared with what has been done here."

Bond, Charles, of the Congo Balolo Mission, in a letter published in December, 1903. says of the A.B.I.R. concession territory :^

"I have the evidence of a number of men working for us at the present time that at their town on the Bosombo River numbers of men have been killed outright, and others have died from having their hands cut off because they would not submit to demands."

Casement, Roger (see above). To give a summary of Consul Casement's report describing the condition of affairs observed by him in 1903 which would convey 60 the reader a just notion of its cumulative force, would be impossible without devoting to it more space than I can afford. The area affected is the river bank region on the main highway of "Leopoldian civilisation." Here are a few short summaries :

A village of 240 people all told, compelled to produce one ton of "carefully prepared food stuffs" every week at a price far below the current figure. Other villages in much the same situation forced to carry their "tax" long distances. A group of villages whose population in 1887 was 5,000, now reduced to 500. Raids and slaughter for delay in paying food taxes (p. 26). Insufficiency of food accountable for much of the sickness prevalent (p. 28). Monstrous and illegal fines for shortage in food supplies, or rubber,
impoverishing the people, and leading to general wretchedness and despair. Natives fleeing from the white man, where formerly they greeted him with open arms. Villages taxed in gum copal to an almost incredible extent. A group of villages working all the year round, and subject to the usual punishments for shortage, producing per town £300 per ed, but declared afeath; hence the system under which those claims are upheld has not altered one iota, except for the worse.

The latest information may be briefly summarised. Of course, and unhappily, it only touches a tiny fringe of the vast Congo. For the rest, where there are no informants, the student is thrown back for positive evidence upon the admissions of the Belgian papers. These testify to a grave rising in the Ituri region, where "gold" has recently been discovered. Private information received by the author is to the effect that three months ago troops were concentrating from all sides at Stanley Falls to deal with this rising. French advices from the Congo state

1 "Red Rubber," a Pamphlet (T. Richardson and Son, Liverpool), by E. D. Morel. Price Is.
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that King Leopold's troops have been repulsed with the loss of two officers and 80 men. Belgian papers tell us that 500 soldiers are being despatched. Those papers also admit risings on the Kasai, the Kwango, and the Busira. In short, the same situation obtains as has existed since the Decree of 1891, which inaugurated the rubber slave-trade.

On January 17, 1905, Mr. Harris writes to the Vice-Governor General — who committed suicide when the Commission returned to Boma from their investigations — giving a long list of atrocities perpetrated in the Nsongo Mboyo district which he had just visited; also the names of seventy-three adults (including many women) and a number of children killed by sentries in that district. On April 4th Mr. Stannard writes to the author stating that the Director of the A.B.I.E. had repudiated the Commission's findings, and intends to continue as before. Mr. Harris writes to the District Commissioner on April 10th, pointing out a recrudescence of the rubber slave-trade, giving details of raids by sentries upon villages. Vain protests! Matters go on in the old way. Mr. Stannard, writing to the author in the same month, says, "the devil's work is in full swing again." Further letters from Harris to the District Commissioner describing the raiding of Bolumboloko, massacre, hostage taking, rape, and so on.

All last year, and during the present year, up to a few days ago, the author, as Hon. Secretary of the Congo Reform Association, has been engaged in sending reports to the Foreign Office, proving the prevalence of the same condition of things, and not only from the A.B.I.R. district, but from the river banks in the Domaine Prive. The journey of Mr. Whiteside and Mr. Stannard in the Upper Lomako will be fresh in the public mind. There have been visits of "High Commissioners," "Inspectors," and the Governor-General since the Commission left the Congo. The only result has been an

1 The Senior Governor-General is just now reposing in Brussels amid bowers of orchids and roses. Vide a recent interview.

2 All the correspondence exchanged between the Foreign Office and the Congo Reform Association is sent to the public press, and published in full month by month in the official organ of the Association.
aggravation of existing evils; the one new feature, the
persecution of the missionaries in a determined effort to
brow-beat them into silence. Massacre, outrage, rapine,
the river of blood flows on, and the river of gold flows in.

Since the above was written, evidence has continued
to accumulate. The Times publishes a long letter from
Mr. Freshfield, covering extracts of letters received by
him from the British and Italian expedition now explor-
ing Ruwenzori, and showing oppression, misrule, and
brutality in the Semliki region (N.E. area : Domaine
Prive). A considerable amount of information has
reached me from the Tanganyika region (Katanga Trust)
proving beyond doubt the existence, with the knowledge
and complicity of the officials, of the old-fashioned slave-
trade by Arabised chiefs protected by the Authorities. In
the name of humanity, will not the German Government
disclose the reports it has received from its officials in
East Africa on this subject?

Mr. Charles Bond (see above) sends detailed reports of
an aggravation of the food taxes round Lolanga since the
Governor-General's visit.

SECTION III

IS THERE A REDEEMING
FEATURE?

THE ARAB AND THE LIQUOR TRAFFIC

"The Free State did not flinch before its perilous task (the
destruction of the Arab power), and it has reaped the fruits of its
energy." — Descamps, New Africa.

"The suppression of the liquor traffic with the suppression of
the slave trade is the finest title to glory which the Congo State

What in the face of this history can be urged on behalf
of the Congo Administration which shall be held to
extenuate in any essential respect the havoc it has
wrought?

In an interesting article which appeared in the Quarterly
Review for January last, and whose authorship entitles it
to the most careful attention, it is suggested — after a
generous acknowledgment of the present writer's justifica-
tion for his charges — that I have "perhaps stuck too
exclusively to one side of the picture," that I have been
inclined to admit a "redeeming feature."

That criticism struck me very much.

I had never thought that there was a redeeming feature
—which could be urged in the same breath with deeds too
infamous to be forgiven by mercy itself. I had never
realised sufficiently until I saw that article that the matter
was one of debate. I have never until this day attempted
to argue it. If I do so now I beg the reader to believe that
it is wholly from an impersonal point of view. If the
Congo Administration has any virtues let them be set
forth; by all means let their claims be proclaimed and the
foundations upon which they rest subjected to analysis.

What, then, is the "other side of the picture"? What
is its relative value to the side we have gazed upon?

The Congo Administration claims to have suppressed
the slave-trading carried on by half-caste Arabs in a
The Arabs never got further West than the head waters of the Maringa. It was the Congo State Administration itself which Tarought them as far West as Stanley Falls. Tippoo Tib was King Leopold's first governor of the Upper Congo. That was before the struggle for political supremacy and the ivory markets, which the Arabs possessed, began.
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portion of the Congo basin about one-fifth the size of the territories over which it now asserts dominion.

The Congo Administration claims to have prohibited the liquor traffic in its territories.

The Congo Administration claims to have built railways^ put up the telegraph and telephone in certain districts, placed steamers on the upper river, built a large number of "fine stations," and in this manner established "civilisation " in the heart of Africa.

The Congo Administration claims to have introduced a regular system of "Justice " in its territories.

Travellers have borne witness to the good treatment of the natives in specific areas.

That, I think, fairly covers the ground.

Some of these assertions are true: some are partly true? and partly false. Some are altogether false. Even were they all literally true and could bear the test of examination, could they palliate, much less excuse the wrong-doing of the past fifteen years?

The Congo Administration extirpated the Arab slave dealers. It did." The policy pursued by these semi-barbarians was atrocious. But was it so atrocious as the civilised barbarism which has replaced it? If not, what becomes of the virtues attributed by the Congo Administration to itself as a consequence of its action?

If you knock down a foot-pad who is ill-treating some one, and after having driven the aggressor away, proceed to deal more severely with his victim, what claim have you to righteousness? "

A British officer — Major A. St. H. Gibbons — who has travelled through the region where these half-caste Arabs formerly held sway, and whose references to Congolese administrative methods have been in some respects so impartial that King Leopold's Press Bureau has quoted him in its publications^ as a friend and defender, has written in this respect. " To say that the status and lot of the native population has been in any way improved by

2 I thought it had completely when I wrote this sentence. Id point of fact, documents which have only just now reached me, prove that the old-fashioned slave-trade is still rife west of Tanganyika (see p. 79)

3 For the matt-er of that quite unjustly. (As he has himself pointed out in a letter to the Times.)

4 "Africa from South to North," 1904.
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the Belgian occupation seems to me more than doubtful." Remember that the above passage refers to that part of the Congo where the Administration claims to have conferred untold blessings upon the natives by delivering them from Arab tyranny. Major Gibbons continues:
"Under Arab influence the freedom of organised native communities was not interfered with. These people came to trade – to give and take, not to take only. Morally speaking I will content myself here with the bare assertion that the natives are not the gainers by the Belgian occupation." What a tremendous indictment of the Congolese position as regards the Arab contention in these few lines! The Arab did not take only. The Congolese official does, and the natives "are not gainers" under the change. This condemnation comes with added force when read with the accounts issued by the Press Bureau relating to the treatment of the natives under Arab rule. If they are worse off now, what, in the light of those accounts, must their condition be?

No man is probably more competent than Dr. Hinde, who served with the Congo forces in the Arab campaign, to speak of the characteristics of their occupation before its downfall, and passages from his famous book are also quoted by the Press Bureau in substantiation of the claim to virtue. What is the verdict of Dr. Hinde? "Despite," he writes, "their slave-raiding propensities during the forty years of their dominion, the Arabs had convert-ed the Manyema and Maleba countries into some of the most prosperous in Central Africa." The military and other operations conducted by the Congo Administration on its eastern frontiers have necessitated the head-carryage over the great caravan routes, formerly utilised by the Arabs to convey their ivory to the East coast, of a gigantic mass of stores of all kinds. One of those great trade routes, that leading to the western shores of Lake Tanganyika, crosses the heart of the Manyema country mentioned by Dr. Hinde as one of the most prosperous, under Arab rule, in Central Africa.

What does the Report of King Leopold's own Commission tell us on the present condition of the native peoples in the territories traversed by this route? It tells us that the native peoples are "exhausted" through the demands made upon them for head-carryage in the transport of Government material, and are threatened with "partial -d-struction."
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Captain Baccari, the King of Italy's envoy, travelled through that region three years ago.

What has he placed on record? "We have all the ghastly scenes of the slave trade, the collar, the lash, and press-gang." A lieutenant in the Italian army, whose official military records I have seen, and of whose bond fides I have personally assured myself, has recently returned to Italy after spending nearly three years in this - the Eastern Province of the "Congo Free State." Like so many of his compatriots he entered King Leopold's African army without the faintest idea of its habitual tasks, or of the nature of the Congo Administration itself."

He writes:

"The caravan road between Kasongo and Tanganyika is strewn with corpses of carriers, exactly as in the time of the Arab slave trade. The carriers, weakened, ill, insufficiently fed, fall literally by hundreds; and in the evening, when there happens to be a little wind, the odour of bodies in decomposition is everywhere noticeable, to such an extent, indeed, that the Italian officers have given it a name – 'Manyema perfume.'"

After fifteen years of "moral and material regeneration" a la Leopold – "Manyema perfume!"

Where is the "redeeming feature" here?

One might add a very great deal more in this connection, on the ethics of Arab versus Leopoldian slave raiding and trading. One could point to the fact that a
brisk trade in slaves is carried on to this day by the revolted soldiery of the Congo State, through territory which the Congo administration professes to control, with the Bihean caravaneers from inland Angola. One could point to the testimony of Italian officers to the effect that in the Arabised villages of a portion of the Eastern Provinces "the old markets for women slaves exist to-day as they did before," and that the inmates of the harem of Congo officers in that province have been bought and sold. One could point, inter alia, to Consul Casement's Report and to the evidence placed before the Congo Commission of Inquiry showing that the monstrous demands for food stuffs levied upon the natives in certain districts.

The Italian Government, owing to the protests of its officers on the Congo, has prohibited any further recruitment for the Congo army even among officers on the retired list. None will be allowed to renew their contracts. In twelve months from now the will not be a single Italian officer on the Congo.
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under direct administrative influence, compel the wretched people to sell their relatives to slavery in order to meet those demands. One could recall — as I have done — those official circulars signed by the supreme Executive, and torn from the abysmal and secret darkness of Congo infamy, after many years, by Monsieur Vandervelde, the Belgian Labour Leader, fixing a bonus payable to officials for every man captured and forced into the Congo army and military camps, so much for a man of a certain stature, so much for every youth, so much "per male child." One could assert and demonstrate abundantly that the raids upon villages by Congo officials and troops, to seize recruits and labourers: that the raids upon villages by Congo officials and troops to captivate women — "delicate operations .... to seize hostages," as the Report of the Commission of Inquiry puts it, to punish and terrorise communities short in their supply of rubber, raids in the course of which massacres wholesale and atrocities unspeakable are the habitual accompaniments, constitute proceedings indistinguishable from the raiding of Arab bands. One could prove — did not one feel that the reader is already sick with proof — that the "Congo Free State" in its basic claims, practices, and methods is primarily a huge slave-owning and slave-raiding corporation, and that compared with the cold diabolicism of its policy, Arab excesses extending over an infinitely smaller area were tame.

The slave-raiding, slave-dealing Arab was, at least, constructive. He destroyed, but to build. He was a colonizer — a ruthless one, but still a colonizer; witness the huge centres of economical activity, of agricultural production he created. He belonged to the land; he had permanent interests in it. To have played the role of mere destroyer would have been to make waste of his habitation and his substance.

But his successors, wielding absolute power in the country, are not attached to the soil. The objects of their employers in Europe are purely financial and foreign to Africa. Those employers seek a rapid accumulation of riches, and they spend those riches out of Africa. Africa — the people of Africa — play no part in the ends to which those riches are put. For the preservation of the races of Central Africa it would have been better if Islam —

1 Africa No. 1, 1904. (White Book.)
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which, as the leading authorities on Africa, British and French admit, breeds imion for mutual aid among the black peoples — had thrown deep and abiding roots among
the Bantu races of the eastern section of the Congo Basin. It would have given them that co-operation and adhesion by which alone they could have withstood the ravages of the special compound of slavery and "regeneration" patented by King Leopold in the name of Christianity. Civilisation went frantic over the cruelty of the incul- tured Arab half-caste. It has allowed the cultured Euro- pean to impose upon an infinitely greater number of human beings a yoke more unbearable than the Arab laid. And that yoke remains.

From the Arab to the gin bottle and the demi-john of rum. The Congo Administration claims to have pro- hibited the liquor traffic in the Upper Congo. The claim is untenable. The Act of Berlin, it was, which formally prohibited the importation of alcohol into the Upper Congo, just as it prohibited it in Northern Nigeria. The Act of Berlin did not prohibit the import of liquor into the Lower Congo, and the Congo Administration has not suppressed it there, nor put on duties as high as in some other West African Dependencies. The two foremost Belgian authorities on the Congo question — Mr. A. J. Wauters, Editor of le Mouvement Geographique, and Prof. Carrier, of the Brussels University — pointed this out soon after the publication of the Report of the Commission of Inquiry, in which the Commissioners are made to say that the Congo Administration deserves the thanks of the civilised world for sternly waving aside the temptation of paying its labour with gin. As that fine humanitarian and excellent wit — a rare combination — Pierre Mille, remarks in his and Chalaye's Les deux Congos:

"It is perfectly true that the Congo State does not pay the natives with a drink of brandy: it does not pay them at all. It

1 It may be argued that the Congo Administration has violated other clauses of the Act. So it has, of course, but always by a subterfuge. Thus it has suppressed commerce by appropriating the elements, which, in Central Africa, constitute it. But it claims that those elements are in esse the property of the Adminis- tration, and that trade is perfectly free. . . in other things! But by no conceivable ingenuity could it have declared that a bottle of gin was, really, a bottle of eau-de-Cologne: to admit of its importation would have been too patent a violation for the most subtle of jurists to explain away.
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is excessive to praise it even for that, because the Berlin Act explicitly forbids the import of alcohol! But no doubt the Com- missioners, seeing that the Congo State had violated all the other clauses of the Act, were amazed at its having respected this one."

Apart from the inaccuracy of the claim, historically considered, the fusel oil of hypocrisy is present in larger proportions here than in all or nearly all other instances of philanthropic protestations of the Congo administration. This is not the place to discuss the African liquor traffic with any thoroughness. Personally I have written against it very strongly. But the more one studies the accessible data, and the brighter the light which is thrown upon the various factors concerned, the more is the problem of the liquor traffic in Africa, as in Europe, seen to bristle with complications and difficulties. And without being con- verted thereby, one is impressed with the character and the weight of conviction of some of those who have opposed the general view as to the positive hurtful effects of European imported liquor has upon the primitive swamp- and-forest-dwelling communities of West Africa; the more one is inclined to the belief that the true lines of reform are in the direction of improved quality, and progressive rises in customs duty whenever the import is seen in a given period to average out above its normal and viH'tuaBy stationary figure.
Be that as it may, the attempts of the Congo Administration to wash away its sins by dragging in (on an historically false issue to begin with) the hquor traffic argument, can only fill the mind of an ordinary person who knows something of the facts with disgust. It is better, it seems, for the "regeneration" of the native that he should be subjected to all the Congo Administration subjects him to, rather than be allowed to spend a portion of his earnings in the luxury of a drink. He has been robbed of all he possesses which is marketable against European or American merchandise. He can buy nothing, neither drink to drown in temporary oblivion his misery, nor aught else, for he owns nothing with which to buy, and his labour belongs to King Leopold. And the Administration which has robbed him calls heaven to witness that it has forced him, with moral and material suasion, to take the pledge. Similarly might the high-

1 Mary Kingslev and Sir William Macgiegor, for example.

II

PUBIJC WOEKS AND THE PRICE THEREOF

"What does the native receive in return for all this taxation? I know of absolutely no way in which he is benefited. Some point to the telegraph. In what way does the telegraph benefit the native? Those who live near the line have to keep the road clear for nothing, and in tropical Africa that is not an easy task. Others point to the scores of steamers running on the Upper Congo. In what way do they benefit the native? Here and there along the river natives are forced to supply large quantities of firewood for an inadequate remuneration. Others, again, point to well-built State stations. In what way do they benefit the native? They were largely built and are now largely maintained by forced labour. Then others point to the railway. It is a splendid achievement of engineering skill and pays large dividends to shareholders, but in what way does it benefit the thousands of natives on the Upper Congo?" — J. H. Weeks, for twenty-five years a missionary in the Upper Congo, in a letter to the author, dated Monsembe, December 24, 1903.

I COME to the third claim. The Congo Administration has undertaken the construction of public works and buildings. "Elegant" stations have been erected along the banks of the upper river. That is quite true. No one has ever denied it. Some of the public edifices at Boma and Matadi on the lower river are quite as substantial as those which are to be met with in other administrative centres of the West Coast of Africa. But who has paid for their erection? The Congo native. Whose labour is it which has reared them from the ground? The labour of the Congo native. Whom do they benefit now that they are there?

The Congo native? The Congo native, who is "entitled to nothing"? The Congo native, who owns neither his land, nor the fruits of the soil (which he alone can gather), nor his labour?

If the Congo native does not benefit from the existence of these fine buildings which his labour has constructed

2 M. Smet de Naeyer, the Belgian Premier.
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and paid for; if their existence merely facilitates the plunder of his country and the exploitation of his person by the occupants of them, in what sense can their construction be claimed as evidence of "civilisation"?

To maintain such a thing would be to make use of an argument which no longer passes muster in the world. It is out of date by two thousand years.

Go behind those fine stations, those camps of military instruction, those Government-run plantations. Go behind them into the forest and the bush. Mingle with the people of the land. Witness their abiding desolation, their daily griefs. Wander among ruined homes and poverty-stricken hamlets, where once flourished prosperity and ease. Look how the grass almost conceals the village paths once so clear and clean; weeds overhanging the now crumbling huts; mud invading the river frontage once filled with cassava steeping-pits—that mud where the mosquito and the tsetse love to breed, the purveyors respectively of malaria and sleeping sickness, whose dreaded ravages sweep increasingly through the land, claiming ready victims in a broken—spirited and ill-nourished people broken by long years of grinding tyranny, ill-nourished through the workings of a system which demands for its multitude of agents the staple foodstuffs of the country. Where are the stores of brass rods, the numerous live-stock which were once the pride and wealth of these primitive communities? Arbitrarily seized, the Commission of Inquiry is fain to admit, as it records the "incontestable impoverishment of the villages." Where are the native industries which once gave pleasure and occupation to these people—iron-ware, brass-ware, rude pottery, basket-making? They have "decayed," says the report of the Commission—decayed, as everything worth preservation has decayed and withered beneath the breath of Leopoldian civilisation. "It is hard to tell how these people live."

See these beings in whom the very manhood seems stamped out dragging themselves back from the bush at the day's end after a weary search through partly submerged forest, knee-deep, waist-deep, in foetid swamp, for the accursed juice of the rubber vine, that vine which they must find and tap in all seasons, in all weathers, whether the sap is rising or falling, always, ever day after day the year round until death in some form—by violence, exhaustion, exposure, or disease, or mere weariness and sorrow—closes the term of an everlasting and—mysterious visitation. See them at night in the forest, far from home, wife, and children, their interminable search not yet over, huddled together under a few palm-leaves with a scrap of fire in their midst. The nights are cold in the equatorial forest. The rain invades their scanty shelter, and the night wind chills their naked bodies racked with rheumatism and fevers,
their minds a prey to superstitious fears in the impene-
trable gloom made by the giant trees and matted creepers
through which the sun never pierces, where malignant
spirits are abroad — exposed, unarmed and helpless, to the
attack of some roving leopard.

What thou&’ts are theirs! In the distant village
wives and children live at the mercy of the capriciousness,
cruelty, and lust of the armed ruffian set there by the
white man: men fierce, all-powerful, speaking another
tongue, tribal enemies perchance, or maybe the worst
malefactors in the community, specially selected for that
very reason as the most fitting instruments of oppres-
sion: men whose lightest word is law, who have but to
lift a finger — they and their bodyguard of retainers — and
death or torture rewards protest against the violation of the
sanctities of sex, against the rape of the newly-
marrued wife, against bestialities" fool and nameless,
exiles introduced by the white man’s " civilisation "
and copied by his servants in the general, purposeful,
Satanic crushing of body, soul, and spirit in a people —
crushing so complete, so thorough, so continuous, that
the capacity of resisting aught, however vile, slowly
perishes.

Out there in the forest, the broken man through the
long and terrifying watches of the night — what is his
vista in life? Unending labour at the muzzle of the
Albinia or the cap-gun: no pause, no rest. At the utmost

1 Soclomy, publicly forced upon chiefs or influential head-men
whom it was necessary to humiliate in the eyes of their people,
has been resorted to in certain territories at the point of the sentry’s
gun. The practice is unknown among the natives.
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if his fortnightly toll of rubber is sufficient, if leaves and
dirt have not mingled in too great proportion w’th the
juice, he may find that he has four or five days a month
to spend among his household. If so he will be lucky,
for the vines are ever more difficult to find, the distance
to travel from his village greater; then the rubber must
be taken to the white man’s fine station, and any number
of delays may occur before the rubber-worker leaves
that station for his home. Four or five days’ freedom per
month — that is the very maximum he can expect. Five
days to look after his own affairs, to be with his family,
and always under the shadow of the sentry’s rifle. But
how often in the year will such good fortune attend him?
Shortage on one occasion only will entail the lash, or the
chain, and detention; worse, perhaps, if the rubber-man
has a fever or an enlarged spleen that day. And if he
flinches? If starting from an uneasy sleep there in the
forest, when shapes growing out of the darkness proclaim
the rising of another day, he wakens to the knowledge
that his basket is but half full and that he must begin
his homeward two-days’ march betimes, not to miss the
roll-call; his heart fails him and he turns his face away,
plunging further into the forest, fleeing from his tor-
mentors, seeking only one thing blindly, to get away from
his life and all that it means — what will happen? Well
enough he knows. Has he not seen the process with his
own eyes? Father, mother, or wife will pay for his back-
sliding in the hostage-house. And whither shall he flee?
The forest encompasseth him on every side, the forest with
its privations by day, its horrors by night. There he must
live, seeking such nourishment as roots and berries will
afford. Shall he gain some other village in the hope that
it may be a friendly one? But there will the sentry be
also, and his doom as a " deserter " is sure.

Go behind those "coquettish" centres of "civilisa-
tion " where the superior Congolese official drinks, keeps
his women, and superintends the shipment of the rubber in
the river steamers bound for the Pool, the railway, and
the ocean steamers. Go behind those outlying "Posts"
where the subordinate Congolese official or agent of the
Government-controlled rubber trusts lives in discomfort
and solitude — unless his posse of savage or cannibalistic auxiliaries can be called company — eating
out his soul, losing hold on decency and dignity with the
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months, harried by perpetual objurgations from the
superior person in the fine station for rubber, more
rubber, still more rubber.

Go behind them — those out-stations — and in some
covert place near at hand in a clearing, surrounded by
bush, hidden from prying eyes of prowling missionary or
chance traveller, you will come across it. A small, low-
roofed building, opening into another, where a guard of
sentries keep watch and ward. This is the hostage-house,
one of the recognised institutions of the Upper Congo,
like the chicotte, the collier-national — otherwise the
chain-gang — and the matabiche — otherwise the rubber
bonus. Inside, herded like cattle in a pen, cramped and
suffocated, unkempt, grovelling in filth and squalor — men
women, and children, chiefly women. Half-starved,
wholly starved at times — what a story the records of the
Congo Courts will tell if a substantial number of them is
ever dragged to light! For the pestered, unwrought
subordinate white man in the out-station, grown callous,
and habitually, almost unconsciously, cruel, has other
things to think about besides his hostages and their
victualing. It is as much as he can do, often enough,
to feed himself and his soldiers. Taught by his superiors
to look upon the people of this regenerated land as brute
beasts ere he sets foot among them, the daily task
assigned to him has bred a total disregard for human
sufferings. His mind has become simply non-receptive to
such ideas. Rubber is his god! His salary is a mere
pittance, but every ton of rubber from his out-itation
spells matabiche, and every month that passes means
possession coming nearer, and with it release from his
surroundings. Censure, if the output falls below the
stated figure. Praise and advancement, if he succeeds in
maintaining or increasing it;... Rubber is his god! The natives are but means to an end — and
them he loathes. Ah! how he grows to loathe them! Are they behind-hand in their quota? Then they are
robbing him: he who has power of life and death over
hundreds or thousands of men, women and children! Do
they tremblingly urge that the vines are exhausted? They
are defying him! He knows it, and his feverish brain devises fresh measures for their coercion. He
re-reads his instructions, couched in terms of mingled
cajolery and warning, and he hardens his heart. Fever, solitude, discomforts, excesses, the sense of omnipotence
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grafted upon an indifferent "noraJe" and pernicious ideas
inculcated by his employers, the sense of mingled irrita-
tion and vanity excited by seeing fear, and the deceit
bom of fear, in every face, the iron chains- of the whole
system of which he has become the tool, and, in a sense,
the victim — a system implacable, unalterable, machine-
like, whose motive power, controlled and directed with
genius from a far-away European city, operates in the
Equatorial forest with passionless regularity — all this has
made of him what he is, what he needs must be, lost to
all moral sense, impervious to emotions of pity or
compassion.

" When an official begins to realise the coulisses of the Admini-
Rti-ation, he is stupefied to have fallen so low in the social scale.
He cannot ask for his resignation because the Rtcueil administratif
does not admit it. 2 If he insists, and leaves his station, he can be prosecuted for desertion, and, in any case, will probably never get out of the country alive, for the routes of communication, victualling stations, etc., are in the hands of the Administration, and escape in a native canoe is out of the question — every native canoe, if its destination be not known and its movements chronicled in advance from post to post, is at once suspected and liable to be stopped, for the natives are not allowed to move freely about the controlled water-ways. The official must therefore finish his term, always obeying the iihase.s of the Governor-General and the District Commissioner, without the hope of being able to make known the miseries he is undergoing to the outside world, because in Boma there is a Cabinet noir^ for correspondence."

Look inside that hostage-house, staggering back as you enter from the odours which belch forth in poisonous fumes. As your eyes get accustomed to the half-light they will not rest on those skeleton-like forms — bones held together by black skin — but upon the faces. The faces turned upwards in mute appeal for pity : the hollow cheeks, the misery and terror in the eyes, the drawn parched lips emitting inarticulate sounds. A woman, her pendulous, pear-shaped breasts hanging like withered parchment against her sides, where every rib seems burst- 

1 The type of Belgian — "they are not all Belgians, of course — who goes to the Congo is usually a very poor one. 

2 "Every one knows that the Congo Government will not allow its officers to return home." — Signor Santim, Deputy for Rome in the Italian Chamber, November, 1905.

3 That is a Department which examines outgoing and incoming correspondence. I have had experience of that.

* Letter to the author from an Italian officer.
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"lig from its covering, holds in her emaciated anus a small object more pink than black. You stoop and touch it — a new-born babe, twenty-four hours old, assuredly not more. It is dead, but the mother clasps it still. She herself is almost past speech, and soon will join her babe in the great Unknown. "The horror of it, the un-speakable horror of it. "*

Every station, every " post," every " factory " of the rubber districts of the Upper Congo ^ and many in the food-taxed districts, has its hostage-house. The number of hostages detained is inscribed upon registers, and — so far as the out-stations are concerned — monthly statements on forms printed for the purpose are entitled, " Etaf des indigoves soumis A la contrainte par corps," and forwarded in duplicate to headquarters. ^ Hj careful reckoning of the number of stations and out-stations, the authorised number of hostages detained per mensum in each, and documentary evidence showing how that number is exceeded, it has been possible to compute that ten thousand human beings pass through the hostage-houses of one only of the vast rubber preserves of the Upper Congo in a single year. How many remain to die, or leave them only to die, is more difficult to compute. 

The hostage-house is one of the most efficacious assets of the rubber slave-trade.

Sometimes with shameless boldness, but with some attempt at outward decency because the site is a more public one, the hostage-house flaunts itself openly and is a more pretentious and commodious building. This, on the premises of one of the " fine " and important central stations. And here you can see the prisoners as they march, roped, through the station to the abode which a beneficent Administration has caused to be erected for the purpose of stimulating a healthy desire to work among the natives of Central Africa. Slowly the proces-
sion winds its way through the station buildings – officers' bungalows, drying sheds for rubber, and so on. At its head walk four sentries fez on head, and cap - gun or Alhini slung from their brawny shoulders. Behind them eighteen women – mothers, those whom motherhood will

1 The Reverend S. Gilchrist (nine years' experience on the Congo) to the author, describing his visit to a hostage house at Mampona.

3 I have those documents in my possession. Vldc' also particulars given in the next chapter.
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shortly claim, maids, girls of tender age. Some carry babies, or hold tiny children by the hand, for whom should feed these if left in the village behind? Falttering they come, casting fearful glances to left and right, " so terror-stricken that they cannot control the calls of nature."* What is their offence? It is an offence by proxy, and a very grave one. The husbands, or the brothers of these women have failed to trap the weekly antelope required as part of the tax for the white man's table; or the rubber has been of bad quality and insufficient in quantity. It is necessary to take these measures. The husbands will require their wives, and they will trap the antelope, they will find the fish, and improve their rubber supply. They are lazy that is all. If they do not – well, the women will remain in their pleasant abode, fed generously by an Administration full of concern for their moral and material welfare. Should delay prove exaggerated and indefensible, it will be the painful duty of the official in charge to send a number of sentries to protect that village. Merely to visit it. They will take their guns? Yes, but for self - protection . These people are wild, very wild. But, rest assured, the guns will not be used save under deliberate provocation; it would be contrary to the regulations. Ah ! of course, if the regrettable necessity presented itself – why, then these poor brave sentries would have to defend their lives. The women in the house of detention? Well, no doubt, they would be very happy to join the sentries' menage. And who knows? You observed the fifth in the line, she with the brass anklets? No? You English are strange people. She was pleasing, quite pleasing. "Distinguished magistrates " assured the Commissioners of Inquiry – says their Report – that the detention of women in hostage-houses was the most " humane form of coercion." Perhaps it is on the Congo, for there are many worse. But the Leopoldian conception of humanity is the humanity of the human tiger thirsting, not for blood, but for rubber which presently – when flung from the hold

1 Letter to the author from a correspondent who has seen these familiar sights on the Congo. For further particulars the reader is referred to the White Book, Africa No. 1, 1904.
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of an rxjean carrier (owned by an Englishman, plentifully be-starred and be-medalled) upon the Antwerp quay – shall be converted into gold.

Gold to pour into the lap of some favoured mistress.^
Gold to be invested in undertakings " from China to Peru." Gold to rear palaces, pagodas, and monuments to the Emperor of the Congo in Belgian cities. Gold to purchase properties under brilliant Mediterranean skies.
Gold to be hoarded in private treasure-chests of which none but the Royal owner holds the key. Gold to cor-
rupt consciences and manufacture public opinion : to
disseminate lying literature throughout the world, even on
the seats of continental railway carriages.

I have stood on that quay of Antwerp and seen that
rubber — disgorged from the bowels of the incoming
steamer, and to my fancy there has mingled with the musi-
chimes ringing in the old Cathedral tower another
sound — the faintest echo of a sigh from the depths of
the dark and stifling hold. A sigh breathed in the gloomy
Equatorial forest, by those from whose anguish this
wealth was wrung. They knew not their merciful Em-
peror. Yet that echo took form of words in my mind:
'"Imperator!" — it has seemed to whisper — '"Imperator!
Morituri te salutant!" They who are about to die, salute
thee. Emperor!" Perhaps it was because thoughts flew
backwards five hundred years when to the sound of the
same gentle pealing from the old Cathedral tower, the
ancestors of this same people, which permits to-day its
foreign monarch and his financial bodyguard to plagiarise
in Africa the infamies committed upon its own citizens by
the hirelings of another foreign monarch, fell in niangled
heaps in the narrow streets of this very city. If there be
a spirit in that tower which never dies — as legend some-
where has it— one can picture the cynical smile that flits
across its shadowy features as, contemplating at once the
rubber-laden quay and the escutcheon of the city with
its severed hands, and thinking of the Congo toll — the
toll of the handiess stump — reflects of the world and its
ways, "Plus ga change: plus c'est la meme chose."

Yes. Go behind those fine stations cemented with the
blood of black humanity, and see into the lives, read into
the hearts of the people. Witness the degradation to

1 Vide the revelations published widely throughout Belgium
during the last few months.
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which native he has simk — tliat elderly Chief, honoured
in the eyes of his subjects, flogged and put to menial tasks,
made to drink from the white man's latrine.* In the
social system of the African native the person of the chief
is at once the father of the clan, its rallying-point, the
century to which it looks for guidance, the symbol of all
that the clan venerates and regards as holy. The
deliberate policy of " Bula Mitadi " has been to break
down that influence, in nine cases out of ten an influence
for good, and of co
\n*se put nothing in its place. Every
feature of indigenous life which made for self-respect has
been dragged in the mud of grinding tyranny and foul
imaginings: natural instincts of dignity and the bonds of
undermined : indigenous laws for the localisation of
disease rendered of no avail through the wholesale
deportation of women, and the moving hither and thither
of masses of soldiery. " Public incest* as a pastime to the
brutal soldiery: things nameless, unprintable. Watch
that procession wending its way through the tortuous bush
track. Mourners — sons carrying the body of their father,
murdered by one of the village sentries in a fit of caprice,
to the white man's station. Tlie slain man was the chief
of that primitive community. Moreover he was a
"medal-chief." Surely in this case justice could be
secured against the assassin. Impatiently the white man
hears the story, and bids the bearers, through an inter-
preter, depart. The rubber was insufficient. It was not
the first offence. The chief was responsible. "It is
enough." As the men delay somewhat in taking up their
burden, he sets his dog upon them.† Watch, too, this son
of a murdered father, begging from the murderer permiss-
ion to untie the body from where it hangs on yonder
sapping, and give it decent burial. That permission will
be granted eventually, but on it will be founded a further
pretext for extortion, and a goodly portion of the remain-
ing family goods will pass into the sentry's hands. Note
the gait of that youth as he limps painfully into the villag*
1 White Book. Africa No. 1, 1904.

2 Native name for the Congo Administration. It means the breaker of stones. It should be changed to the breaker of hearts.

3 Vide in this respect, and more particularly, the long report of Mr. Dugald Campbell sent to the Foreign Office, by Mr. Fox Bourne.

* Evidence before the Commission of Inquiry. 5 Ibid.
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square. He is a fine muscular specimen of humanity. What ails him? x/s he turns, the cause is clear enough>. Down his broad naked back and loins, the blood slowly runs and drips upon the gound. Flies are buzzing round his shoulders. He has been flogged by the white man's orders for shortage. Fifty blows of the rhinoceros hide whip. He fared better than Bokoto of W'ala, he explains to his aged mother as he reaches his hut — he got a hundred strokes, and had to be carried away.

* * * * -jt

Go behind those "fine" stations, which figure in the illustrated publications so obligingly scattered broadcast by the Press Bureau. Get from the lips of survivors the story of the "breaking" of their village. The narration takes you back to the Middle Ages, to the exploits of the Spanish conquistadores in the West Indies. Go from village to village: from district to district. Leave the rubber zone and visit that fishing centre where the old men — the young are away getting in their fortnightly "tax" — will tell you, in their primitive simplicity, "our young men have no time even to make children. There is nothing before us but death." Get from the lips of the people everywhere the same story of misery and woe: here, when the weekly tax in food-stuffs has been paid there is nothing left but leaves to eat: there the chant of mourning for relatives slain in an affray with the sentinels. Pass on through swamp and brushwood. There is another hamlet not far off, and from its direction a confused noise arises, quickly to be distinguished as cries of terror, shouts, execrations. A man dashes past you running swiftly down the bush path you are now entering. Seeing you he doubles back and plunges into the forest. You come upon the scene. It is typical and commonplace. A white man in dirty clothes and straggling beard sits upon a stool. Before him stand several soldiers surrounding, or holding, five women and a man, whom the official is angrily interrogating through an interpreter. He is taking the census of the village and apportioning its "taxation," that is all. Other soldiers are busy looting the huts, coming out with armfuls of spears and knives, cutting down the plantations, or chasing with loud shouts the villagers who have fled panic-stricken to the bush. Multiply such scenes, such tales, such tragedies ten thousandfold, and you will only touch the fringe of a people's misery.

1 Evidence before the Commission of Inquiry.
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thousandfold, and you will only touch the fringe of a people's misery.

To men who have lived among them for many weary moons, and whose existence would long ago have been intolerable but for their faith in the Almighty, to a man who for years has been receiving the outpourings of these men's hearts in letters and in speech, and whom circumstances have given an insight — granted to few — into the European side of this unparalleled scandal and colossal human tragedy, until their hideousness has burned itself into his soul and scorched it, there is no "redeeming
feature in the public works constructed by King Leopold on the Congo, or in Brussels.

On the Congo, every mile of railway, every mile of road, every new station, every fresh steam-wheeler launched upon the water-ways means a redoubling of the burden on the people of the land. First, because their labour, and their labour alone, supplies the needed moneys and the needed muscles. Secondly, because these material evidences of "civilisation" serve but one purpose, that of facilitating the enslavement of the inhabitants, of tightening the rivets in the fetters of steel within whose pitiless grip they groan and die.

As for the handsome edifices raised by King Leopold in Brussels with the proceeds of this rubber slave-trade, I can find no words more fitting than those of M. Vandervelde uttered in the Belgian Chamber last March, to characterise them:

"I tell him that this money; these profits, these presents are shameful thinsces because they are the result of the exploitation of a whole people."**

JUSTICE AND THE FRIENDLY CRITIC

"The administration of justice in the Congo is of such an impartial and protective character, and is so highly appreciated by the natives themselves that they come in ever-increasing numbers and from great distances to submit to the jurisdiction of the whites" (\"sic\). — Descamps, New Africa.

The Congo Administration claims to have introduced "Justice" into its territories. Justice! The virtue which consists in giving every one his due! Clearly, it is not a claim to this sort of Justice on the Congo which requires discussion. No. What is contended by and for the Congo Stat-e is that it has instituted a judicial system, which is a very different thing. A judicial system can be pure, or impure. It can be an instrument of protection to the weakest. It can be an engine of tyranny under which the weak are ground to powder with every appearance of strict legality. It is claimed for the judicial system of the Congo State, in that familiar and inimitable language of lofty sentiment studded with rhetorical flowers, that 'it corresponds with the double mission to be fulfilled by the Government, to solve the essentially judicial litigation which can arise in social life, and to punish in conformity with the law the violation of social order.'"

There exists in the capital of the Congo State, Boma on the lower river, a Court of First Instance, and an Appeal Court, and there are thirteen territorial tribunals scattered throughout a country some 800,000 square miles in extent. For all criminal cases the Appeal Court at Boma is supreme, beyond it there is no appeal. The "Supreme Council" in Brussels, whose members are appointed by the King and which never meets, is theoretically a Court of Cassation for civil cases.

The first essential of a pure judicial system is a magistracy independent of executive influence or control. Under a regime of absolute autocracy such conditions are unlikely. In the Congo State they are obviously impossible, and it is one of the many amazing things in the Report of the Congo Commission of Inquiry that, having seen the Congo system at work, having noted the break-
ing of the paper laws of the land by the Executive and by individuals throughout the length and breadth of their peregrinations," the Commissioners should have pleaded earnestly and strenuously for a magistracy free from Executive interference, a plea which has necessarily been rejected by the Sovereign autocrat.

The members of the Congo Magistracy are, from highest to lowest, nominated by the King. The Governor-General is the King's "mandatory," and the Public Prosecutor's office is exercised under the Governor-General's authority. The latter can stop prosecution in criminal cases, and can suspend proceedings in criminal cases at any stage after they have been instituted. He does so habitually, as the Report of the Commission of Inquiry admits. It could not be otherwise, seeing that the Executive itself is the supreme violator of the "law."

The Public Prosecutor and his assistants are, consequently, the servants of the Executive, that is to say, of the Governor-General; and the judicial system of the Congo State 'exists only to give an appearance of legality to what is indefensible, to invest the rubber slave-trade in the eyes of Europe with the garb of respectability, to make the world believe that a legal machinery exists to protect the native, when that machinery is used, in point of fact, to minister to his oppression.

With such a system the effective administration of justice is, of course, impossible, and it is not the least of the negligences of British Governments, that they should have permitted, for all these years, British subjects on the Congo, whether white or black, to be subject to the jurisdiction of the Congo Courts. Moreover that situation still obtains. Under it one Englishman was hung out of hand. English missionaries are now being harried for speaking the admitted truth before the Commission of Inquiry, and a very considerable number of British coloured subjects have suffered, and doubtless now suffer, the gravest wrongs. I refer to this subject again in Section V.

1 To take one instance, "forced labour" was applied by the Administration for eleven years, illegally, from 1892, when the King drafted his famous decree requiring the "Secretary of State" to "take all measures which he may deem necessary or useful to ensure the exploitation of the products of the Domaine Prive," until September. 1903, when daily and impending revelations caused the drafting of a forced labour law, regularising its application.
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Inquiry, and a very considerable number of British coloured subjects have suffered, and doubtless now suffer, the gravest wrongs. I refer to this subject again in Section V.

There is some little difficulty in conveying to the ordinary mind the moral atmospheric conditions prevailing on the Congo. They are so charged with chicanery and deceit, so utterly abnormal in every sense of the word, that long experience alone can properly assimilate them, and the knowledge thus imparted is not communicable in a couple of sentences. One can only ask the reader to bear in mind that the Congo State surrounded itself from the earliest days with the trappings, not of an ordinary colonial undertaking, but of a confessedly philanthropic institution, and that when it started out on its career of piracy and brigandage — in 1892 — these trappings clung about it, forming a raiment well-nigh impenetrable to criticism. In the succeeding years, King Leopold, himself highly proficient, uniquely so, indeed, in State-craft of a certain order, has attached to his interests by various means men schooled in all the subtleties of the law. Never, probably, has greater ingenuity been displayed to give black the semblance of white — or at least of grey. Laws innumerable have been drafted, and flourished in the eyes of Europe, securing to the Congo native freedom, absolute and entire, ensuring for him such beauty in this life and such a quasi-certitude of salvation in the next, that, as Lord Fitz-
maurice, speaking in the House of Lords in July last, wittily put it, "some of your Lordships on leaving this House might almost be disposed to take a ticket immediately for the Congo." The torchlight of truth has finally succeeded in reducing these trappings to dust and ashes, but the atmosphere is not yet rid of the particles. So it is that in considering the judicial system of the Congo — which cannot be separated and treated as a thing apart from other sections of the Congo system — this factor must ever be present in the mind. When in diplomatic correspondence, official publications and in the emanations from the Press Bureau, King Leopold's secretaries and scribes dwell with emphasis upon "La Justice Congolaise," as though the Congo judicial system was by them regarded as the greatest proven tribute.

1 Vide Chapter I.
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(with the suppression of the Arab and the gin bottle) to administrative genius, one has to point out that the Congo Administration does not, and never has administered in any known acceptance of the term. Professor Cattier truly says, "after twenty years it has not even begun to administrate; . . . everything must be begun afresh."

An early duty of a civilised administration in tropical Africa is to recognise, uphold, and strengthen where required the existing native courts — the chief sitting in council with his elders — the machinery for the preservation of law and order founded upon indigenous customs (whose essential justice and suitability, investigation seldom fails to reveal). Bound up in this, a careful and constant study by the administrative officials of the laws and usages of the people, their practices in regard to chieftainship, hereditary succession, marriage, tenure of land and other property, their entire social fabric in short, is the necessary, indeed the principal business of the administration of a tropical African dependency. But such trivialities as these find no part or lot in the Leopoldian conception. They are absolutely foreign to it. There is not a recognised native court from one end of the Congo territory to the other. If you speak to a Congolese official about native customs, laws and what not, he simply laughs at you. He has no time for that sort of thing. His duty is to maintain the revenue, and if possible increase it, if he is stationed in one of the revenue-producing districts, and revenue means rubber, 1ivor), and gum copal. If he is stationed in one of the great food-producing districts, his duty is, amongst the output, distribution and despatch of supplies, and to see that every village within the taxable area delivers, fortnightly or weekly as the case may be, its fixed quota. This is a task of considerable magnitude.

There are tens upon tens of thousands of soldiers (and their women and retainers), workmen, labourers of all sorts, etc., engaged directly or indirectly in different branches of the rubber slave-trade. They must be fed, and the Congo Administration, unlike civilised administrations, does not import large quantities of dried fish, rice, and so on, for the consumption of its retainers. Therefore those retainers live on the land, and as the overwhelming proportion of the get-at-able native population in the rubber districts is employed from January 1 to December 31 in searching the forests for that article, the food supplies for the great station centres in those districts (the out-stations are supplied locally and the sentries in the villages look to the village women — not their own — to support them) have to come from a dis-
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tance, from other districts. When the enormous number of mouths to be fed is considered, and the continuous nature of the demand, it will be readily understood how vital to the working of the system it is that the supply should be kept up without a hitch, what dangers would be incurred if a break of any duration occurred. The Report of the Congo Commission of Inquiry points this out, and states explicitly that its remarks are of general application to all the great station-centres. It admits, indeed, that "sometimes a portion of the workmen, soldiers, and prisoners, are often deprived of food for twenty-four hours." No surprise need be felt at the hostages, e.g., prisoners, are "sometimes" forgotten!

It will be seen, then, that an indispensable feature of the rubber slave-trade is the forcible maintenance of a considerable section of the population under pressure for the production of food-stuffs, as unrelaxing as the pressure for revenue.

Between these two primal needs — revenue (e.g., rubber) and food, the Congolese official has time for nothing, everything else lying outside the sphere of what is really required of him, save in a few and strictly exceptional cases where, ov.'ing to a variety of causes, different conditions prevail.

With what does the Magistracy in the Congo concern itself? In the Europeanised towns of Boma and Matadi a number of trivial little cases of litigation — rather encouraged than otherwise — occur. In the true Congo — the vast upper region stretching from Stanley Pool to the Nile and the great Lakes — there is no litigation to speak of. There are no competing commercial firms, and there is no room for litigation between master and slave! The wretched native has been taught by bitter experience to shun Bula Matadi in whatever guise he appears before him. The Commission of Inquiry sorrowfully recognised that the "evangelical missionary" has come to be regarded by the native as the "only representative of equity and justice," thus conferring upon him a prestige.

1 Which explains the laudable desire of the Congo Administration to browbeat him into silence.
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The Commissioners add, which "should be invested in the magistrates." In the "distinguished magistrates," who opine that to drag mothers, wives, and young girls from their homes and thrust them into hostage-houses is "the most humane form of coercion"? The Commissioners are silent on this point.

The truth of the matter is that the principal employment of the Congo magistrates consists in dealing with the crimes committed by Europeans upon the natives; in dealing, that is, with the fatal and inevitable accompaniment to the system of which the supreme local Executive is the inspirer, or rather the transmitter and applier, inspiration emanating from Brussels, whence "comes every initiative," as Professor Cattier rightly says. If this is the principal employment of the Magistrates, the chief object is to make an impeccable outward simulacrum of stern activity compatible with securing immunity for the criminal. The task is easier than it sounds, for the simple reason that there is no publicity. Out of the innumerable judgments delivered by the Congo Courts in cases of atrocity during the course of the last decade, ^ no complete text, and extracts from one judgment only, has ever been published in Belgium! It sounds incredible. It is, however, strictly true. The Government of M. de Smet de Naeyer has been a very compromising one for the Sovereign of the Congo State. Were those judgments accessible to the Belgian public, now that its eyes are partly open to the verities of this awful business, the effect produced by the Report of the Commission of Inquiry would be slight by comparison.
Only two complete texts have ever reached this country, that of the Appeal Court in the Caudron case, and that of the Territorial Tribunal of Stanleyville in the case of John Brown, a native of Lagos. The former was, and remains, with the exception of the official circulars, Consul Casement's Report, and the Report of the Commission of Inquiry, the most revealing document connected with Congo affairs which has ever seen the light of day. It was the first official document – from the Congo side – of any importance which we had been

1 As many as forty-five Europeans have been at one time either in jail or under arrest at Boma on varied charges, from "contravention aux decrets sur les amies a feu" (anglice, arming sentries with rifles, which is against the law) to "mutilation de cadavres" (anglice, hand lifting).
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able to acquire,*--* and not only did it show the complicity of the supreme Executive in the rubber slave-trade, but it convicted the Governor-General himself of violating the laws of the land." The other judgment is evidential of the kind of "Justice" which a British coloured subject – even one with Brown's exceptional position and record on the Congo – can expect if he comes to loggerheads with the "superior official."

Hence it is not difficult to understand that this absence of publicity facilitates very greatly the object to which I have referred. The Public is informed now and then that numerous arrests have taken place, and that several agents have been sentenced. The Press Bureau circulates a cleverly-worded despatch to the Continental and American journals affiliated to it, in which "individual excesses inseparable from every colonising enterprise" are deplored, and the magnificent independence, "notwithstanding the odious calumnies of Mr. Morel and his gang," of the Congo Magistracy proclaimed. There the matter ends, so far as the public is concerned. Of the subsequent fate of these men who are all subordinate agents from the out-stations in the bush – nothing ever transpires. I have been able to trace one or two, not without considerable difficulty. Their history is a little diversified, but one characteristic is common to all.

After serving an infinitesimal part of their sentence they come back very quietly to Belgium. Here a mysterious Providence ensures their keeping quiet. Sometimes a local job is found for them. One man, for instance, who was a bootmakers' assistant by trade, before being given unlimited power over men and women in the Congo forests, was comfortably set up in a little shop of his own. His sentence in the Congo was ten years. He served eight months. Another, who has married and settled down in the haberdashery line, was given a five sentence on the Congo for burning an old woman alive. A foreign appointment – preferably in Egypt it would seem – is rather usual. No one knows of

2 The secret circulars only became accessible later.

3 "No argument," wrote Lord Lansdowne, with the reticence of a statesman in office, to H.M. Minister in Brussels on June 6, 1904, "can be entertained to the effect that acts of violence are improbable or impossible under a system such as that revealed by the judgment pronounced by the Court of Appeal at Boma in the Caudron case."
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course who the fake godmother, or father, is; but the effect is potent. Silence is ensured – that is the main point. I have received some very curious letters from Belgium in the last few years, some with appeals, some with offers of the most varied description. One professed
to be from the father of a young Belgian sentenced to ten years. A curious sequel attached to it. The writer stated that he had appealed to one of the Congo State Secretaries in Brussels on his son's behalf, on the plea that the latter had merely earned out the instructions of his superior. This high official had replied that a reprieve would be difficult to arrange just now in view of the agitation in England, but he would consider what might be done. Six months had passed since this meeting, but the youth still lingered in Boma gaol. The writer added that he had not told the high official in question one thing. That was that he, the writer, possessed documentary proof of his son's obedience to orders, in the shape -of a letter from his chief, an officer of high rank in the Congo army. Would I like to see the letter? I answered that it would be very interesting to see the letter, repeating in my reply the name he had mentioned. I did not expect an answer, and I was not disappointed. But two months later I noticed a published passenger list of the latest homeward bound Congo mail-steamer the name of my correspondent's son. My letter, as I anticipated, had evidently been used to some purpose with the high official aforesaid!

Does this absence of publicity, and the advantages it entails for the Congo Administration, mean the Congo Magistracy must be regarded as individually and collectively corrupt? Not at all. That, as a body, it is inoculated with virus of the system, one need seek no better indication than that afforded by the views quoted in the Report of the Commission of Inquiry of some of its distinguished members on the subject of women-hostages. That in itself is about the most damaging revelation which could well be imagined, especially when we are told in the publication of the Press Bureau that the taking of women-hostages is contrary to the written laic. I have a letter before me from one of the Assistants of the Public Prosecutor at Boma, offering for a consideration the documents which in his capacity of Magistrate, he possesses, "documents which would astonish the world." The world has ceased to be
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astonished at King Leopold and all his works. Truly the usual type of European on the Congo, whether fulfilling the rule of magistrate or not, is worthy of his royal master!

I have no doubt that there are honest individuals among the Congo Magistracy, and the particulars given in another Vermersch's recent book throw a flood of light on the way in which the honest magistrate is hampered at every turn by the Executive, when engaged in gathering evidence for the prosecution of a European criminal. But, assuming for the sake of argument that every Congo magistrate were above suspicion, there would still be a bailiff who neither the Public Prosecutor nor a fortiori his assistants can cross.

The publication of the Caudron judgment and the events which followed it illustrated this very forcibly. That publication, as I have remarked, was a staggering blow to the Congo administration, and King Leopold sought to parry it by issuing a special Manifesto, addressed to the Governor-General, and calling upon the Public Prosecutor and his Assistants, the Substituts or "Deputy-Attorneys," to "search for all officials, no matter who they may be," who had participated in the particular rubber-raids the scapegoat Caudron had been concerned in. The Manifesto further stated that "the Government" (that is, the King) "intends that there shall be no indulgence shown towards any officials who may participate in blameable acts towards the native people." With that nicety of expression and enthusiasm for righteousness which is impressed so forcibly on these royal promulgations, the Manifesto proceeds to anticipate
that "all officials who they may be" have been triumphantly dragged out of their hiding places by a noble and perspiring Public Prosecutor, and declares:

If the constituent elements of participation do not exist, and if the prosecution fails, it will remain for the superior authority to examine if the agents of the State whose administrative responsibility appears, nevertheless, to be implicated in these cases either by their acts or by their inaction, shall not be the object of disciplinary measures of a seriousness proportionate to the faults which they have committed.

1 The case had nothing unusual about it, at all. The only trouble was that the British Consul in the Congo — Mr. Nightingale I think it was — and myself managed to secure a copy of the minutes of the judgment, and that, by a purely fortuitous chain of circumstances. I hope the Consul will not take it amiss from me if I remind him that I got my copy first!
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To the uninitiated this evidence of pained surprise, barely-concealed indignation, and resolute intent on the part of the Emperor of the Congo conveyed sincerity and the Press Bureau hastened to improve the occasion. The judgment of the Appeal Court was such, of course, that had the instructions in the King's Manifesto to the Governor-General been carried out, the first warrant of arrest issued by the Public Prosecutor would have been against the Governor-General, whom the judgment clearly indicted for the committal of an illegal act involving in its train cruelty and outrage upon natives! The next person to be arrested would have been the District Commissioner, i.e., an official ranking, with two exceptions, next to the Governor-General. The third would have been the Officer in command of the Government troops who assisted Caudron in his raids; then the Manager in Africa of the "Company" whose agent Caudron was, and so on all down the scale. The prison at Boma would have had to have been enlarged.

It is hardly necessary to add that the Magistracy was powerless to do anything of the kind. Caudron was defended — a rare occurrence in the Congo — and the prosecution did not, and, could not deny that Caudron was merely a servant of the Executive; that he received, with the consent of the Executive, which took three-fourths of the profits derived by the "Company" from its rubber operations, 3 per cent, commission on all the rubber he secured; that the "Company" had no lands of its own and was merely acting as rubber-collector for the Executive; that its raids were condoned by the open assistance of Government officers and troops; that the arms and munitions of war utilized by the "Company" constituted in itself the proof that the Executive recognized the right of the "Company" to employ them, since they could, by law, only be placed in the hands of those specially so authorized by the Governor-General; that every rifle, ammunition, and cartridge, in the possession of the "Company" was passed through the Custom House and conveyed to the "Company's" station in Government vessels; that in the year 1903 — the year of Caudron's raids — these Government vessels had conveyed 40,000 rounds of ball cartridge to the "Company," and, finally,

1 Caudron was an agent of the Anversoise. Vide Section IV.
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that for the results of such illegal raids the Executive itself was solely responsible.

Particulars of the trial of the man Van Caelcken — an out-station subordinate of another of the rubber "Companies" — on December 9, 1904, which have reached
me, are merely a replica of the Caudron business. His performances had been denounced by the missionaries. They included the seizing of women-hostages, arming sentries with Albinis, etc. Van Caelcken conducted his own defence, in the course of which he made no attempt to deny taking hostages, and produced as his justification for doing so a letter from his District Commissioner, and a circular signed by the Governor-General. The latter document deplored the decrease in the rubber output from the concession, and reminded the "Company"s agents that they were entitled to exercise "bodily constraint" upon the natives. The defendant pointed out that he was not concerned with the legality or illegality of such measures." He merely carried them out, as he was bid. As for the detention of hostages, there was no secret about it, and every agent was called upon to furnish, in writing, monthly lists of his prisoners, one for his manager, the other for the Executive. Needless to say, the penalty inflicted upon Van Caelcken was not severe, and he has long since returned to Europe. Needless to say, also, that proceedings against the Governor-General and the District Commissioner for their illegal instructions were not taken!*

The defence of de Tiege, another subordinate agent, whose sentence of 15 years by the Court of First Instance was reduced to 10 years by the Court of Appeal in November, 1904, ran on much the same lines. This case was notable for an incident which makes one rub one's head.

1 The A. B. I. R. Vide Section IV.

2 These entries — why they are called so no one seems to know — are irregulars armed by the "Companies." Consul Casement estimates their number at 10,000.

3 The taking of hostages was authorised as far back as 1897, by Governor-General Wahis in a letter to the Commissioner at Lake Leopold II., entirely contrary to law.

* The defence of the black soldiers on the rare occasions when missionary exposures have compelled action is much the same. "Why do you give me a rifle, if it is not to shoot with? Why am I given cartridges, if I am not to use them? If you tie me up, why don't you tie up the white man?"
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eyes and wonder whether one is living in the twentieth century. A favourite pastime of de Tiege consisted in forcing natives, who brought him badly prepared rubber, to eat it. The Court held that the "introduction into the stomach by the mouth of an elastic substance" (ingestion) was not productive of after ill-effects, and that the subsequent illness and death of the men who had been compelled to eat the badly-prepared rubber could not, therefore, be attributed to this. The charges included other counts — that of murder and complicity in murder — but the reduction of the sentence was on the grounds stated above.

When the Commississional Inquiry entered the Maringa territory, they found a state of affairs which dismayed them. Here were established missionaries who were determined that the Commissioners should drink the cup of hoiiTors which was their daily experience to the dregs. From a radius of fifty miles, multitudes of natives flocked to the river side and told their stories of unspeakable woe before the visibly impressed Court, held on board a specially chartered Government vessel. Wholesale massacres, murders, torture, rape, mutilation, depopulation, impoverishment, misery profound — the shameful tale flowed on, until at the end of the week — when but a tithe of the tragedy had been unfolded — the Commis- sioners, sickened and appalled, said they had heard enough. Their verdict is on record. Yet the Managing Director of the "Company" on the spot, directly respon-
sible for this weiler of abomination, was allowed to leave the country untouched, while the Commission was still in it. The Assistant-Manager stepped into his shoes. The District Commissioner and his assistants were not troubled. The officer commanding the troops in the Concession was retained, promoted, and has since been engaged in prosecuting one of the missionaries for libel; and one of the Directors in Europe was appointed by the King a member of his "Commission of Reforms"! The European management of that "Company" includes the Grand Marshal of King Leopold's Court, and several high Congo officials. Its President is a Senator. The Conso Government holds half the shares, and the net profits of the concern in six years have amounted to £730,000 on a paid-up capital of under £10,000, each

1 For further particulars, vide Section IV.
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share of a nominal value of £20 (of which the Congo Government possess 1,000) having received in that period dividends totalling £295."

Where in history will you find such a record, and what can be said of the judicial system under which such a record is established?

We have seen how the Governor-General controls the judicial machinery, that he can interfere in prosecutions, suspend them, and what not. Executive interference with the "Law" takes many forms, and is further freed from impediments by the abnormal relations pertaining between Belgium under the present Government (which has been in power for twenty years) and the King's autocracy on the Congo. Everything, as I have said, connected with the Congo is abnormal. The officers of the Belgian army serving in the Congo army continue to draw their salaries from the public funds of Belgium. Strictly speaking, no such officer accused of committing crime on the Congo can be tried there. A Belgian tribunal is alone entitled to try the case, and he should be immediately recalled. The "Congo Free State" stands towards Belgium in the light of a "Foreign Power." Its headquarters are in Brussels, true, but legally it is non-existent in Belgium, and no tribunal outside the Belgian Courts could sit in Belgium upon a Belgian subject accused of crime abroad without violating the Constitution of Belgium. As the majority of the high officials of the Congo Executive, District Commissioners, Inspectors, Chefs de Zones, and so on, are military men, it will be seen how important from King Leopold's point of view, as Sovereign of the Congo State, it is that the responsibility for atrocities should not be brought home to them personally. In this he is assisted by the character of the Congo judicial system on the one hand, and the complicity of the present Belgian Government on the other. An interesting light was thrown upon this aspect of Congo abnormity by the Tilkens case, in 1903. Tilkens was a sub-Lieutenant in the Belgian army, and a Lieutenant in the Congo army. He was in command of one of the sub-posts in the Rubi-Welle rubber district, and secured in three years £40,000 worth of India-rubber. After he had returned to Belgium, charges of atrocity were preferred against him on the

1 For further particulars, vide Section IV.
2 D'imaine Prive.
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Congo. After consulting the senior Governor-General, who at that particular time was on leave in Brussels, he returned to the Congo to meet them. Although his indictment included charges of a terrible — though not
unusual — nature, he was let out on bail of £200. Con-
vinced that he would not obtain justice on the Congo, but
would serve as a scapegoat for the sins of his superiors,
he "stowed away" on a home-coming steamer. There
is little doubt that his departure was facilitated by the
local Executive, which was not at all anxious to try him.
Upon his return to Belgium he demanded a public trial.
His demand was refused. He was tried by default on the
Congo and sentenced to 10 years. He thereupon handed
his dossier to M. Vanderveide. It contained written
orders from his superior officers on the Congo — high
Executive officials — demonstrating conclusively that the
usual pressure had been exercised upon him to increase
his rubber output, with the habitual result. These M.
Vanderveide read out to the House, and rightly
regarding this as a test case, called upon the Belgian
Government to grant Tilkens's request, for a trial in
Belgium. The Minister responsible to the Department
of Justice made no sign, however. What would have
transpired at a public trial? Tilkens's defence would
have been the plea of military obedience to instruction —
required of all soldiers — which rendered atrocities upon
a population already maddened by monstrous demands
and only kept down by main force, necessary and indeed
requisite. The letters of Commandant Verstraeten (see
Section I.) would have been put in. In the cross
examination of this official and his predecessor in office.
Commandant Meeus, the letters received by them from
the Acting Governor-General, M. Felix Fuchs, and their
letters to him must necessarily have been produced, and
he himself cited to appear. But this correspondence
would have been sufficient, and more than sufficient! It
would have had the effect of the explosion of a powder
magazine under the edifice of moral and material re-
gen-eration. Responsibility for the rubber slave-trade
would have been traced to its fountain head.

Thus it is that Belgian officers — Executive officials of
the Congo Administration — are prosecuted on the Congo
only when circumstances make prevention absolutely
impossible, as in the case of Lieut-enant Massard, whose
arrest the Commission of Inquiry itself demanded by
telegraph to Boma after hearing the evidence of M.
Scrivener and native witnesses at Boma. Thus it is
that not a single Belgian officer has ever been sentenced
by the Congo Courts save by prearranged default. Thus
it is that not a single Belgian, even accused of the most
abominable crimes on the Congo, has ever been proceeded
against in Belgium. Thus it is that when missionaries
denounce the atrocities of some Commandant or officer
in the Congo army, either the accused party is given a
kindly hint and proceeds down-river on sick leave —'u
route for Europe, while with great ostentation a judicial
commission ascends the river to inquire into the charge;
or if matters — owing to the action of some honest magis-
trate — have reached the stage when to save the face of
the law the officer has been summoned to Boma, sur-
veillance is relaxed by "superior order" pending the
'examination of his dossier, and the accused discreetly
embarks for Europe; or if a stage stiU further advanced
has been reached before Executive interference can be
exercised with befitting secrecy and decency, the accused
is liberated on bail, stows away — unbeknown of course
to all! — and when the same steamer reaches the Congo
on her next voyage, the face of the law is saved by a
summons being taken out against the captain for har-
bouring a passenger not noted on the official passenger
list, a fine of 20 francs inflicted, and a judiciously edited
report of the proceedings finds its way into the European
Press through the usual channel, providing yet another
example of the impeccability of the Congo Courts. This
judicial pantomime is not played for the benefit of officers.
of the Belgian army only. Officials of the Rubber Trusts who are believed to possess incriminating documents, are beneficiaries equally with the former. In one recent case, the departure of an official from a particular spot on the upper river synchronised with the arrival of an "Assistant Attorney" with a criminal dossier concerning him. He had left for Europe long before the investigation was complete.

A final illustration of the methods of criminal jurisprudence on the Congo may be briefly touched upon. The native has been taught by sad experience to avoid

1 Lieutenant Massard was acquitted.
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the Congo Courts as a pestilence. Natives who have been induced by the missionaries to testify against some official have been compelled to travel immense distances, in some cases upwards of one thousand miles, to Boma. There they have been detained for months — in the case of one recent batch for eight months — and there most of them have died, range back only to die. Poor diet, home-sickness — to both of which the native is peculiarly susceptible — coupled with neglect and lack of nourishment, have been mainly attributable for this mortality, deplored in the Report of the Commission of Inquiry. So disgraceful has been the treatment of native witnesses even at Boma, that in a published communication to the Congo Reform Association, dated August 17th last year, Lord Lansdowne, after referring to the reports "of the severe privations from which these natives are suffering," received by His Majesty's Government from the Acting British Consul at Boma, intimated that instructions had been sent to that official "to give the native witnesses such assistance as he properly can in their efforts to obtain work during their detention at Boma." A kindly act very greatly to his lordship's credit. Thus from a sentiment of ordinary humanity, allied to a sense of philanthropic responsibility, in so much as the charges brought by a British subject against a Congo official had led to the summoning of native witnesses to Boma, a British Foreign Minister instructed a British Consular officer in the capital of the "Congo Free State" to try and find work for these natives (in order that they should procure the wherewithal to feed themselves), whom the Public Prosecutor had caused to be conveyed four hundred and fifty miles from their homes as witnesses for the prosecution in the public trial of a Congo official! These men were the relatives of victims of the rubber slave-trade from which the Congo Executive reaps millions, but that Executive could not afford to feed them while serving as witnesses on one of its farcical trials!

"The mere word Boma terrifies them. Thus at the present moment it is very difficult, if not impossible, in many regions of the Upper Congo to induce the natives to testify before the Courts. The inhabitant of the Upper Congo summoned as a witness flies to the forest. He must be treated as a criminal, hunted, chained sometimes, in any case subjected to force, to conduct him from his village to the Court."

JUSTICE AND THE FRIENDLY CRITIC II

It is not I who wrote that. It is the Commissioners of King Leopold. Personally, I can see no "redeeming feature" in the "justice" which the Congo Administration has introduced into the Congo Basin, where impunity for the guilty is ensured, and where the mere act of complaining spells for the native exile or death.

Rather do I agree with Professor Cattier, that "it is organised and systematic protection of injustice," and
I fail for my part to see how any reasonable human being can arrive at an opposite conclusion.

I now come to the fifth and last point, viz., the statements of travellers and others, favourable to the Congo State as regards its treatment of the natives, which have been given to the world. A year ago an extensive analysis of this evidence would have been necessary. Happily, it is no longer so, for the report of the Congo Commission has put the blatant section of the Congo State's defenders out of Court. We have our revenge for the contumely they sought to throw upon us, in the ridicule which the Report of the Commission of Inquiry has cast upon their "impartial investigations." With that class of apologist and defender of the Congo State British public opinion has done for good and all. To recall their travesty of facts would be to do them too much honour. They had their brief term of self-advertisement, they succeeded for a time in helping to confuse the public mind, they delayed a little the manifestation of the truth, and so helped to prolong, the agony of a people. Their consciences are doubtless satisfied.

Apologists and defenders of the class which, producing no evidence, chose, for reasons best known to themselves, to re-echo the mendacities issued by the Press Bureau, or in the official publications, of the Congo Administration, are no better off. The Report of the Commission of Inquiry has disposed of them also.

A section of the Catholic priesthood and laity, especially the former, which, in a measure quite sincerely, saw in our campaign of mercy an attack upon Catholic institutions and upon a Catholic country, must now be convinced of their double error by the statements of the religious press of Belgium, the debates in the Belgian Chamber, and the Report of the Commission. If not, it

112 EED RUBBER

must be either because these documents are inaccessible to them, or because they refuse to admit that they were misled. In either case further attacks upon the reform movement from that quarter would be deprived of raison d'etre.

A section of Irish feeling is hostile, and probably always will be hostile. Men whose judgment is as distorted as Mr. Maclean's, who would prefer King Leopold to Lord Aberdeen at Dubhn Castle, are beyond the reach of argument. But they do not count. Whatever feelings Irishmen may entertain towards England and Englishmen in the abstract or in the concrete, the cause of Irish Nationalism has nothing to gain by identifying itself with the beneficiaries of the rubber slave-trade. Distrust, suspicion, even hatred of England, is permissible in an Irishman. But love for the Emperor of the Congo in the Irisli breast is an incongruity. Moreover these Irish admirers of the Sovereign of the Congo State are destitute of evidence. They merely re-echo the absurdities which reach their hands through the ramifications of the Press Bureau. The only evidence we owe to an Irishman is the evidence of a gallant gentleman and man of honour — Roger Casement.

The lucubrations of certain Continental and Irish-American journals subsidised by the Press Bureau are similarly innocent of evidential value, and ipsesthine dis- cussion.

The area of controversy, so far as contradictory evidence is concerned, is indeed narrowed down to a few, a very few, observers who have journeyed through or sojourned in parts of the Congo State not visited by the Commission of Inquiry, and who have personally seen nothing to com-
plain of. This in any case is not, one may remark, a matter which is in the least surprising. It would be quite possible to travel from London to Stanley Falls and back again, and observe little or nothing offensive—particularly if you happened to be a person of some distinction, average superficiality, and no experience of African conditions. Travelling from Antwerp to Boma in one of Sir Alfred Jones's steamers you would be perfectly comfortable, and any unfavourable opinions you might have formed of the bulk of the African regenerators on board (especially if you were conversant with the French tongue) would be dissipated by the courtesy of
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the higher officials, and the geniality of the English captain. Arrived at Boma you would be impressed with the "fine buildings," the "coquetish" air of this administrative centre, the general signs of activity and military punctiliousness prevailing. At Matadi, the termination of your ocean journey, this impression would strengthen at sight of the railway skirting the arid flanks of Pala-bala, the workshops, the engineering establishments, and so on. A two days' journey on the nari-o-gauge line winding in amazing curves amid fine scenery would probably fill you—and rightly so (I have ever "rendered homage," as they say in Belgium, to the perseverant energy and determination of the Belgian engineer, Albeit Thys, who constructed this line in the teeth of great obstacles; it was a triumph of individual skill and of individual enterprise—it was not the Company which built that railway, but a private company) with admiration. The uninhabited country-side might set him wondering, but he would probably be ignorant of the fact that what is now desert was once a thriving and populous region, and no one certainly would enlighten him. The end of his railway joiu-ney would bring him to Leopold ville, another centre of considerable activity—with many stern wheelers, more engineering shops, churches, etc. He would not pause to think how its 8,500 inhabitants were fed, and he would not be told that the people within a radius of sixty miles were rapidly disappearing under the crushing burden of the food-taxes. And so on up to Stanley Falls in a Government vessel, passing not a few "fine stations" on the riverside, not a few steamers and other tokens of "civilisation."

To return from this digression to the "favourable evidence" existing. In the Lado Enclave, the strip of territory on the Nile leased to King Leopold by Lord Rosebery twelve years ago, a large force of troops is stationed. Several forts have been erected. The soldiers are there, a smart body of men, mostly commanded by Italian officers. Their barracks are substantial and commodious. The stations are well kept. Two British officers visiting these Congolese military and political posts have commented favourably upon their appearance. Their remarks have been spread broadcast by the Press.
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Bureau, and made the most of. Curiously enough, no one in this country had suspected the existence of an evil state of affairs in this tiny strip of territory until Lord Cromer's scathing comments appeared in the White Book of 1904. Since then information has reached me from unquestionable sources that the history of the construction of these military edifices was characterised by the usual proceedings. The Foreign Office can throw light upon it whenever it chooses to do so. I observe that Sir Charles Eliot in his recently-published volume speaks rather favourably of these Congolese stations on
It is generally said that our officers can always reduce natives to obedience by threatening to deport them to the Belgian side of the river. It is certain that there are no villages for many miles round the Belgian stations. In short, it is quite possible to speak in commendation of the armed Congo camps on the Nile without affecting the question of the wrongs of the Congo natives in the very slightest degree; and from such commendation the Congo Administration is welcome to any consolation it can derive.

What remains then, as positive evidence, favourable to the Congo State? The experiences of Mr. Grey and one or two other Englishmen in the employ of the Tanganyika Concessions, Limited, which is engaged in exploiting the copper mines of South-Eastern Katanga, and the experiences of Sir Harry Johnston, who penetrated thirty miles into Congo State territory from Uganda in 1900, and visited other parts previously. I need say no more on this point beyond mentioning that as these lines are written I understand Sir Harry Johnston will be good enough to contribute a short notice to this volume, and adding that the Congo Reform Association is proud to number him among its supporters. As for Mr. George Grey's experiences, his distinguished brother, the present British Minister for Foreign Affairs, referred to them with perfect frankness in the last debate on the Foreign Office vote. He confirmed their favourable nature, and explained that they were "carefully limited" to the "southern extremity of the Congo State," where it might be added there is no rubber, and where the presence of a number of Englishmen — and especially an Englishman known by King Leopold as being related to the British Minister for Foreign Affairs — is in itself calculated to keep excesses in check.
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I will make a present to the Press Bureau of another favourable piece of testimony. It comes from a missionary acquaintance of mine, who, writing from Upoto in the early part of the present year, states: "Happily Upoto has been under the rule of Commandant Scardino for the past three years. It is no secret that he is not in accord with Congo State methods. Consequently the Upoto district has not been terrorized to such an extent as other parts. Commandant Scardino has over shown himself as inclined to leniency rather than oppression, and taxes have been more frequently modified than increased." I am happy to print that paragraph concerning an Italian officer and gentleman who, like other of his compatriots, has had the breeding and the strength of will to endeavour, amid great difficulties, to rise above the system whose unwilling servants he and they have been, and who as a result are detested by the supreme Congo Executive as much as the British missionaries almost. Nor are such exceptions wholly confined to Italian officers. Captain Lemaire of the Belgian army is another, and a very notable one. Poor Dooms was another, but he disappeared. The officials who fall foul of the Executive are curiously apt to disappear on the Congo. In the case of Dooms, the agent of disappearance appears to have been a hippopotamus. The Danish Lieutenant S very nearly did." I hope that officer's experiences may some day be published. He is highly connected, and his story would be especially interesting from the point of view of the treatment by the Congo Executive of the foreign officers who have accepted appointments in the Congo army under a complete misconception of the state of affairs, and who have endured all the indignities, privations, dangers, and moral sapping which an Italian officer in a letter to me — after describing "La traite des noirs," the black slave-trade — rightly describes as "la traite des blancs," the white slave-trade. These solitary exceptions are the one bright
spot in a sea of blackness, for all the riff-raff of the Eiu'o-pean armies, the "lost souls," as the Italians say, have been recruited by King Leopold's agents to carry out his infamous policy. Blackguards were required to perform that dirty work, and the Congo Basin has been flooded

1 The case of Captain Baccari, the King of Italy's envoy, will be present in the minds of all.
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with blackguards, converted in many instances to fiends incarnate by the tasks they have been set to do. Nor is it only among army officers that exceptions have occurred. Who shall tell the tale of the miseries of the wretched Belgian clerk or artisan, ill-bred, ignorant, but with decent instincts, who has gone out to the Congo to the tune of the Brahangoone,* filled with patriotic imaginings, only to find himself thrust into some out-station and told to get rubber, plunged suddenly into an earthly hell? Missionaries have had such men coming to them half-frantic after a few weeks' stay, begging and imploring their assistance; and a shot, self-inflicted, has often enough abruptly terminated a career which in Europe might at least have been respectable. No one who has probed deep down into this cesspool of iniquity and naked human passions, or who understands the workings of the monstrous growth which civilisation has allowed to spring up in Central Africa, blames the agents of the system, but the system itself. The miserable tools are to be pitied — brutes as many of them are, the declassed, the failures, the off-scourings of Europe. It is the beneficiaries that should be pilloried, the modern slavers of Africa who sit at home and pocket the dividends. Above all, that one Will — the will of a megalomaniac — which controls, rules, dominate** every wheel and rivet of the Machine; drunk with absolutism, impervious to every feeling of humanity, who drives his daughter from her mother's death-bed; flaunts with ostentation the irregularities of his private life before all men, and rakes in millions from the anguish of his miserable African slaves.

1 The Belgian national anthem
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"Bondage under the most barbarous and inhuman conditiona, and maintained for mercenary motives of the most selfish character." — The Marquess of Lansdowne in House of Lorda, July, 1906.

"* But this labour which is said to be instead of a tax in the Congo State, do we know that it goes into the pockets of the State or to the public revenue? There are no public accounts, and as long as tith is so, and so long as this taxation or this labour is levied by companies working for private individuals, the Congo State must remain open to the reproach that it is imposing not taxes but forced labour for the purposes of private profit." — Sir Edward Grey in House of Commons, July, 1906.

"Let us repeat, after so many others, what has become a platitude ; the success of this work is the result of an autocratic government — that is to say, of the prescience of a single man guided by a single thought; it is the work of one sole directing will." — Alfred Poskine, Bilans congolais.

"To procure for the sovereign a maximum of revenue, such has been the object of administrative activity." — Professor F.
Catties, Royalist and Annexationist, Teacher of Colonial Jurisprudence at the University of Brussels.

The preceding sections have dealt with the history of King Leopold's Congo enterprise and the deeds which have characterised it, more especially during the last decade, as the effects of the system elaborated in the royal decrees in 1891-2 have made themselves felt with increasing force throughout a steadily widening area. An examination of the arguments advanced in favour of that enterprise, or in extenuation of its offences, has enabled us to pass successively in review the general conditions of the native peoples under King Leopold's absolutism, the relative criminality of the Arab ivory slave-trader and the European rubber slave-trader, the validity of the liquor traffic contention, the nature and the working of the judicial system introduced into the country, and, finally, the value and extent of the positive evidence favourable to the treatment of natives by Congolese officials.

We have now to consider for whom, in whose interests, this martyrdom of the races of Central Africa is being inflicted and endured, who are the beneficiaries of the
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rubber slave-trade, what is being done with the profits accruing therefrom.

* * -jf * * •»(•

Before coming to that point, it may be useful to summarise in a few passages the conclusions of the Report of the Congo Commission of Inquiry in regard to the two main direct causes from which the miseries of the natives spring, and as such affecting particularly the efforts made in this country to compel exposure and redress, viz., the requisitions in india-rubber and the requisitions in staple food supplies.

So far as the rubber "taxes" are concerned, the Commission found that "everywhere on the Congo, and notwithstanding certain appearances to the contrary, the native only gathers india-rubber under the influence of direct or indirect force" (p. 266), and made sundry allusions to what is implied by the word "force" on the Congo, viz., indiscriminate massacre, settlements of soldiers in rubber-producing villages, uncontrolled and unimpeached in the execution of their instructions, taking of hostages, imprisonment of women and children, flogging, illegal fines and punishments, and so on. It thus describes the condition of the rubber gatherer :

"In the majority of cases be must, every fortnight, go one or two days' journey, and sometimes more, to reach the place in the forest where he can find in fair abundance the rubber vine. There the gatherer passes some days in a miserable existence. He must construct an improvised shelter which cannot obviously replace his hut : he has not the food to which he is accustomed ; he is deprived of his wife, exposed to the inclemencies of the weather and to the attacks of wild beasts. He must take his harvest to the station of the Government or the company, and it is only after that that he returns to his village, where he can barely reside two or three days before a new demand is upon him " (p. 192).

In other words, the native in the subjugated rubber districts of the Congo must, on the Commission's own showing, under pain of suffering the various methods of retribution alluded to by the Commission, devote over three hundred days — under the above-mentioned conditions, conditions obviously involving enormous loss of life — per annum to the gathering of rubber in order to pay his "obligatory tax" either to the Congo Government or to its Trusts.
So far as the taxes in staple foodstuffs are concerned, the Commission found that around Leopoldville (pp. 174-5) and "all the great centres" (p. 177) the population is compelled to bring in every four, seven, or twelve days considerable supplies of native-prepared bread, sometimes from enormous distances.

"As the bread (kwanga) only keeps fresh for a few days, the native, even by doubling his activity, cannot free himself from his obligations for any length of time. Even if the tax does not claim his whole time, he is perpetually obsessed by the thought of the next near payment, which causes the tax to lose its true character and to be transformed into an incessant corvee" (p. 176).

The results noted by the Commission from this "taxation" were depopulation (p. 174), the abandonment of the villages (p. 177), the "general misery reigning" (p. 177). The Commission found that the same conditions characterised the riverine tribes "taxed" in fish (p. 179) — the same recurrent demands, the same depopulation (p. 179), the same methods of coercion for shortage (p. 180).

Beside these admissions, which are here very briefly summarised, the remainder of the report is of secondary importance. They show us, broadly speaking, the population of the subjugated area of the Upper Congo divided into two great groups or sections, the rubber gatherers and the food suppliers — the first the direct suppliers of revenue, the second the indirect suppliers of revenue, labouring to feed the army of officials, agents, soldiers and their retinue (quartered upon the direct suppliers to compel the incessant output of rubber), and to feed the workmen, labourers, woodcutters, station hands, and others in various ways in handling, preparing, and shipping the rubber to Europe. The admissions of the Commissioners show us these two great sections of the populace doomed to a perpetual enslavement, working out their cruel destiny for alien task-masters without pause, without rest, without hope, growing fewer in numbers, impoverished and miserable, and subject-ed to inhuman punishments. To use Professor Cattier's words: "The impositions in rubber and foodstuffs which weigh upon more than half the territory — that is to say, over an area three or four times as large as France — subject the natives to a well-nigh continuous slavery... to a slavery more severe than that imposed by the Arabs."

* -N- * -x- -x- *

Why? Why this enslavement and destmiction of a. people?
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The same old motives which from the beginning have been responsible for all the great world tragedies: human ambition, greed, and selfishness? Yes, but let us narrow responsibility to its just limits. To arrive at the truth by process of questioning is a sound method handed down to us by the ancients.

What in reality is the ' Congo Free State ' ?

Is it a "State"?

The fundamental principle of a " State " is the participation of the people of the land in the government of their country. It must be clear to the meanest intelligence that the people of the Congo " State " do not
participate in the government of the country. The people of the Congo are divided into a number of separate communities and tribes, and there has been no attempt to weld together into one state-form any of these communities or tribes. Hence the word "State" in connection with the Congo enterprise is a complete misnomer.

Is it an African Protectorate or a Dependency?

An African Protectorate or Dependency implies the establishment of over-lordship by a European nation in a portion of Africa for its protection against external aggression, and for its internal administration through the development of the country in the interests of the people of the land and of the European nation which has assumed over-lordship. No European nation has assumed over-lordship of the Congo, but, with the assent of the Powers, a single man, King Leopold II. of Belgium, attributed to himself the title of "Sovereign" over the communities and tribes of the Congo basin. The country is not being developed in the interests of the people of the land, nor in the interests of a European nation. Hence, the Congo enterprise is neither Protectorate nor Dependency.

Then what is the Congo enterprise?

It has no precedent: nothing with which it can be compared. It is unique. Its component parts are:

I. A European King (monarch of a small European State whose neutrality was guaranteed by the Powers) who claims sovereignty over twenty millions of negroes in Central Africa.

II. A staff of Executive officials who direct the exercise of that sovereignty from a European capital.
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III. A staff of Executive officials who exercise that sovereignty in Central Africa through:

IV. A considerable force of native troops, workmen and labourers.

What interpretation has the Sovereign given to his claim of sovereignty over those twenty millions of African men, women, and children?

He has interpreted — without the consent of the Powers — the word "sovereignty" to mean possession.

How has he interpreted the word "possession"?

He has interpreted it by an immense appropriation and expropriation. He has interpreted it by conveyancing the land of these twenty millions of negroes to himself, and all vegetable and mineral products which that land contains. This gigantic property he has divided into various parts for the purpose of raising and classifying its revenues. One part he has set aside to provide the sums necessary for the remuneration of the Executive staff in Brussels and on the Congo, the construction of dwelling-places for the staff on the Congo, the construction of public works and other undertakings required to ensure the working of the property. This part of the property (A) he has called Domaine Prive, which by a recent manifesto he has altered with fine irony into Domaine National. Another part (B) he has handed over for stewardship, on various terms, to financiers from whose he has borrowed money, or to personal friends and officials of his European Court; these, with his assistance, have raised capital to work the property thus entrusted to them, and formed "Companies" which they have floated on the Belgian Stock Exchange; in these
"Companies" the Sovereign holds shares, usually one-half the total number issued. A third part (C) he has declared inalienable from himself and his heirs for ever: its revenues accrue to him. This part is termed Domaine de la Couronne.

Of what do the assets or the revenues of this property consist?

They consist (1) of the produce of the soil which has commercial value on the European markets after paying expense of handling and transport; (2) the people of the land. The climate of the Congo being what it is, the
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produce of the soil is only obtainable by the people of the land, and the conveyancing of the former by the sovereign to himself would have been but a meaningless operation without the services of the people.

And how are the services of the people secured?

The Commission of Inquiry has told us — by "Force/" and force spells, in practice, as the Commission of Inquiry has also told us, and as the evidence we have produced shows us, the enslavement and destruction of the people of the land.

The "Congo Free State" is, therefore, not a State, nor an African Protectorate or Dependency, but an estate in Africa covering nearly one million square miles, and inhabited by perhaps twenty million human beings. This estate is claimed by one man — although he has never set foot in it — living in Europe, as his exclusive property, he having dispossessed the native inhabitants of their land, and the produce of the land which they alone can gather, and enslaved them in their homes to collect that produce for himself, and generally to work his property for him.

And I beg you to recollect that we are not living in the times of the Pharaohs, but have entered the twentieth century of the Christian era.

From henceforth let us dismiss from our minds, our speech and our writings, the idea that the administration, or the maladministration, of an African "State" or Dependency is in question. King Leopold is the "State," and King Leopold, absentee landlord of a vast African property, is alone in question.

Above all, let us refrain from referring to the Congo as a Belgian Colony, let us avoid writing of "Belgian misrule," and let us keep from saddling the Belgian people with responsibility which is not theirs, save morally, and in that respect only a few degrees more than it is of the British and American peoples.

Until the report of the Congo Commission of Inquiry appeared — after eight months' procrastination — shorn of
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all the evidence placed before it" the Sovereign of the Congo enterprise had with considerable skill manipulated Belgian public opinion entirely in his favour. Foreign criticisms directed against his enterprise had been invariably represented as an attack upon the Belgian people, and the scandals of that enterprise sheltered in the folds of the Belgian flag and beneath the cloak of Belgian patriotism. The Press Bureau persistently fanned this legend, and as nearly all the Belgian news-
papers were influenced by it, and the Belgian people largely ignorant of the true state of affairs, the scheme worked with wonderful success. "The publication of the Report of the Commission of Inquiry" says Professor Cattier in the preface to his notable volume, "has transformed as by a magic wand the nature of the discussion of Congo affairs, . . . whoever should have alleged a year ago one-tenth of facts which to-day are definitely established would have run the risk of prosecution." Today the situation in Belgium has, indeed, altered, and in discussed with greater fullness in the last section of this book.

Here we will be content with tracing so far as is possible under present circumstances how the revenues from the Congo enterprise are distributed, and the amount of them. Figures are always indigestible to the average reader, but it is absolutely necessary in this instance to deal with them. We have drunk deep from the well of human misery; the well of human greed has now to be explored.

1 Lest the wicked Mr. Morel should make good use of it! "He (M. de Cuvelier, King Leopold's principal Secretary in Brussels) seemed to think that the renewed demand for publication had been suggested to you (Sir E. Grey) with some such sinister design by the Congo Reform Association." - Sir A. Hardinge, British Minister in Brussels to Sir E. Grey, March 29, 1906.

"Allow me to remind you that the step taken by Sir Constantine Phipps (Sir A. Hardinge's predecessor) on January 11 last followed close upon the letter Mr. Morel addressed to the Foreign Office, 'to suggest that pressure should be brought to bear upon the Congo Government to give full publicity to the evidence laid before its own Commission,' and I cannot but believe that that suggestion, coming from Mr. Morel, whose role is known to you, was aimed at the Congo State." - M. de Cuvelier to Sir A. Hardinge. April 19, 1906. White Book, June, 1906.
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The King, the reader will remember, has divided his self-constituted property, so far as the revenues derived therefrom are concerned, into three parts:

A. Revenue to cover general working expenses of the property: termed "Government" or "Public" revenue.

B. Revenues acquired through the allotments of portions of the property to "Companies" or Trusts for the collection of India-rubber.

C. Personal revenues, inalienable.

Retaining the fiction of a "State," an official bulletin is issued several times a year by the Brussels section of the King's Congo staff; and in this official bulletin is given each year what purports to be the revenue and expenditure of the "State." These figures are estimates only, and printed as such. The actual returns are never issued. They are the estimates, be it well understood, of the revenues derived from the part of the Congo marked A, e.g., the Domaine Prive or National. They profess to be the estimated revenues and expenditure of the "Government." Until 1903 they were supposed to represent an estimate of the total revenues derived by the "Government" from the "State," the very existence of part C — with its inalienable personal revenues — having been systematically denied, although created in 1896. "These estimates have been issued since 1891, and for the fifteen years, 1891-1905 inclusive, figure out as follows:

Receipts, 250,353,690f.
Expenditure, 277,491, 569f.

Excess of expenditure over receipts, 27,137,979f.

According to the above figures, then, the general management of the Congo enterprise has entailed in fifteen years an estimated working loss in round figures of £1,085,000. These figures, being estimates only, are valueless. They are not only valueless in the present instance, but deliberately misleading. To what extent will never be known probably, unless one of King Leopold's Congo staff in Brussels should turn "King's evidence." In so far as they are used in argument indicative of the profit and loss on the management of the Congo enterprise, they are fraudulent.

1 The revelation of its existence was forced by a Parliamentary debate in the Belgian House in July, 1903.
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Very few persons have succeeded in ascertaining the amount realised by King Leopold's brokers from the sale of the rubber and ivory, which constitute the principal items in the estimated revenue obtained from this part. A or Dow, alone Priue of the Congo. M. A. J. Wauters has published the figures of the sales effected on the Antwerp market for 1895-6-7. Dr. Anton, Professor at the University of Jena, was able to give those for 1898. The present writer secured from an unquestionable source those for 1899 and 1900, and Father Vermeersch, whose sources of information like those of the authorities mentioned above, have, on this point, never been contested, claims to have ascertained the total realisations for 1904 and 1905. The figures for 1901-2-3 are unhappily still a mystery. A comparison of these figures with the estimates will be instructive:

Published estimates.

Rea

isations.

1895

Frs.

1,250,000 Frs. 5,500,000

(Antwerp)

Wauters

1896
1,200,000
, 6,000,000

1897

3,500,000
, 8,500,000

1898

6,700,000
, 9,000,000

Anton
1899

10,200,000
, 19,130,000

Morel
1900

10,500,000
, 14,991,300

1901
17,425,000

1902

15,452,000

1903

16,440,000

1904

'27,057,510

Total

Vermeersch

1905

16,500,000

,24,061,590

>)}
Thus in the six years for which we possess information of the partial realisations of sales, and for the two years in which we possess information relating to the total realisation of sales, we find that the estimates are 48,949,400f. or just under £2,000,000 less than the receipts. The first £2,000,000 unaccounted for. The misleading character of these revenue estimates does not stop there. The estimates include each year a given sum, which varies with the years, representing King Leopold's share in the profits of the "Companies" which run Part B of the Congo. These estimates are entered as "produit du portefeuille," or proceeds from stock held, and a Congo official remarked to Consul Casement, with grim irony, that they would be more fittingly entitled "produit du porte-fusil," or proceeds from the rifle. These estimates are invariably below the actual figures. For the two years 1904 and 1905 the estimates total 5,272,770f., whereas the proportion accruing to King Leopold, as holder of half the shares in three of these companies only, amounted to 9,003,914f., an excess of nearly £150,000 over the estimates.

As I have said, the full amounts realised will never be ascertained probably, but the above figures are conclusive on one point. The revenues from Part A of the Congo territories — Domaine Prive — set aside to cover the expenses of running the enterprise have not been less than the expenditure, as the published estimates would have us believe, but have largely exceeded the expenditure. There has been a substantial surplus in the last fifteen years which can be placed with the utmost moderation at £2,000,000, with the certainty of being far below the mark, and that surplus is nowhere accounted for.

We will now turn to Part B, the portion of the Congo made over by the King to financiers and friends who have floated "Companies" on the Belgian Stock Exchange — formed under Congo "law" in order to escape control of Belgian Company laws — and who dispose of the rubber they "collect," by the means we have noted, chiefly on the Antwerp market. These "Companies" or Trusts, are eight in number. The A.B.I.R. (Lopori and Maringa), Anversoise (Mongalla), Kasai (Basin of the Kasai), Commercial Congolais (Wamba), Grand Lacs (Aruwimi), Comite Special dii Katanga (Katanga), Busira (Busira), Lomami (Lomami). For all practical purposes we may leave out of account in considering the revenues derived from the rubber slave-trade up to the present time, the Busira and Lomami concessions, the Grand Lacs, or Aruwimi concession as it is usually but not quite accurately termed; the Commercial Congolais and the Katanga. The Busira and Lomami and Commercial Congolais are relatively small concerns; the "exploitation" of the rubber of the Aruwimi concession by the King's agents only began eighteen months ago, and the returns are not yet available. The Katanga concession has, for various reasons, been prevented hitherto from showing substantial profits; its future would seem to lie in its copper mines, which introduces a new element not ripe at present for examination. Both the Aruwimi and Katanga are sources of great potential wealth, and lie outside the scope of the present expose. Up till now the A.B.I.R., Anversoise, and Nsni have been the three great "revenue producers.
among the "Companies," and to theae I propose to confine mysr.lf.

The A.B.I.R. used to be known as the Anglo-Belgian India Rubber Company, in which Colonel North was at one time interested. Its career of "prosperity" began with its reconstitution in 1898 as a "Congo" Company, at which time, I believe, all British capital was withdrawn. Its nominal capital is £40,000 in 2,000 shares of £20 each. King Leopold possesses 1,000 of these shares. Its paid-up capital is only £9,280. Its managing council is at present composed of M. A. van den Nest, Senator; Count J. d'Oultremont, Grand Master of King Leopold's European Court; A. de Browne de Tiège, formerly Member of Parliament for Antwerp, a banker who some years ago lent money to the King; M. Alexis Mols, Count Horace van der Burgh, and M. J. van Stappen. M. van Eetvelde, one of King Leopold's principal Congo Secretaries of State, and Baron Jahanis, an ex-Governor-General of the Congo, used to be on the Council; and I believe they are now, but I am not sure.

The net profits of this concern in six years have amounted to £18,004,172, and the dividends paid per share to £8,375. Thus in six years this "Company" has made a net profit of £720,000 out of the rubber slave-trade on a paid-up capital of £9,280, and each share of a paid-up value of £4 6s. 6d. has received £335. The King's profits may be calculated on these figures.

But these profits do not stand alone. To stimulate interest among the Belgian public in the Congo enterprise, the shares were split up into tenths, and they are still quoted in tenths on the Antwerp Stock Exchange. In this manner public speculation was excited, and those in the know have done well, for the shares rose prodigiously. Thus, in 1899, the Stock Exchange quotation for a full share was £17,950; in 1900, 25,250; in 1903, 15,800. These extraordinary fluctuations are significant of much which goes on behind the scenes at the head-quarters of the rubber slave-trade. In the three years given above the owner of 1,000 full shares was either a millionaire or next door to it. Let the reader judge:

1000 shares : paid-up value. Stock Exchange quotations.

Sav. £4,640 1899 ... £718,000
* * ... 1900 ... £1,010,000
1903 ... £632,000
1 Which had to be paid back by the Belgian Parliament.
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Eight years of slaughter, endemic oppression, and exhaustion of the rubber-bearing vines have done their work. The output is falling rapidly, and the full share to-day is only worth £188. I say "worth" — I should have said quoted. It is not worth anything like that, so far as its Congo expectations are concerned, but some of the blood-stained profits of former years have been invested in rubber plantations in the Malay States, and, doubtless, in other undertakings. The "property" of this "Company" on the Congo is virtually ruined, and precisely the same history is being repeated in the Kasai, to which we will now direct our attention.

Prior to 1902, the Kasai Basin was the only region of the vast Upper Congo left open to trade. This concession had been wrung out of the King by the opposition of the Belgian merchants established in the Upper Congo when the royal decrees (1891-2) interpreting the rights of "sovereignty" into personal possession were promulgated. Fourteen Belgian and Dutch merchant firms bought rubber from the natives on fair terms, and did a brisk
business. Then King Leopold stepped in, and forced these firms to amalgamate in a Trust. The natives became the property of the Trust, and the rubber in the forests also. The share capital is 1,005,000 francs in 4,020 shares of 250 francs each, of which the King holds 2,010. The Administrators in Europe are appointed subject to the King's approval. The powers of this Administrative Council are controlled by a permanent Committee composed of four members, two of whom the King selects; the other two being appointed subject to the King's approval. The King, appoints the Chairman of the Committee and he has the casting vote. The net profits of the concern have been as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>1,465,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>3,687,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>5,597,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>7,543,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

or in four years £731,680 on a capital — fully paid up, I believe — of £40,200. These shares are also dealt with in tenths. The value of the full share to-day is 15,500 francs. The King's 2,010 shares are worth, therefore, at the present figure £1,244,200. The profits of the rubber slave-trade are not exactly negligible. 12

1 Oct. 10th, 16,000 francs.
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tons of rubber on a total output of five thousand tons from the whole of the Congo were taken from the Kasai natives last year. The state of things in this part of the Sovereign's property must beggar description. According to the best authorities the Kasai natives ten years ago were the finest races on the Congo, celebrated for their moral and physical beauty." They are now in process of being extirpated and dragged down to the level of the unhappy Mongos, Budjas, A-Babuas and other tribes inhabiting the rubber zone.

The history of the third great Trust, that of the Mongalla is more startling than its profits, which, however, are not to be despised. The European board consists of M. A. de Browne de Tièghe (whom, as we have seen, is on the A.B.I.E. Board), M. C. de Browne de Tièghe, M. Bunge (the King's broker) and Baron Goffinet, an Intendant of the King's Civil List," otherwise stated one of the keepers of the Privy Purse — quite a happy family party. The capital is 1,700,000 francs, divided into 3,400 shares of 500 francs each, of which the King holds 1,700. Moreover, the King levies 15 per cent, on the Company's rubber profits when a fixed percentage of profit has been reached. The net profits of the three fat years, 1898, 1899, and 1903, amounted to £360,000. Profit taking in 1900, 1901, and 1902 was sadly interfered with by the Budjas, a fierce tribe which declined to be enslaved. Now the profits are steadily rising again, the King having taken over " the business; which means in practical politics that the natives are being kept "at work" wholly by the King's troops commanded by officers, instead of by the less efficient irregulars raised by the Trust and commanded by men of the Caudron type. It seems that the Budjas are now "working well," and the value of a full share (the shares are also quoted in tenths) is now £280. Seventeen hundred shares of a nominal value of £20 = £34,400; seventeen hundred shares at £80 = £147,600, Q.E.D. Shortly after the notorious Major Lothaire strung up Mr. Stokes, to the nearest available tree, after a trial which Lord Fitzmaurice has recently called " one of the most disgrace-
ful judicial farces which ever sullied the annals of what purported to be a Court of Justice," King Leopold appointed him to the post of Managing Director of this concern in Africa, and it is said that His Majesty had very weighty reasons for offering this most energetic official a lucrative berth – which that berth certainly proved itself to be.

We now come to Part C of the Congo territory—Domaine de la Couronne, whose revenues the absentee landlord has appropriated to his own exclusive manipulation. The world is not even favoured with estimates of these revenues. Sown in blood, * they are harvested in secret. We owe to Professor Cattier the first and the only disclosures of their amount and the disposal of them. All we knew prior to the appearance of his revelations this year were the names of the three gentlemen appointed by the King to manage them, viz: Baron Goffinet, already holding a distinguished position – as we have seen – in the Congo enterprise, Baron Raoul Snoy, one of the King's aides-de-camp, and M. Droogmans, secretary for the management of the Domaine Prive or A revenues – again a harmonious family party. With great elaboration, by carefully tabulated statistics, by a system of double-check worked out in detail. Professor Cattier has been able to estimate that the net profits procured from this private preserve have amounted in the last decade to a strict minimum of £2,854,000, or nearly £300,000 per annum. In the course of the debate in the Belgian Chamber last March, M. de Smet de Naeyer, the Premier (of whom more anon) and M. de Favereau, the Foreign Minister, upon both of whom the Congo autocrat can rely under any circumstances, endeavoured to dispute Professor Cattier's figures. But the attempt broke down hopelessly. M. de Favereau described the estimates as "very much exaggerated," but when pressed to give the actual figure, replied, amid laughter, "I do not know what it is." M. de Smet de Naeyer was not much happier. Basing himself upon data purporting to fix the total rubber-producing area of the Congo, and deducting therefrom the area of the Domaine de la Couronne, he declared that the King's profits had been nearer £720,000 than £2,000,000. Asked if he "took the responsibility for this figure," M. de Smet de Naeyer answered that he was "not called upon to establish 1 Vide Mr. Joseph Clark's and Mr. A. E. Scrivener's evidence Section III See also the terrible accounts gathered by Consul Casement from refugees who had escaped from this territory, f White Book, Africa, No. 1. 1904.)

2 Official shorthand report. Feb. - March. 1906
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before the House the revenues of the Domtdne de la Couronne," but was merely disposing of Professor Cattier's "errors." "Unfortunately for the speaker, he was shown at a subsequent stage in the debate to have himself been guilty of a prodigious error, by including in the rubber-producing area of the Congo – as quoted by him to the House – the area covered by all the waterways of the immense fluvial system of the country, plus the area where rubber is known to exist, but where it has not yet been "exploited"! Hence Professor Cattier's estimates remain unshaken. He might easily have been confounded by the King furnishing the Prime Minister of Belgium with the real figure, if that figure had been lower than the Professor's estimates. That the Prime Minister was not so furnished is pretty conclusive evidence that the real figure is higher than Professor Cattier's
estimates.

But this terrible critic of the absentee African landlord did not end there. He succeeded in obtaining some remarkable information as to the disposal of these revenues. He found that they were utilised, inter alia, in the creation of a Press Bureau for the subsidising of journalists, newspapers, and jurists — for the drawing up of doctrinal theses, whereby the King has sought to invest with legality the appropriation of 800,000 square miles of African territory to himself; the construction of a "colonial school" at Tervueren; the construction of a triumphal arch at Brussels, the cost of which the Belgian Parliament had declined to sanction from the national funds; improvements on a colossal scale of the royal residence at Laeken; last, but not least, the purchase of real estate. It was in dealing with the latter item that Professor Cattier was specially instructive. He searched through the register of mortgages for two of the wards or districts of Belgium (that of Brussels and that of Ostend) and he found that the King had purchased in the name of the Domaine de la Couronne (as purchaser) real estate to the amount — as entered on the bills of sale — of £731,560. Eighteen pages of Professor Cattier's volume are devoted to a detailed enumeration of the 115 transactions, officially recorded in these two wards alone. Land, houses, gardens, hotels, woods, building ground, stables, etc., tire mentioned in these astonishing

3 Ibid.
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purchases, which, as Mr. Harold Spender says, "look" as if King Leopold aimed at using the proceeds of the Congo for turning Belgium into his private estate." I do not know whether Belgian legislation includes what might correspond to our statutes of mortmain, but there would seem to be need of something of the kind.

The genius of Leopold Africanus has imagined yet another method of acquiring further sums from his African property, e.g., by means of loans. The nominal liabilities of the "Congo State" are considerable. They are as follows:

1888 "Public debt".
1890 and 1895 debt contracted
with Belg
1896 Loan
1898
1901
1904 . . .

Frs. 150,000,000

31,804,405
1,500,000
12,500,000
50,000,000
30,000,000
Or a total nominal indebtedness in round figures of £11,000,000!

The 1,500,000 100-franc bonds of the 1888 loan are redeemable in ninety-nine years by drawings on the lottery system. The guaranteed fund being sufficient to provide for interest and sinking fund, no interest is paid on the issues. According to M. de Smet de Naeyer 900,000 of these bonds have been issued. According to Professor Cattier the issue of the first 100,000 bonds was "authorized" in February, 1888, and the subscription list was opened on March 7 of the same year at the price of 88f. per bond: a further issue of 800,000 bonds "was authorized by the decree of November 3, 1902." He adds that this issue was partly converted in 1903. If M. de Smet de Naeyer's figure is accurate - and his statements as we have seen must be received with caution - only 200,000 bonds out of the last authorised issue have been converted. A large number of bonds have been placed in France, and M. Lucien Coquet, in an able treatise, declares that in 1903 the number of bonds negotiable on the French market was 196,875. Should this be true it means that France holds four-fifths of the total issue up-to-date, an interesting circumstance deserving of note.


1 Lucien Coquet, "Fragments d'une étude sur les secrets d'Etat." Paris, 1903.
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King Leopold pays no interest to Belgium on the money borrowed from her in 1890 and 1895.

The loans of 1896, 1898, and 1901 bear interest at 4 per cent., and the loan of 1904 at 3 per cent. The bonds created under the 1901 loan are reimbursable in ninety years. The bonds issued under the 1904 loan, known as the "3 per cent. Congo," bear interest as from March 1 of that year. A portion of this stock was placed at par, the balance at a discount of no less than 28 per cent.

In addition to the nominal liabilities mentioned above, an indirect debt was incurred in 1901, consisting of a guarantee of interest of 4 per cent, on a sum of 25,000,000f. raised by the Grand Lacs Trust.

In his recent manifesto, King Leopold expresses his intention of raising a further loan of 100,000,000f. - four million sterling.

What has King Leopold actually received from these loans?

It is impossible to say with certainty. Professor Cattier, after an elaborate analysis - based upon the sums set aside in the annual Congo estimates for interest on loans - reckons the figure at £3,200,000 exclusive of the 1888 loan. The yield from the 1888 loan he reckons at £2,000,000: total £5,200,000. A long and heated discussion took place in the Belgian Chamber over these figures last March. The upshot of it was that an actual yield of a little over £3,000,000 was admitted by M. de
Smet de Naeyer, who gave no proof – as various speakers pointed out – that the larger sum estimated by Professor Cattier did not approximate more closely to the truth.

And now let us sum up this astonishing series of facts.

King Leopold starts upon his Congo career by declaring that he has taken in hand a philanthropic enterprise. Stanley came over to this country as his mouth-piece, and – doubtless quite sincerely at the time – chided his audience for a latent scepticism, or lack of "sentiment."

They could not, he told them, "appreciate rightly, because there are no dividends attached to it, this restless, ardent, vivifying and expensive sentiment which seeks to extend civilising influence among the dark places of sad-browed Africa."

For several years the King sank £40,000 per annum in the Congo, which he is gradually taking steps to turn into his private possession with everything animal, vegetable, and mineral within it included.

He publishes annual statements which profess to be estimates of the total revenues acquired by this philanthropic enterprise, and he invites the world to note that during the last fifteen years, notwithstanding his royal liberality, the enterprise shows, a loss of £1,085,000.

Upon examination those estimates are found to have been below the receipts by something like £3,000,000, 10 that an alleged loss is converted into a profit of nearly £2,000,000 nowhere accounted for.

It transpires, moreover, that the King is the holder of shares in rubber "Companies," which he has caused to be formed and floated in Brussels and on the Congo, and which he controls through his creatures, and that the stock-exchange value of his holdings to-day is £2,000,000.

It transpires further that – after concealing the fact for eight years – the King has set aside a portion of the Congo four times the size of England, Scotland, and Wales, for himself exclusively, and that the net revenues he has derived therefrom in ten years amount to £2,854,000.

Thus we find that the King's philanthropic enterprise has in the last fifteen years produced a net profit of just under £5,000,000 instead of a deficit of £1,085,000, and that the close of these fifteen years finds the King in possession of shares in three rubber "Companies" of a total stock-exchange value of £2,000,000, apart altogether from the enormous potential value of his holding in two other Congo "Companies" – the Katanga (and its subsidiaries) and the Grand Lacs or Aruwimi. Holder of these shares, in two cases for eight years, in one case for four years, he has been in a position to reap all the profits from speculation thus afforded, and with the greater facility since the large proportion of shares held by him, carried with it control of the market.

The picaire is completed by the revelation that to meet an alleged published deficit of £1,085,000, he has contracted nominal debts to the amount of £11, (XX), 000 from which he has, admittedly, received £3,000,000!

The whole of these vast sums are the proceeds of the rubber slave-trade of the Congo, raised directly or indirectly from the unspeakable oppression, misery, and
partial extermination of the native of Central Africa.
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Crime so awful, scandal of such magnitude, tragedy so immeasurable — the world surely has never seen their like in combination.

The question with which this section is headed is now answered, and the facts herein tabulated can only be disproved in one way, viz. : by the jurfduction of iudicted balance aileets of the Congo revenues, covering the last fifteen years. And these will not be forthcoming.

King Leopold is the main beneficiary of the rubber slave-trade: a long way behind him, the chosen few whom choice or temporary necessity has caused to be selected as participants in the royal spoil. As a Belgian writer puts it: " The slave-trade has been re-established for the benefit of King Leopold and twenty rich families in Belgium." It bodes little what the sovereign of the Congo has done with this ill-gotten wealth. If he had spent it all, and all the additional wealth it has enabled him to amass in other fields, in charitable institutions, the crime, the scandal, and the tragedy would remain. True to his role King Leopold now seeks to pose as the celestially appointed agent to stem the ravages of malaria and sleeping-sickness. He has given £1,000 to Sir Alfred Jones — his Liverpool Consul and the ocean-carrier of his rubber — for the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (an admirable institution of which Sir Alfred Jones is the president), and in his recent manifesto offers to spend £12,000 towards "fighting" the sleeping-sickness. The mere idea of a grant of £12,000 out of as many millions wrung from the Congo natives, fills this royal Pecksniff with such emotion at his own goodness that he declares:

"If God gives me that satisfaction (victory over sleeping sickness) I shall be able to present myself before His judgment-seat with the credit of having performed one of the finest acts of the century, and a legion of rescued beings will call down upon me His grace."!

Prodigious! One feels inclined to suggest a specie! form of prayer for the use of the royal benefactor, somewhat after this wise:

"Oh! Almighty God, from my ill-gotten millions I devote unto Thee the colossal sum of twelve thousand pounds, to save Thy people in Africa from a disease which my policy towards them, by 1 Royal manifesto. June. 1906.
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increasing their impoverishment and misery, 2 by destroying their confidence, 2 by robbing them of their staple food supplies, 2 by plunging them in wretchedness and despair, 2 has largely increased. 2 Stained as my policy is, with crimes innumerable, Thou wilt appreciate the extent of this, my pecuniary sacrifice. At the touch of my royal robe, whole tribes have disappeared as though struck down with a mysterious pestilence. The progress of my triumphal march through the Equatorial forest is marked by the bleached bones of men and women. But all good deeds have their painful sides, and what is the evil wrought, besides these twelve thousand golden pieces which I offer upon this my sacrificial altar, for the salvation of those of my black subjects whose eyelids (unhappily for them) are not yet closed in sleep eternal?"

It bodes little whether the bulk of this money has been, and is being expended on what the King considers tho
interests of Belgium— we shall see in the next section
the peculiar way in which those interests are regarded by
him. Obviously he cannot spend it all on himself, or his
friends of either sex. The improvements at the Laeken
Palace are to cost, when completed, £1,200,000. The
triumphal arch erected in Brussels, and which the nation
did not require, cost £200,000. Plans have recently
been submitted to His Majesty for the erection of
an enormous statue of himself mounted on a charger, to be
erected in Brussels in 1910 at a cost of £150,000. The
investigations into the value of real estate he has pur-
chased in Belgium have only begun. Professor Cattier
has proved purchases totalling £731,560. Monsieur
Vandervelde was able to inform the Belgian Chamber
last March that Professor Cattier "s disclosures by no
means exhaust the list; that more real estate has been
bought by the "Domaine de la Couronne" in the pro-
vinces of Louvain, Namur, and Luxemburg. The same
speaker alleged that other properties had been purchased
by the King in the name of Baron Goffinet (with whom
the reader will be familiar). It is, of course, well known
that the King owns large properties on the Mediterranean,
notably at Cape Ferrat, where a magnificent residence
and grounds are occupied by "Madame la baronne
Vaughan," The French Government declined to recog-
nise the "Domaine de la Couronne" as a valid
purchaser, and the property was acquired in the name of

2 Evidence before Commission of Inquiry.
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the King's medical adviser. M. Vandervelde estimates
the purchase price of the properties at Cape Ferrat, and
in Brussels under the name of Barou Goffinet at
£680,000; and he is exceptionally well informed. It is,
of course, equally well known that the King has invested
large sums in Chinese railways and in Persia, and there
are rumours that his agents are conducting negotiations
in San Domingo and Bolivia. He is reported to have
invested £600,000 in Suez Canal stock. Very large
sums have certainly been expended in the campaign of
mendacity organised throughout the world by his Press
Bureau, especially in France, the United States, Italy,
and Germany. A great deal of information has come
into my hands on this subject, but not in a form which
renders publicity always possible, or internationally
desirable. There is not a well-informed Frenchman on
Colonial affairs but knows that the present admittedly
deporable state of affairs in the African territory of
France bordering King Leopold's preserve, is the outcome
primarily of Leopoldian intrigue with a golden lining.
The men who in France are struggling against the inocu-
lation of French Colonial ideas by the Leopoldian virus—
Anatole France, Francis de Pressensés, Paul Yiollet,
Gustave Eoanet, Pierre Mille, Flicien Challaye, and
others—are fighting not only for the fair name of their
country, but—as we are fighting here—for the preserva-
tion of the native races of Central Africa, for the salva-
tion of the African tropics from the destructive blight of
Leopoldian precept and example. That great man, de
Brazza, seeing with his own eyes the result of imitating
Leopoldian methods in the French Congo, whither he
had been sent on a mission of investigation by his
Government, had determined to consecrate the rest of
his life to opening the eyes of the French people, and
fighting the modern slave-trade. Death has robbed us
of him. But his Memoirs remain. May Madame de
Brazza be inspired to give them to the world.

1 Ibid.

2 Le, Pat Hote (Royalist and Catholic), Brussels, August, 1905, in
an interview "with an eminent authority of the first rank."
SECTION V
THE DUTY

1
THOU I

Did King Leopold know that the concomitants to the enormous revenues he has been drawing from the labour of the Congo races were the misery, degradation, enslavement and partial extermination of those peoples?

Does the question require an answer, other than the answer these pages supply?

Remember that power has been, and is, vested in him alone; that that power is absolute, all controlling and directing; that his Congo staff in Brussels is not composed of responsible officials, but of men whom he himself has selected and keeps upon it, revocable at his will and pleasure, answerable to him alone, paid out of his African revenues, men to whom no initiative is allowed, who are there to do his bidding, whose position is wholly dependent upon a slavish submission to his commands.

Remember that these men — if responsibility be shifted from the royal shoulders on to theirs — stand condemned on the face of the Report of his own Commission, stand condemned at the bar of civilisation, of having directed for fifteen years, from their offices in Brussels, a vast system of criminal oppression, the like of which the world has never seen.

Remember that if the royal master was ignorant of their misdeeds, they have betrayed and disgraced him before the universe, they have bespattered the royal robe with blood, they have branded the royal name with infamy, they have been wicked servants; and their offence is the greater since he has profited from it, largely profited, profited beyond the dreams of avarice.

Remember that he has retained them in office, and that a Minister of Great Britain to the European country over which he rules as constitutional monarch, has still to conduct diplomatic negotiations through them, on behalf of the Government of his Britannic Majesty!
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Remember that his Congo staff in Africa does but apply and carry out the instructions it receives from Brussels, and that the Governor-General is his "mandatory."

Remember that fourteen years ago he, by secret decree — the contents of which were unknown until years later — gave to that staff a command which was to regulate their whole conduct, to be the motive directing them, their paramount duty and their first consideration; and that command was to raise revenue.

Remember that for eleven years out of those fourteen the natives were "by force" compelled to provide this revenue, illegally, "with no limitation as to quantity or time, and that members of his staff" received in various forms commission proportionate to the revenue they secured.

Remember that in the eleventh year, when revelations
increased and multiplied every day, this raising of revenue " by force " was for the first time legalised, but limited by law in such a way as to provide that no native should be called upon to labour for the royal majesty in Brussels at the utmost more than forty hours per month or sixty days per annum. ^

Remember that three months after the promulgation of this legal decision — which had then become the law of the country — the King's "mandatory" in Africa issued a private circular to the local staff to the effect that the revenues, under this new law — which restricted to a fixed duration of time demands that for the eleven preceding years had been unrestricted and unlimited — should not only be maintained at their previous figure, but should show " constant progression " : and that one year after the new law had come into operation (November-December, 1904) the natives were being requisitioned " by force " to raise revenue for a minimum of three hundred days in the year.^

Remember that from this supreme " illegality sprang acts all of them equally illegal according to the laws of the country " propounded for the ostensible purpose of protecting the native against outrage, which the supreme " illegality " rendered habitual and

1 December 5, 1891.
2 Commission of Inquiry's Report.
3 Commission of Inquiry's Report,
4 Ibid. These demands continued as soon as the back of the Commission was turned, and they continue to this day.
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inevitable; such as armed expeditions illegally sent against native communities, unwilling or unable to supply revenue in quantities considered requisite by the local members of the royal staff, who received a commission on that revenue ; the seizure of men and women and their illegal retention in hostage-houses, and so on.

Remember that all this while if data on the abominations committed under this illegal system for raising revenue in accordance with the King's command were accumulating in the mission stations, they were also accumulating in the official records and in the Public Prosecutor's office, which is supervised by the King's " mandatory "; and that the King's Commissioners have declared that the material for the affirmations their Report contains, and for the conclusions at which they arrived, was supplied not so much from the evidence placed before them by European and native witnesses as from the examination of these official records.^

Remember that no member of the King's Executive staff in Africa has been prosecuted or even dismissed the royal service, but on the contrary has been honoured, promoted, and remunerated.

Let those who from motives unquestionably good in the eyes of the men who hold them, motives made up of traditions and a general trend of ideas that have much to recommend them in ordinary cases, seek some loophole of escape from a grim logic which will not be gainsaid, and find it in " Sinning Concessionnaire Companies"; let them remember who these " concessionnaires " are, and what these " Companies " are ! Farmers of a portion of the royal revenues ; organisations created and operating under the King's African code of laws, subjected to no control from the machinery of a European judicature.
Let them remember that the men on the Councils of the headquarters of these concerns are the King's Congo bodyguard; that all these years they have acted in the closest partnership with him — officers of his Privy Purse, functionaries at his European Court, bankers ever obsequious to the royal call!

Let them remember that these men still bask in the royal smile, these "Companies" still operate, the King's

I Ibid.
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Steamers still convey to their agents in Africa the rifle and cap-gun, the cases of cartridges, caps and loads, by which means they "stimulate" for themselves and for the King the rubber output!

"Take the Brussels Executive Staff, like the Congo Executive Staff; the so-called "concessionnaires," the titled partners in guilt, the financial vampires in co-equal infamy, the beneficiaries from uniformity in outrage, remain.

The handwriting is on the wall 'It blazes forth in letters of fire! They will burn through the age unquenchable, ineffaceable, a transcendental testimony to the possibilities of individual crime, a supreme warning to mankind, and in the dim hereafter those who read them with happier hearts and in happier times will recollect that their message it was which pronounced the final judgment upon autocratic rule in the world of mea.

II

"REFORM"

"'My rights on the Congo are indivisible... nonf possesses any right of intervention." Such is King Leopold's answer to the protest of civilization. The tenure of he who makes that answer is so precarious that he has thought well to accompany such declarations with a series of decrees addressed to his Brussels staff, elaborating a number of "reforms."

Those "reforms" are left to the Congo staff to execute after having been drawn up by the Brussels staff!

The future destinies of the Congo natives are committed to the same hands which have dealt so gently with them in the past!

"'Reforms," the need for which the Brussels staff ha? always rejected because it always denied the existence of facts requiring that attention which the Commission of Inquiry urgently called for, are conceded, in theory, just as they were conceded, in theory, ten years ago, by the creation of the "Commission for the Protection of the Natives" and the "perfecting" of the "Organisation of Justice."

And observe in what manner they are issued to a wondering world. "We — that is, the most obedient servants of His Majesty's Binissels staff — have the honour to submit for the approval of your Majesty the legislative and administrative measures which appear to us of a nature to continue the realisation of the programme in which the King-Sovereign has been pursuing for more than a quarter of a century in Central Africa, at the price of his constant efforts and personal sacrifice." How true indeed! For the items in the "programme" of this Leopoldian civilisation remain not only unaltered, but
accentuated, re-affirmed in tones unmistakable, breathing an arrogance born of long immunity in wrongdoing.

The interpretation of "Sovereignty" to mean personal possession; of an international trusteeship consigned into private property; of African production for the pursuance of alien aims; of power absolute, unchecked, unfettered, uncontrolled, "indivisible," setting itself beyond and above the law of nations – all this is emphasized in the Royal Manifesto.

The "personal sacrifice" is exemplified by a tightening of the grip upon the revenues from the Domaine de la Couronne and Domaine Prive, whilst modesty still demands that the extent of the "sacrifice" should be withheld – in other words, that the amount of those revenues should still be wrapped in mystery as unfathomable as the regenerator of Africa can make it! Any outside interference in such matters "partakes of the character of positive usurpation."

The realisation of the programme will be fulfilled "with the most immutable patriotism," and "in perfect harmony with my immutable will."

That form does atonement take!

After this is it necessary to examine those "reforms"?

The produce of the soil of Central Africa still belongs to the King; hence, too, the labour of the African, without which the former is unobtainable. But the native will only be taxed "in strict conformity with legality"!

The royal profits derived from pillage, perennial outrage, and endemic oppression have been stupendous, but insufficient, as we have seen, to provide for the feeding of native witnesses, whose attendance is required at Boma, the chief directing centre of the King's African estate. So, too, more officers will be drafted into the King's African army to secure the "effective control" of the troops, but only "when the revenue permits of it"! And three "Inspectors" shall be specially appointed to ensure a just relationship between European and natives in a country 800,000 square miles in extent as a preliminary to securing "a more complete administrative and judicial organisation" which, alas 1 is "only possible through an increase in the revenue of the State!"

"The law" – we had been told of old time – "protects the freedom of the native by forbidding any interference

1 Royal Manifesto, June, 1906. 2 ibid.
2 Vide Section IV., part iii.
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with the freedom of business transactions," and we are now informed that this principle remains unimpaired. Who could have doubted it, since the only articles on the Congo which can give rise to "business transactions are the private property of the absentee landlord in Europe! Have we not been told also that "the State has been at much pains to protect the natives from being robbed."

The native is only required to work "forty hours per
month for the absentee landlord and his partners. Let the reader peruse once more the preceding chapter. . . .

In the last seven years King Leopold's African estate has produced eleven million pounds sterling of India-rubber by claiming the labour of the African natives from the rising of the sun to the setting thereof, and enforcing that claim vi et armis, and the King's "mandatory has declared that the amount must be increased under a law which demands of the native not every day in every year, but only sixty days. If this law were applied the revenues would decrease by four-fifths, and I am afraid that not only would the "effective control" of the King's African army, to say nothing of the "more complete administrative and judicial organisation " be delayed ad infinitum, but the native witnesses in criminal cases would need to go wholly unfed, and — still more terrible to contemplate — the next cheque for the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine might conceivably become overdue, while the chances of fruitful speculation in rubber shares on the Antwerp Bourse would be inconveniently curtailed. "Personal sacrifice would clearly be too onerous a moral asset on such terms.

1 Official Bulletin. 2 ibid.

Ill

THE POSITION OF BELGIUM.

"We are, therefore, armed. It is not the power to act, but the will to act, which the Government lacks, and I must add that I do not expect much from it, because the characteristic of its policy during the last few years has been complete acquiescence in everything which the sovereign of the Congo State has done." - M. Vanderveide, 1906.1

Not reform, but revolution. Not the apothecary, but the surgeon. Not poulticing, but removal.

Can the European people over whom King Leopold — a foreigner to them — rules as constitutional monarch anri whom he in his heart despises — can they apply the remedy? Can they tear the races of Central Africa from that relentless grasp? Are they able to do it? Were they able to do it, could they shoulder the burden of introducing justice and good government where for fifteen long years massacre and pillage have gone hand in hand — a burden heavy, ungrateful, dangerous for so small a people — the more dangerous since their mental outlook on colonial enterprise has been greatly poisoned and corroded by the foetid example placed before them?

Between England and Belgium cling historical ties which make for the preservation of Belgium. The King of the Belgians is a bitter and malignant enemy of England. He has become so because England has supplied the pens and the voices which have exposed his African undertaking. But in England, if there is no particular admiration for, there is no hostility to, the Belgian people. Almost everywhere among us it is recognised that they have been misled as to sentiment.


2 They are active, industrious, very hard-working. The conduct of the brave handful who, at considerable personal sacrifice in at least one case I know, have endeavoured to save their country from moral complicity in the rubber slave-trade is absolutely beyond praise. Praise, indeed, in this case, would almost savour of impertinence.
duped as to motives, misinformed as to facts, irresponsible as to action, foully betrayed by carefully combined co-operation in intrigue. Hence it is that a very general feeling prevails in this country, in official circles and outside them, that annexation by Belgium would constitute a solution, most to be desired on varying grounds, of the Congo outrage.

This hope has been frequently expressed. Let us, then, examine the possibilities. But in God's name let our examination rest upon and start from the real issue at stake — the salvation of the Congo races from the rubber slave-trade. Do not let us be decoyed by what may prove a mirage, capitulate before an idea merely because it appears attractive. A hideous mistake was committed twenty-two years ago. It must not be repeated. The Congo natives have paid too heavy a price to international thoughtlessness to be again sacrificed. If we could suppose Belgium running the Congo on Leopoldian lines, such a condition of things would become a grave danger to the cause of international peace.

What is the position of Belgium with regard to the Congo to-day? It is an extraordinary position, and in every respect a most humiliating one. Belgium, as a legal right, whatsoever over the King's enterprise, which, so far as she is concerned, is "a foreign State" outside the control or supervision of the Belgian Parliament and people. Belgium cannot even insist upon information being tendered to her as to the financial and general management of that enterprise by the King's Brussels staff, although Belgium has loaned one million sterling to King Leopold as African sovereign, on which she receives no interest, and although she lends King Leopold the officers of her army to assist him in maintaining the rubber slave-trade, and her diplomatists and consuls to defend it. This state of affairs has been brought about by the subservience to the royal will of M. de Smet de Naeyer, the Belgian Premier, in all matters relating to the Congo. Congo has placed the interests of King Leopold first, and the interests of Belgium a long

1 This has been repeatedly stated in Parliament by membere of the present Belgian Cabinet. Again, in the last Congo debate (February, 1906), the Belgian Foreign Minister, atta:<;king M. Vandervelde's interpellation, said, "He knew that the two Governments are distinct, and that we cannot be rendered responsible for acts in which we have not participated."
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way afterwards. This, his lengthened tenure of office— due to the break up of the old Liberal party, the fear of Socialist legislation among the middle classes, and the preponderance of the voting power of those classes at the polls owing to the existing elective system, and the undoubted increase in the country's prosperity — has enabled M. de Smet de Naeyer to do with Leopold. He has been in power twenty-three years, covering the whole period of the rise and enforcement of the rubber slave-trade. M. de Smet de Naeyer's predecessors were, to some extent at any rate, independent of the King's influence, but his docility has been remarkable, and as a reward he has been ennobled — a privilege never granted to his forerunners, although men of far greater personality and mental calibre. Believed to be above reproach in his private life, politically he is the King's creature, and the members of his Cabinet are merely figureheads. It is a puppet government of which the strings are pulled by the King, who, through the veil of a constitutional monarchy, exercises with increasing force a despotic will, riding rough-shod over constitutional foundations. Never was the monarch's trend of mind in this regard shown more clearly than in his recent Manifesto, in which he lays down the law in matters affecting the interests of Belgium as though no consti-
The events which have led up to the position in which Belgium finds herself in regard to the Congo may be briefly summarised. After he had obtained the separate and collective recognition of his iVfrican enterprise from the United States of America and the European Powers, King Leopold applied for the sanction of the Belgian Chambers to his assuming the title of "Sovereign of the Congo State." This "fusion of the two Crowns," as it is called, was secured, not without opposition, by that Belgian statesman whose high reputation extends beyond the boundaries of his country, M. Beernaert. It will be borne in mind that in those days the King proclaimed (as he still proclaims, mirabile dictu) that he was working solely in the interests of Belgium. In a letter to M. Beernaert, dated August 5, 1889, the King communicated to that statesman a will bequeathing to Belgium after his death all his "sovereign rights" over the Congo, as they are recognised by the Declarations, Conventions, and Treaties concluded since 1884, between the
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foreign Powers on the one side, the International Association of the Congo and the Independent State of the Congo on the other."

The words italicised should be carefully retained. The King's "sovereign rights" were indeed recognised, but the interpretation which the King has since placed upon those "sovereign rights" has not been recognised. It has been repudiated emphatically by the British Government, and, were the same interpretation adopted by his possible successor, that repudiation, with all that it involves, would hold good.

The King intimated in the above letter that, if before his death "it should be agreeable to the country (i.e., Belgium) to establish closer links with the Congo, / should not hesitate to place them at its disposal. I should be happy to see it ["our African " work] during my lifetime in the full enjoyment of their possession."

This "free gift" of the Congo to Belgium by a patriotic monarch was accepted by the Belgian Chambers in July, 1890, on the following terms. Belgium was to have the right to annex the Congo at any time within the ensuing ten years. She was to advance £1,000,000 sterling to the King's enterprise, paying £200,000 down and £80,000 per annum during the specified period. No interest was to be demanded from the King, but if at the expiration of the agreement, Belgium refused definitely to annex, then the loan would bear interest at 3½ per cent. No further financial liabilities were to be incurred by the King without the assent of the Belgian Chambers, a most reasonable proviso, since Belgium from that date onwards stood in the light of prospective heir, and one which a monarch working in the interests of Belgium was bound to observe, apart from his plighted word.

This "free gift" then was secured by Belgium at a first cost of £1,000,000 (plus interest) to the Belgian taxpayer.

In 1898 the Count de Merode Westerloo brought forward an annexation project. The King worked against it secretly, and the Socialists opposed it with the greatest violence, especially when it transpired in the course of the debate that the King had broken his word, had borrowed £250,000 from ]I. de Browne de Ti'ge, that the time-limit was about to expire, and that with its expiration this astute banker would come into possession for ever of a slice of territory — according to the terms of his
private bargain with the King — on the Congo five times the size of Belgium. The patriotism of King Leopold was equal already, it will be observed, to the alienation of a substantial portion of the "patrimony" of his prospective heir! The annexation project was withdrawn, and the Chambers voted the money required to keep out M. de Browne de Tiege.

Thus this "free gift" was secured at a second cost of 250,000; total cost up to 1895 £1,250,000 (plus interest) to the Belgian tax-payer.

When the time-limit approached for the expiration of the agreement of 1890, i.e., in 1901, M. Beerenaert drafted and presented an annexation bill. The Government appeared at first to acquiesce. The Socialists opposed, but the liberal leaders were in favour, and M. Beerenaert's bill was assured of a large majority. Then came the King's dramatic intervention. The infamous decrees of 1891-2 which had converted the "Congo State" into a piratical undertaking and drenched the Congo territories with blood, had also resulted in the acquisition of enormous revenues, and many more were in store. Future prospects were brilliant. The King was no longer eager to place the Congo in the hands of the Belgian people, no longer anxious to give them the "full enjoyment" of his patriotic schemes. He addressed a letter to M. Woeste, one of the leaders of the clerical majority, and as devoted a henchman as M. de Smet de Naeyer, who communicated it to an astonished House. It was blunt, autocratic, to the point. If annexation were voted then, "that is to say before the time has come when annexation can give to Belgium all the benefits which I wish to assure her/ the Sovereign would refuse to administer the Congo during the inevitable interregnum. In other words he would withdraw the whole machinery of government"! The annexationists were non-plussed. The Cabinet went over to the King. No law existed for the governing of "Colonial possessions." The absolutism of the King's act was glossed over by the Government depositing a projected law for the above purpose. The Belgian Chambers were powerless. The time limit expired. With it even the shadowy control formerly exercised by Belgium over the King's African enterprise expired also, and the latter became completely independent. The Chambers had to bow to the inevitable, but not without many a speech of indignant and weighty protest. The Liberal leaders declared that their acquiescence was the acquiescence of "resignation"... a solution which, in our view, is essentially provisional." M. de Lalitsheere, a prominent member of the clerical majority exclaimed: "The new position which has been created for us, and which totally excludes Belgium from any intervention in Congo affairs, is going to place us in an untenable situation — to undergo all liabilities, without our having the least power, or the least liberty of action. No one in the world has ever consented to accept a responsibility which excludes the right of action and liberty. From the Belgian point of view it will be liability for the acts of others: from the foreign point of view it will remain our liability." But the King got his own way, thanks to M. de Smet de Naeyer, who has pigeon-holed his projected law for the government of the "Colonial Possessions of Belgium" ever since, although "urgency" was claimed for it at the time, and the situation to-day is that it has been since 1901, that which M. Beerenaert described it to be in the debate of last March: "We can no longer obtain accounts or information of any kind, and, notwithstanding our triple position..."
of presumptive heirs, furnishers of men and money, and creditors, we are, from the judicial point of view, in exactly the same situation towards the Congo as the other States represented at the Conference of Berlin," which "declaration M. de Smet de Naeyer endorsed by the words, "that is quite accurate." "When we discuss Congo affairs here": — remarked M. Hyman, one of the foremost leaders of the Liberal Party, in the course of the aforesaid debate, "the Government declares itself incompetent to repW, and immediately afterwards we see the same JMinister, who has sheltered himself behind this non possuvis, speak and explain himself not as a Minister of the Belgian Government, but as an advocate of the Congo State. I do not understand how the head of a Government does not realise how abnormal and shocking such a situation is."

In the course of the March, 1906 debate, to which I have referred, the Belgian Chamber passed a resolution in favour of proceeding without delay to the projected ';
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Belgium. The Chamber meets in November. Meanwhile King Leopold, frightened for his revenues, has again flying out an ultimatum, fitting pendant to that of 1901. In his Manifesto he roundly declares that if Belgium ever annexes the Congo she must " respect all engagements " which he may have made with third parties! She must inherit the " obligations to discharge in no manner the int-egal revenues " of the Domaine Prive et Dom,aine de la Couronne! As. for the Domaine de la Couronne its revenues are for ever inalienable! In other words, Belgium must bind herself to maintain impaired the atrocious system of pillage and grinding oppression under which these revenues are acquired, and which would disappear with the disappearance of that system. Her control must be for ever excluded from the Domaine de la Couronne, i.e., from a portion of the Congo ten times the size of Belgium. She must take over all the debts which the King has contracted, and respect the existing rubber " Companies " whose " administrators " are co-partners with the King. The King is good enough to add that in accordance with his " immut-able patriotism " he will allow Belgium to annex when he considers she is in a position to reap the fullest advantages from the Congo " patrimony," but that if the members of his Brussels staff — to whom the Manifesto is addressed — are respectfully asked when that happy moment is likely to arrive, they will reply that the patriotic monarch has " nothing to say at present"! What aspect does the " free gift " of 1889 take on in 1906?

A. A " free gift " which the royal giver withholds ad infinitum.

B. A " free gift " for which he has obtained £1,250,000 out of the Belgian taxpayer free of interest.

C. A " free gift " — which he has saddled with nominal liabilities — ex the above — of £9,700,000, and with positive liabilities the amount of which he carefully conceals from his prospective heirs.

D. A " free gift," the potential value of which is decreasing every year with the blood-stained revenues he and his associates are drawing from it.

E. A "free gift" for which he has acquired from Belgium the services of her officers, diplomatists, and
Is there on record a similar instance of a trusting, ill-informed nation being swindled so outrageously and treated so contumeliously, by a foreign monarch presiding over its destinies?

The Congo enterprise has certainly created in Belgium a taste for foreign commercial expansion, and has given an impetus to Belgian industry abroad. That is undeniable. But at what a price! So far as the Congo is concerned, Belgium as a nation derives little or no benefit from it. There is no trade with the natives. The produce of the country is extracted by force. Hence the exports from Belgium to the Congo are insignificant. On the other hand, the pernicious ideals, of colonial "policy" introduced by the King have wrought moral havoc among a section at least of the well-to-do bourgeois classes of the country. The army has become impregnated with a detestable virus. The old slave-trade spirit has everywhere made inroads. The Nemesis is certain, is, indeed, approaching with strides more rapid than most persons suppose.

There are several other points of view to be considered, both Belgian and non-Belgian. What are the principal features of M. de Smet de Naeyer's projected law? They are such that if annexation took place on those lines, nothing would be changed except that a "Colonial Minister" would replace a "Secretary," and that "Colonial Minister" might very possibly be M. de Smet de Naeyer himself, to whom it is said King Leopold has offered the appointment under certain contingencies. The man who has declared as the pivotal basis and justification of an African undertaking that "the native is entitled to nothing," would be a worthy successor to Messrs. de Cuvelier, Liebrechts, and Droogmans. M. de Smet de Naeyer's projected law retains "the whole civil, judicial, financial, military, and administrative organisation" created by the King. The King remains invested with the sole executive power. The finances are, as before, controlled by him absolutely. He drafts 1. Official shorthand report of Belgian Parliamentary Debates, July, 1903, and February-March, im office.

2. The principal Secretaries of the King's Congo Staff in Brussels.
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the "'budgets" and registers the laws. The members of the Judiciary are, as before, subject to the Executive will, and revocable ad nutum. The "Minister" would have a seat in Parliament, and could only be interrogated once a year, when he presented his annual report; he would be, in effect, quite outside Cabinet or Parliament control, subject only to the King. "It is easy to describe the dominating idea which presided over the elaboration of this project; to retain in the hands of the Sovereign all colonial affairs, withhold the latter as far as possible from Parliamentary interference, establish the financial independence of the colony; these, without a doubt are the objects which its authors have had in view." In other words, Belgium would in appearance become responsible; in reality she would, once more, be wax in the King's hands. It is, I think, inconceivable that annexation on this basis could be driven through the Belgian Chamber, especially in view of the revelations of the Commission of Inquiry and of the contents of the recent Manifesto, which seeks to bind down the Belgians to conditions which no people with an ounce of dignity could agree to. But assuming the incredible to happen, a solution such as this could not be accepted by public opinion outside of Belgium. In truth it would be no
solution, but an aggravation of existing evils, at least from the international point of view.

Then there is another point, and a very pertinent one. Annexation of the Congo by Belgium on the Naejer-royal-Manifesto basis I look upon, as T have said, as utterly impossible: and I do not think I shall be contradicted. But is a majority of the Belgian Chamber prepared to annex at all, even on national lines, which are not the King's lines? Even at the price of a conflict with the King—a conflict which would necessarily be of a most determined and implacable character? The liabilities, material and moral, are tremendous. Large sections of the country are economically exhausted. The decrease of the population is appalling. By a careful computation— but which, of course, can only be hypothetical—based upon accessible positive data relating to depopulation, an analysis of the whole evidence which has been accumulating since 1890, the ivory and rubber output, the quantities of staple food-

1 H. Speyer. 5901. "Comment nous govivernons le Congo."
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supplies wrung from the people, the spread of disease etc., I estimate that in the last fifteen years the population of the Congo has been decreasing at a minimum rate of 100,000 per annum, or say 1,500,000 in the past fifteen years. I am convinced that is the very lowest computation compatible with accuracy. Commerce considers it far too low. His opinion is that the last decade has witnessed a decline in the population by nearly three millions. » Of the two opinions his is likely to be the soundest, because he has seen with his own eyes the effects of the Leopoldian system upon communities which he knew in former years to be populous and thriving, and because he is a servant of the Crown with twenty years' African experience. It will take at least two, possibly three generations, for the country to recover from the havoc of the last fifteen years. If the Congo is to be administered not pirated; if the produce of the soil—e., the rubber and gum copal—is to be acquired in future by legitimate purchase instead of by plunder, then there will be an immense and immediate fall in the output, and, concurrently, in the so-called public revenues. The native peoples in the exploited rubber zones are crushed, broken, sick unto death of the very name of rubber. "Rubber is death"—botofi._booli_nya-has become the motto of these races. With the withdrawal from the villages of the armed sentry backed by overwhelming force behind him, with the cessation of hostage-taking and the hundred and one other concomitants of the Leopoldian system an immense sigh of relief would arise from the Equatorial forest, and the natural reaction would set in. Commerce as a substitute for force would revive only by slow, very slow degrees, and for many years to come, even if the huge army were cut down one-half, and strict economy exercised in other ways, Belgium could only hope to run her dependency at a heavy loss. The flow of gold from the Congo would be stopped, and the enterprise* created from it and dependent upon its maintenance would collapse. Then, again, the burden of debts, with which this "free gift" has been thoughtfully loaded by the giver for the greater benefit of the prospective heir, is such that, with the certainty of lean years ahead under

1 Both these estimates turned out to be far below reality— Later Aote. ^'
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decent administration, any Belgian statesman worthy of the name would hesitate once, twice, and yet again
before asking the country to assume it.

Thoughtful Belgians are reckoning all this up. They realise that the temporary "prosperity" of the Congo - that is to say, what passes, for prosperity, the gold in the shape of rubber rolling out of it - depends exclusively upon the system of oppression and tyranny to which the natives are subjected. They know that the public edifices which are being reared in Brussels as an advertisement for the King, out of Congo rubber and Congo loans, and which Belgium does not require, Belgium will have to pay for if she annexes: and pay through the nose for since they have been constructed regardless of economy. They know that with every year that passes the indebtedness of the King's enterprise increases, and with it the prospective liabilities of Belgium. They know that money has been borrowed at 28 per cent, discount, and that Belgium, if she annexes, will be mulcted to that extent with the bankers who have financed the King.

Such, then, is the position in which Belgium finds herself, thanks to the complicity of her Government with Leopold Africanus. The Clerical (or Conservative) party has blindly followed M. de Smet de Haeyster at the price of the abandonment by the King of his former position in favour of the personal military system of which he was once so strong an advocate. It has given him carte blanche so far as the Congo is concerned, and only now is that Party beginning to realise the abyss which yawns beneath its feet and the country's.

On the one hand, annexation appears the only escape from the intolerable moral position which leaves Belgium besmirched by "the acts of others," as M. de Lant-sheere predicted five years ago. But annexation on lines compatible with self-respect and common-sense is barred by the King, and short of a complete capitulation on his part, could be forced through only by a definite rupture between Sovereign and Parliament.

On the other hand, annexation even at the price of a rupture appears, the more closely it is looked at, as a highly questionable proceeding in the light of national interests.

Repubdiation, absolute and entire, would carry with it of necessity the withdrawal of all Belgian officers from the Congo army - following the example of Italy - and the severing of all diplomatic connections with the King's African enterprise; and this, of course, would also spell a definite rupture.

Amidst all this fog of doubt and uncertainty in which the Belgian people find themselves enfolded, a question is beginning to form itself on the lips of men:

The 'fusion of the two crowns' vras acquiesced in by the nation. A nation can revoke the assent secured under false pretences. Can the wearer of the Belgian crown be allowed any longer to continue the holder of the Congo Sovereignty?"1

If King Leopold does not abate his pretensions that question may well be answered by a negative which might have results more far-reaching than the elevation,
before natural causes called for it, of Prince Albert to the throne of Belgium.

The "fusion of the two crowns" was merely a voluntary act on the part of the Chamber. It is in no sense a statute in the Constitution of Belgium. The assent can be revoked. But the King can also revoke his will! He can leave the Congo to the Sultan of Turkey, the Editor of La Vérité sur le Congo, or Sir Alfred Jones if he likes, according to the lines of his Manifesto.

IV

WHAT GREAT BRITAIN CAN DO

Extracts from speeches in the House of Commons and House of Lords:

"It had always been the boast of this country, not only that our own native subjects were governed on principles of justice, but that ever since the days of Wilberforce, England had been the leader in all movements on behalf of the backward races of the earth. Here was an occasion when those responsible for our policy, basing themselves on a treaty publicly and solemnly made, might pursue those great traditions, and by taking the initiative in this matter might add to the annals of the good deeds of this country." — Mr. Herbert Samuel (1905).

"It was obvious that there was a complete enslavement of the whole population. As the suppressors of slavery and the slave-trade we had always led Europe, and had the highest degree of responsibility under the engagement of the Powers at Berlin to watch over the execution of the Berlin Act for the protection of the natives." — Sir Charles Dilke (1903).

"The treaties made between the Congo State and ourselves had undoubtedly been over-ridden, and, therefore, he supposed the Under Secretary for Foreign Affairs would not deny their right to interfere. Then arose the question, was it expedient that they should do so? His answer to that was in the affirmative." — Sir John Gorst (1903).

"He thought we could do something alone." — Mr. Alfred Emmott (1903).

"He altogether denied that they could deal with the Congo State as if it were a State like France, Austria, Germany, or any other Power. The Congo Free State was an artificial creation." — Lord FitzMAURICE (1903).

"In face of the facts which are now officially admitted, he asked the House whether the time was not come when they should sweep away all the difficulties which stood in the way and force the Government to take stronger action than mere words in despatches, to deal with this horrible scandal." — Sir Charles Dilke (1904).

"I was driven to the conclusion, therefore, that the only remedy was for the public opinion of Europe, and particularly of this country, to bring into force the clause of the Convention under which the Free State was founded." — Mr. Austin Taylo (1904).

"The Congo Free State lay absolutely at the mercy of this country or any other country which chose to say it would occupy the capital at Boma in the name of civilisation." — Lord FitzMAURICE (1904).
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"There has never been a policy of which it might be said as truly as of this one that it was the policy not so much of His Majesty's Government as the policy of the House of Commons. —Lord Percy (1904). . . ,
"He did not think any of the great European Powers, with the facts so clearly established as they now were, ought to be content, in view of their own honour in the matter, to sit still and do nothing."— Sir Edward Grey (1904).

"Our own position in Africa must be considered. The infamous treatment of the natives in the Congo Free State must affect the position of the natives throughout Africa. The knowledge of the injustice inflicted upon the natives in the Congo Free State was carried by those subterranean wires which all natives employed from one part of the continent to the other, and was bound to affect the condition of the natives under the British, German, and French flags."— Sir Granville Parker (1906).

"We held a national responsibility. The right of intervention seemed to him to be clear beyond dispute."— Sir Charles Dilke (1906).

"Apart altogether from treaty obligations, every State interested in any portion of the territory of the Congo comprised in the Convention had not only the right, but was under the obligation, from the point of view of self interest, to consider how far the present system of misgovernment carried with it a serious menace to the reputation and even to the security of the European Governments."— Lord Percy (1906).

"But I may add quite irrespective of any right we enjoy under the letter of these Acts, that we have a moral right to interfere, which comes to us in consequence of the false pretences — I cannot use a gentler word — under which the Congo State has acquired its privileged position in that part of Africa."— Lord Lansdowne (1906).

In the last three chapters I have endeavoured to establish that from King Leopold no alteration in the existing state of affairs on the Congo is to be looked for; that to expect "reform" from that quarter would be puerile; that "reform" can only come with a sweeping removal of the cause of the evil by cauterising the evil at its roots. If there was ever a case where the old French adage, II faut frapper a la teee applied; surely this is one.

I have tried to show also how extremely difficult, not to say virtually helpless — short of a complete rupture between King and Parliament — is the position of Belgium, and how foolish it would be to regard Belgian annexation as a certain panacea within the pale of practical politics, or even as a necessarily certain panacea if it were within that pale. Hostility to a Belgian annexation of the Congo on the lines laid down by the Berlin and Brussels Acts, there is none in this country. But
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It is obvious that agreement to a Belgian annexation on the lines laid down by the King is utterly impossible. The neutrality of Belgium is guaranteed by treaty, and not the interests of international peace. The neutrality of a Belgian Colony embracing the great heart of Africa and run like a slave-farm through the medium of an ever-growing native soldiery armed with weapons of precision, could be recognised by no Power with tropical dependencies contiguous to its frontiers. As it is, the policy of laissez faire adopted by the signatory Powers of the Act of the West African Conference of 1885 having possessions in Tropical Africa, in permitting the evolution of an International Association for the promotion of civilisation and commerce, from a "Congo Free State," to a military despotism resting upon slavery and rifles, is incredibly short-sighted. To allow such a condition of things to continue, with the substitution of the Belgian for the Congo flag of King Leopold, would be insensate. Apart from all questions of humanity and legitimate political interest in Africa, acquiescence would imperil Belgian neutrality in Europe. Of that no one who under-
stands this grave question can entertain the slightest doubt.

But, after all, we have not to consider possibilities, but actualities. Discussion, passionate or otherwise, can be renewed and yet again renewed in the Belgian Parliament; the projected law on the colonial possessions of Belgium may give rise to endless debates. Compared with the existing facts, all this is academic. Existing facts they are which confront us; which call out for immediate solution, drastic and thorough. The rubber slave-trade flourishes, unchecked, unimpaired, unaltered by all the talk and ink-spilling of the last four years. It has been exposed in all its horrors. But it is in being, its activity has been stimulated by a sense of precariousness in the future, its area of devastation increases, and with it the number of victims. That is the immediate consideration. All else is subsidiary.

The year 1907 is a great anniversary bringing with it a flood of recollections. The 26th of March, 1907, will be the centenary of the Royal Assent to the Bill passed in both Houses of Parliament abolishing the Over-Sea Slave-Trade.
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From the ashes of an international conference, summoned in the name of Almighty God, has sprung a traffic in African misery more devilish than the old, more destructive, more permanently ruinous in its cumulative effects. A British Government (a Liberal Government) with many misgivings but with the best of intentions, by its active participation in that conference, and by its adhesion to the conclusions thereof, incurred a responsibility which cannot be set aside. To-day a British Government (a Liberal Government) is in power with an enormous majority, strong and respected abroad, and has been given a mandate by a democratic Parliament convinced and unanimous to deal with this new form of the African slave-trade, which the cupidity and the baneful ambitions of one man have reared in the heart of Africa. Behind a unanimous Parliament, stands a united Press. This Government, and its predecessor in office, have both alike addressed numerous protests to the author of the evil, publicly and privately; protests which have not merely been ignored in the sense of effecting improvement, but treated with contempt so marked as to be perilously akin to insult.

The evil continues.

This Government and its predecessor in office have both alike held their hand when they could have struck hard and swift, and in strict conformity with the Treaty rights of Great Britain.

The evil continues.

Two years ago the predecessor of the present Government invited formally the co-operation of the other signatories to the West African Conference of 1885, to join with them in handling this evil; but the invitation was not accepted.

The evil continues.

A few months ago the present Government reiterated informally that invitation.

The evil continues, and the author of the evil, in an insolent Manifesto addressed to his secretaries, and directed at Great Britain, has defied the British Government to carry out the mandate given to it by Parliament, placing himself above the reach of pledges and the law of
nations.

And the great anniversary is upon us.
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We have to put our hands to the plough. We cannot draw back. For the sake of our dignity as a great nation; for the sake of our traditions as the emancipators of the races of Africa; as an African power having legitimate interests to maintain, "we cannot wait for ever."

But have we not waited long enough? Surely the cup is full and overflowing?

Internationally our position has seldom been stronger, nor the home popularity which would attend positive action more assured to our Government from every section of public opinion. So strong, indeed, do we consider ourselves to be that from this country, from its Foreign Minister, has come the first clear proposal for a reduction in the world's armaments; from this country, from its Premier and leader in the Mother of Parliaments, has come a message of sympathy addressed to the youngest of Parliaments under circumstances which make of that message an historic pronouncement in favour of the liberties of men. Are we, then, not strong enough to rescue the races of Central Africa from enslavement and destruction at the hands of the man to whom we entrusted their destinies?

While we wait, they perish, and there is no reason why they should. No interests of a great misguided nation are concerned. No sentiments imbued in generations of thought have to be rooted up and educated out of existence. No cataclysm in world politics hangs in the balance. No onrush of religious fanaticism is to be apprehended. Action to stay the extermination of these African peoples is attended by none of the perils bound up with the conflicting international claims and racial animosities which make a satisfactory settlement of the Eastern question so difficult.

There is action we ourselves can take in virtue of Treaty rights, which in itself would almost of necessity give rise automatically to a renewed international conference. What is that action? Let us turn to the declarations exchanged and the convention passed with the representative of King Leopold in 1884. We recognised the flag of the International Association on specific grounds. What were they? That the Association had come into existence "for the purpose of promoting the civilisation and commerce of Africa, and for other humane

1 Sir E. Grey, July, 1906.
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and benevolent purposes." Twenty-two years later we find that King Leopold's enterprise consists, not in "promoting civilisation and commerce," but as admirably defined by Lord Percy in the House of Commons — "in the accumulation of rubber at an infinite cost of human life and suffering," for "mercenary motives," to quote Lord Lansdowne. We have been grossly deceived, therefore, and in that deception practised upon us resides a prima facie case for declining any longer to regard King Leopold's African flag as the emblem of a civilised administration. An administration whose object is to accumulate rubber at an infinite cost to human life and suffering, as deliberately stated in the British House of Commons by the Under Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, has no call upon our recognition in any case; still less so when such an object is totally at variance with solemn pledges made to us in the past. The
steamers, which King Leopold employs under his African flag can be declined admittance to British territorial waters. At present they enter our ports, and at the most the Treasury would lose £1,000 per annum in the light dues, and other port charges they pay. The nominees King Leopold has appointed to represent exclusively his African interests in this country can be informed that we no longer recognise them; in other words, the equator can be withdrawn from the three or four Congo Free State Consuls who carry on their royal master's behests in England and in Scotland, and whose offices were until recently — when public attention was drawn to the fact — distributing centres for the scurrilous publications of the Press Bureau. It is a scandal that one of these consuls should be an Englishman and the President of a leading British Chamber of Commerce. Other measures are open to us. Those I have denoted are the mildest, and absolutely no impediment stands in the way of their realisation. I pass to the Convention.

What are our rights under that Convention? They are (1) that "until sufficient provision shall have been made..."

If King Leopold attempted to retaliate we have merely to state that the Congo is an international highway not a private demesne; and that there are British subjects on the Congo, making the presence of British Consuls imperative for their protection. There are no Congolese subjects in Great Britain. If King Leopold forced the issue, well...

168 EED KUBBEK

for the administration of justice" on the Congo, "the sole and exclusive jurisdiction both civil and criminal over the persons and property of British subjects" shall be vested in British Consular Officers "in accordance with British law." (Article V.) This is not singular jurisdiction; or the exercise of rights of extra-territoriality. They are (2) that British subjects are entitled at all times to "sojourn" and "establish themselves"; are entitled to enjoy the rights of "buying," "selling," "letting and hiring lands, buildings, mines and forests," "founding houses of commerce," "carrying on commerce under the British flag," ; they are entitled to "protection," in "their persons," "property," "free exercise of religion," "navigation, commerce, and industry." (Article II.)

Very good. These pledges have not been kept. The need for British consular jurisdiction for the protection of our own subjects in King Leopold's estate is nowhere, and by no one disputed in this country. The present Government does not dispute it. The past Government did not dispute it. Speaking in office. Lord Percy said on June 9, 1904, "the only practicable suggestion which, I think, has been made this afternoon, is that this country should revive its claim to the exercise of extra-territorial jurisdiction in the Congo State." Sir Edward Grey speaking in opposition on the same occasion, concurred: "He thought we might put the establishment of consuls (with consular jurisdiction) on the ground that if other Powers would not co-operate with us in this matter, in what we considered the general interests of humanity and civilisation, which were as much theirs as ours, we must at any rate see to the protection of our own subjects." Speaking in July last, Sir Edward Grey said: "The time must come when we shall have to consider whether... these rights should not be exercised." On the same occasion Lord Lansdowne said: "All I can say is that I hope, if these abuses continue, we shall claim our right to appoint consuls (with consular jurisdiction) in the Congo." At the very least one hundred public meetings — including two town's meetings, in Liverpool and Sheffield — held throughout England and Scotland in the last two years, have passed resolutions urging this step upon the Government. The marvel is that it was not...
taken years and years ago. British coloured subjects ill-treated, flogged and shot; an Englishman hung out
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of hand; a British Consul so busy for two years inquiring into grave abuses perpetrated towards British coloured subjects in the very neighbourhood of the capital of the Congo itself, as to be unable to stir from the spot— and all this while a weapon lies rusting in our grasp! And now King Leopold's anger is turned upon the British missionaries; his so-called "administration of justice" is utilized to entrap and browbeat them; his object, to terrify them into silence— furious that they convinced his own Commission— they whom his Press Bureau and his Brussels staff have reviled with every opprobrious epithet— which placed on record that the natives had come to regard them as "the sole representatives of justice and equity" in the country; and still we hesitate. To leave these brave men— they are not all brave, perhaps, but many of them are, and to those who have spoken out humanity owes a debt of gratitude— to leave them at the mercy of Congo "justice" is to acquiesce in their dragooning, is to show them that whatever the British public may think of their devotion to the cause of right, a British Government which to-morrow can ensure for them absolute security from molestation of any kind looks askance upon their intolerable situation. Our duty towards these men is clear, and our duty towards the 2,000 coloured subjects of the Crown on the Congo is equally emphatic. They are entitled to 2,000 coloured subjects of the Crown on the Congo is entitled to Clives romanum sunt. The case for consular jurisdiction for our own subjects is, on the face of it, overwhelming. But consular jurisdiction has several other sides to it. Cases have occurred of British coloured subjects being employed as subordinate agents in charge of out-stations in the bush (rubber stations) and having committed, or allowed to be committed, in that capacity the brutalities which are inseparable from such work, and having been sentenced by the Congo Courts, whose severity towards them virtually helpless victims of the system is proportionate to the criminal laxity shown towards the real guilty parties, the European representatives of the King and his Trusts, whose instructions the former must needs observe. There is a tendency on the part of the Foreign Office to adopt a high, moral, wash-our-hands sort of attitude in regard to British coloured subjects thus involved. Several questions which I have caused to be put in the House relating to specific cases which came under my notice have been greeted as though there were—
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something shocking and irregular in the slightest exhibition of concern in the fate of such unfortunate. It is an attitude with which I am wholly unable to agree. So long as the Congo judicial system is what it is (vide Section III.) so long will there be no thorough investigation into outrages perpetrated by or through the orders of persons directly or indirectly connected with the Executive, and so long will the Congo Courts fail to deal competently with cases of British coloured subjects who from time to time may find themselves mixed up in such outrages. Take, for example, the case of Silvanus Jones, a native of Lagos and a subordinate of the man Caudron (vide Section III.). If that case had been brought before a British court it would have yielded clear evidence of the moral complicity of the supreme Executive. That evidence is, indeed, afforded by the verdict of the court itself, but is purposely obscured by the refusal of the court to pronounce an opinion on the admissions showing Executive toleration for the deeds which the accused—acting under the direct orders of his immediate chief, Caudron, who himself acted in co-operation with the local sub-chief of police— is alleged to have committed. The
vital point is this, that no British Consular Court would have pronounced judgment upon Silvanus Jones without sifting to the bottom the responsibility for the acts sanctioned by him under the instructions of his European employer. Now one of the most essential needs of the situation is the securing of positive documentary evidence in this regard, and British Consular jurisdiction would be an invaluable and unique means to this end in cases like that of Silvanus Jones. Consider, too, the personal aspect. A. The British Consul in the Congo reports that Jones "had no opportunity of engaging counsel" although he had enough money to do so if the option had been allowed him. B. He was sentenced to ten years' imprisonment for responsibility in the murder of one woman, killed in the course of a raid upon a native village for shortage in rubber. C. Caudron, under whose orders he acted, was proved to have conducted raids which led to the positive murder of 200 people, of personally shooting a woman in the breast, of ordering a native chief to be shot in prison, etc., and only received five years' imprisonment.

The case of Cyrus Smith, of Freetown, is another in point. He had taken hostages — which, the reader will remember, the Governor-General himself has authorised and, indeed, recommended upon numerous occasions — for shortage in rubber, and the hostages, most of them women, and children had died of hunger. His defence was a simple one. He had nothing to give them to eat! Atrocious as this bald statement sounds, let the reader turn to the admissions made by the Commission of Inquiry (Section III. and IV.) in connection with the food taxes, and bear in mind that such things as women dying in prison of starvation are all part and parcel of, inevitable incidents in, a vast system of criminal oppression to obtain revenues by armed force for private ends. The Court which tried him, and sentenced him, found that he too was acting with the toleration of the authorities in taking hostages. I repeat, then, that far from putting on a mantle of superior righteousness over these cases, it is the bounden duty of the British Government to provide the machinery requisite for plumbing them to their deepest depths.

So far we have considered Consular jurisdiction mainly in the light of the legitimate interests of British subjects, and to this I shall refer again in dealing with the missionary question. But as a means of coping with the paramount evil, the ill-treatment of the aborigines by the British Consular Courts can be doubted only by those who have not examined the subject. "I do not care in the least," said Lord Lansdowne last July, "whether there are British subjects to look after or not, but what I do feel is that the presence of half a dozen Englishmen will be worth more than a whole row of inspectors or officials belonging to the Administration of the Congo Free State." Precisely, but the contention can be amplified. A British Court of Justice once set up on the Congo with sole jurisdiction over British subjects, civil and criminal, would ham. per at every turn the working of the system of injustice perpetrated towards the people of the land. The aborigines would be profoundly impressed by the knowledge, of which they would receive ocular demonstration, that the humblest Kru-boy, the veriest steamer hand or carpenter "boy" of slave-blood was certain of absolute justice in the teeth of the highest in the land, provided he were a British subject. They would compare the position of such men with their own miserable existence, a position incomparably more secure than that of the oldest and most venerable indigenous
chief. They would reahse what white justice at its best really was, and with that revelation would arise in their crushed spirits the glimmering of a new hope. The moral effect would react in a hundred ways against the present regime. The independence in wrong-doing, the right to work inquitly and fear no question would be struck at in its very roots, in the face of a tribunal whose sole duty it would be to find the truth and proclaim it. It is impos- sible to suppose the present machinery of the Congo Courts sitting side by side with a British Court without producing results from which, inter alia, the natives of the country would derive incalculable benefit. So much for the moral and material aspect of Consular jurisdic- tion on the Congo.

The moral effect in Europe of the establishment of British Consular Jurisdiction on the Congo would be immense. It would show the world that the British people were determined to mark, by a step whose signifi- cance could not be mistaken, their abhorrence of King Leopold's methods, and their firm intention of passing from words to deeds. Our right so to act is unquestion- able, and if any of the signatory Powers of the West- African Conference were disposed to see in such a step a disquieting indication of exclusive British inter- ference in the affairs of the Congo, well, the remedy would lie with them. They could assent to our demand* made in the general interests of humanity, for an Inter- national Conference. Moreover, they could copy our policy, for several of them, stipulated in 1884 for the same rights as ourselves.

Sir Edward Grey himself met that possible, but unlikely, difficulty in his usual straightforward manner two years ago. If the "susceptibilities" of other Powers should be aroused by such action, he said, "then by all means let them appoint consuls of their own," meaning, of course, as is clear from the text, consuls with consular jurisdiction. And for the rest we have, I re- sulted the "susceptibilities" of other Powers too much in refraining from taking action which has been open to us, not as co-signatories of the Act of the West African Conference, but under our own treaty rights with King Leopold, rights which can be contested by no Power or Powers. The sooner we show other Powers that we are in earnest, the sooner will talk give way to something more practical. To obtain collective action — at which we aim — an individual lead is a paramount necessity.
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What of our rights under Article II. of the Convention? Commercial rights entailing the freedom of purchase and of sale, of buying and of leasing land, of every other thing needful to the prosecution of legitimate trade? I refer to the general commercial position later on, contenting myself at this stage with the remark that any trade of any kind, by any one, was swept from the vast Upper Congo in 1891, so have our rights under that Article been cynically infringed. What of the rights of British missions and missionaries under Article II. ? How are they inter- preted by King Leopold? I do not know the exact figures, but I think I shall be well within the mark in stating that the British missionary societies have spent some £300,000 on the Congo. From the purely secular aspect this is a national interest which cannot be lightly ignored by a British Government. The money thus expended has come out of the pockets of the British philanthropic public. It is entitled to consideration. Of late King Leopold has quietly opposed the development .and extension of all religious propaganda on the Congo — Roman Catholic and Protestant. It is true that he recently conferred special facilities upon an English Roman Catholic organisation, granting it sites in close proximity with a Protestant mission; but this is an excep-
tion, and was due to the expectation and the hope of affording an opportunity for sectarian controversy, and to reap all the capital from that which was possible; to draw, as he has tried to do for long enough, the red herring of religious squabbles across the bloody trail of native persecution. The spokesmen of the Belgian Roman Catholic Missions in Belgium, however, are no more emphatic in their protests at the obstacles thrown in the way of Christian propaganda on the Congo than

** "When it is remembered," wrote Dr. Guinness to Lord Lansdowne, on the 29th of November, 1905, in a letter recounting the grievances of his mission at the hands of the regenerator of Africa, "that the Juapa River, with its thirty-two tributaries, is comparable in importance to the Danube in Europe, it will be understood how serious, from a missionary standpoint, was the expulsion of the agents of the Congo Balolo Mission from the site of Bonyeka which they had selected. During the correspondence a map was kindly sent us with one site indicated where we were graciously permitted to settle, but this site corresponded accurately with an uninhabitable, low-lying, fever-stricken swamp."
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are the Prot- estant missionaries." Towards the latter King Leopold's policy is one of open hostility. Round some of the mission stations he is making a waste. He refuses to sell new sites for extension of work. "We emphatically protest against the repeated refusal to sell sites for mission stations to our societies," says a protest and appeal signed by fifty-two evangelical brethren of all nationalities – representing the totality of their brethren, perhaps some hundred and fifty in all – assembled in conference at Stanley Pool on January 16th of this year. He offers impossible sites for leases on impossible terms. In 1898 the Congo Balolo Mission desired to open up on the Juapa river. Permission was granted; difficulties followed. The game of Jekyll and Hyde is time-honoured on the Congo. Finally the party of missionaries were turned off "by force." They were not permitted a glimpse into the interior of the Domaine de la Couronne through which the Juapa flows! They were kept off the region where bloom the lilies of eternal peace by a hedge of rifles and cap-guns.

Three years ago Morrison, the sturdy and eloquent missionary from Virginia, a man whom to know is to trust, after vainly appealing to King Leopold to redress the wrongs inflicted upon the wretched natives round Luebo, came home and denounced the crimes there perpetrated to the world; then he went to Brussels and bearded King Leopold's principal secretary in his den — I beg pardon, his thickly-carpeted, heavy-curtained office, where reigns an air of mystery, where converse is the art of fence, where the visitor's chair is so placed that the light may fall upon its occupant's features while the inscrutable gentleman with the pince-nez, tall, slim, ires correcte, and excessively subtle, reclines in discreet shadow. There, amongst other complaints, he protested against the withholding of mission sites. Here was an opportunity! A few days later all the subsidised organs rang with the news that Morrison had been pursuing "material interests," and, failing to secure them, had launched his accusations. He was an "infamous calumniator" like the rest.

When H. B. M. consul, Mr. Roger Casement, visited in the summer of 1903 the station of the Congo Balolo Mission at Bongandanga, in the A.B.I.R. territory, he

1 Vide Belgian Parliamentary Debates, February-March, 1906.
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found that the missionaries were being made unwilling...
and helpless accomplices in the illegal system — since condemned by the Commission of Inquiry, and persisted in — of forced levies of food-stuffs upon the natives by the officials of that concern. All free dealing in articles of food between missionaries and natives had been prohibited. You see, the missionaries were then writing home, denouncing the atrocities perpetrated in their neighbourhood, and this was the first form which their punishment took. Not even an egg could the missionaries buy from the natives; all their supplies they were compelled to purchase through the A.B.I.R., which procured them by the usual methods sacred to Congo custom! Consul Casement protested energetically to the Governor-General: "I have a right to request, and one that I would urge with most respectful insistence, that my fellow-countrymen residing in any part of the Congo State should not be forced, in order to have food for themselves and households, to share in measures which are repugnant to the most vulgar sentiments of civilized society." Voluble assurances were given — and broken; and for eighteen months afterwards, until the repeated representations of the Congo Reform Association to the Foreign Office put a stop to the practice, the British missionaries were persecuted in all sorts of ways. Their food supplies were stopped altogether; the natives were forbidden to sell them anything on pain of punishment; natives were shot and imprisoned for contravening these instructions; their fowls were stolen; they were forbidden to cut wood without paying exorbitant fees; they were insulted and even threatened by the A.B.I.R. officials, one of whom became so violent that the British Consul (a thousand miles away) insisted upon an armed guard being placed on their premises under an Italian officer. As recently as the beginning of this year a party of British missionaries itinerating in the Upper Lomako region received written communications couched in the most insolent terms (and in very bad French) from the local representative of the A.B.I.R., ordering them to clear out. Here are passages from these letters: "The Company (i.e., the A.B.I.R.) being Domaine Prive, you have no right to sojourn therein. . . . Your voyage to the Upper River cannot take place overland without the 1 September 11, 1903
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authorisation of the Director of the A.B.I.R. Company at Basankusu." The latest form of persecution to which British missionaries are subject consists* in that of prosecution for criminal libel for reporting evidence tendered before the Commission of Inquiry. The evidence, as I have explained further back, has been suppressed, because King Leopold is frightened that capital might be made out of it by the author of this volume P In reality, because he knows that that evidence, if produced, would stagger European public opinion. Mr. Stannard is the first victim of this ingenuity, and at the very time these farcical legal proceedings were in process, his nominal prosecutor, the chief of police of the district, was making use of the Press Bureau in Brussels to propagate throughout the world charges of incitement to rebellion, incendiaryism and murder against the defendant and another missionary, Mr. Whiteside! Moreover, a new law has been passed whereby any missionary who reports to a Government official, or to a judicial official, outrages committed or allowed to be committed by a white man is liable to be tried for defamation and sentenced to five years' imprisonment! As I write these lines, letters from the Congo inform me that native evangelists attached to British mission stations have been turned off the Bosombo Eiver and forbidden to enter the region, where probably some devilry more pronounced than usual is taking place.

This sort of thing cannot be allowed to go on. The British Government is morally bound to insist that from
this day forward the British Missionary Societies shall, in accordance with the terms of the Convention, extend their civilising labours where they like — aye, even in the heart of that inalienable holy of holies, the Domaine de la Couronne — erect new stations at suitable sites (not pestiferous swamps), have perfect freedom of dealing with the natives, and generally benefit by the rights secured to the subjects of this country. British consular officers should be increased, and the slightest infraction of British rights should be at once reported, when the remedy is in our hands. I prefer, whenever possible, to quote the utterances of British statesmen when referring to what Britain can do under certain contingencies, because a man

1 On Sir E. Grey's demand, an " inquiry " had been opened.

2 See p. 137.
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who has led a campaign of denunciation and exposure is always liable to be called extreme. On this occasion I venture to quote Lord Fitzmaurice who, speaking in the House of Commons in 1904, said: "We should venture to remind the Congo Free State how easy it would be . . . for Europe, or, indeed, for any State that chose, to practically put an end to its existence by sending 3 few ships to the mouth of the Congo. . . . The Congo Free State lay absolutely at the mercy of this country, or any country, which chose to say that it would occupy the capital of Boma in the name of civilisation.

It would not be necessary to occupy Boma I a single man-of-war stationed at the mouth of the Lower Congo with orders to prohibit the entry or departure of steamers, or craft of any kind, would be quite sufficient to bring King Leopold to his knees if not to his senses - The blood-stained rubber in the out-going vessels would be late for the Antwerp market, and the shares of the Trusts would collapse, and in six weeks King Leopold's officials at Boma would be howling for the supplies contained in the steamers due. Imagine the " superior " Congo official at the capital deprived of his tinned delicacies - even though of Chicago manufacture - sw*et champagne, and vermouth! It is too horrible to contemplate.

Lord Fitzmaurice is perfectly accurate. King Leopold's | African enterprise lies at the mercy of this country. He \ has broken every promise he made us under the Declarations and the Convention; instruments which concern us and us alone. To sum up:

We recognised a benevolent and civilising enterprise, not a piratical undertaking; and it is open to us to refuse steamers flying a piratical flag access to our waters, and to give the King's mischief-making consuls in this country their congé.

We were given pledges that our subjects on the Congo would be assured of justice and protection. They receive neither, and we are entitled to establish consular jurisdiction.

We were given pledges that our merchants should be unhindered in the conduct of their business. The only elements in the country in which they could conduct commercial transactions have been appropriated by the King. Our missionaries were guaranteed unfettered liberty in the pursuit of their noble aims. They are
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interfered with, and now they are being persecuted for
telling the truth. On both these grounds we are justified in taking drastic and immediate measures for the preservation of our incontestable rights. Heaven knows we have waited long and patiently, and put up with flouts and jeers which we should have brooked from no first or even third-rate Power.

And what is our right has become our manifest duty in the general cause of civilisation and humanity.

Having passed in review the various forms which British action can take under our Treaty rights with King Leopold, let us examine successively the position Britain enjoys as a co-signatory with other Powers in the Act of the West African Conference of 1885; her situation in the world to-day; the instrument which that Act provides in the event of the infringement of its clauses, and the considerations of weight which urge her to assume an energetic initiative.

I may be permitted, perhaps, at the outset to state with deliberate conviction which others can take at their own valuation, but which has not been arrived at without consulting Continental acquaintances whom I believe to be well informed, that a decisive step by this country under her own Treaty rights, on one or several of the lines indicated above, would in itself precipitate that renewed international conference which Lord Lansdowne formally invited in 1903. I have been repeatedly assured by men whose statements cannot be dismissed that the ill-success of the British note of 1903 was due—apart from the circumstances that our prestige was not, perhaps, particularly high just then—to the belief, rightly or wrongly, entertained by Continental statesmen that the British Government was not in earnest in the matter, that it had obeyed a sudden and forcible mandate from an uninstructed House of Commons, and that its demonstration was of the platonic order. Students of the Congo question will remember that the attitude of the British Government subsequent to the issue of the Note was not precisely calculated to remove that impression. They will also recollect that the issue of the Note and the later communication of Consul Casement's report to the co-signatory Powers, led to a pilgrimage on the part of King
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Leopold to the European Courts and to the Elysee; to a systematic and extraordinarily active campaign in three languages on the part of the Press Bureau, representing the agitation in England as confined to a small group of bitter and interested persons, the House of Commons as victimised by false information, and Consul Casement as an unreliable half-fanatic, half-adventurer; and to the despatch of sundry "impartial investigators" to the Congo who traversed the consul's charges, described the missionaries as perjured liars, the affairs on the Congo as one of Elysian bliss, and the system erected by King Leopold a species of African St. Simonism, et ainsi de suite. That these concerted efforts, coupled with the impression mentioned, did at any rate affect Continental opinion is unquestionable.

The position which Britain holds as one of the signatories of the Act of the West African Conference is one of quite exceptional moral strength as compared with that of most of the other signatories, both as regards the historical part she played before and during the elaboration of the clauses of the Act, and in respect to her standing as a tropical African Power, and a great commercial Power, with thousands of miles of frontier running parallel to the "Congo Free State" in various directions. To a greater extent than is the case with any one of the other signatory Pov/ers is it possible to affirm, that but for British acquiescence there would have been no
Congo Eree State.“ The position of France, Germany, and Portugal alone, of the other signatory Powers, approaches in importance that of Great Britain. France, because of her long contiguous frontier, the fact that she holds a considerable proportion of the converted Congo stock (loan of 1888), and by the right of preference or pre-emption which King Leopold—without the consent, or recognition then, or since, of the other Powers—made over to her in the event of Belgium definitely declining to annex, and the " Congo Eree State " coming, as it were, on the international market. Portugal, because of her contiguous frontier— which does not greatly affect the question, her frontiers being unoccupied, as is the case with France to a large extent. Germany, because of contiguous frontiers, the fact that she is a great commercial nation, and the circumstance that it was in reply to a German invitation and in the German capital that the West African Conference was held.

180 RED RUBBER

Few will dispute that the prestige of Great Britain in the councils of the world has seldom stood higher than it does at this moment. The ally of the Risen Sun in the Far East; on terms of close political entente with France; of sound friendship with Italy; of historic friendship with Portugal; of friendship cemented by royal family ties with Spain, Denmark, and Norway—all three Powers (the latter with Sweden, of course) signatories to the Act; of a cordial relationship with the United States, although they did not ratify the Act, sent representatives to it who played an active part in the discussion of the Protocols, did ratify the Brussels Act, and were the first to recognise the flag of the International Association—these connections give an aggregate of moral force to Britain which, if she but chooses to put her shoulder to the wheel and use the resources of her diplomacy to the utmost, ought to prove irresistible. The only cloud on the horizon is—or is supposed to be—Germany. But not only has "the first diplomatist in Europe" taken in hand the improvement of our relations with that Power, but there are indications in several quarters of a rapprochement which, 7m17lus a few fire-eaters, is desired by both peoples. Apart from this, the protests of the German Chambers of Commerce, of the German Colonial Society with its thirty thousand membership, and of other public bodies in Germany against King Leopold's African pre-tensions are on record, and if those protests have not been renewed of late, it is not because the situation which caused them to be uttered has become modified, but because the general political relationship between Germany and Great Britain has led to the suppression of all manifestations calculated to support British policy in any part of the habitable globe. But the policy of Germany in Tropical Africa is chiefly commercial; she seeks, as we do, outlets for her commerce, and in that respect her true interests and ours in those regions are identical now, as they were in 1885.

It is only reasonable to assume, therefore, that by earnest representations to the co-signatory Powers—in hands so sound as those which hold the thread of our foreign affairs to-day—accompanied by a friendly notification of our intention to enforce strictly and without further delay our own Treaty rights, the British Government can bring about a conference of the Powers if it will.
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It is, perhaps, less a matter of what we can do, than it is whether we should do it. The cons appear to be, first, that England may herself have been guilty of infringing the letter of the Act of the West African Conference; secondly, that as a nation our hands in Africa are not
clean; thirdly, that King Leopold, if we drive him too hard, may succeed in embroiling us with some other Power. With the last con is mixed up a great deal of excessively vague talk about "pan Germanism," secret treaties, Belgian independence, fortification of Antwerp, and what not. It seems to me that the third and last objection is unworthy of consideration by a great nation, in the face of a clear duty which brooks no denial; and discussion of its various phases would lead us into an essay on contemporary European politics far removed from the objects of the present volume. It is sufficient to remark that King Leopold plays a splendid game of bluff, and that if he can bluff our statesmen into believing that he holds all sorts of terrible cards up his sleeve which he can remove therefrom and brandish over their heads like a sword of Damocles, paralysing their action at pleasure, he will certainly do so. But it is ranking our statesmen rather low, and the idea will be greeted with the most pronounced scepticism and incredulity by Englishmen of all parties. That King Leopold is a dangerous man and a malignant enemy we know; that he is utterly unscrupulous and immoral alike in his public as in his private life we know also; but in Europe he is the constitutional monarch of a small neutral State, not an autocrat ruling by force and violence. As such his capacity for intrigue and evil has definite limitations, and in the interests of his dynasty he must needs keep them within bounds.

So far as the first objection is concerned, two very pertinent facts can be pointed out. The first is that the few concessions given in British East Africa—which, it is hardly necessary to say, are totally at variance with King Leopold's system—were given while Lord Lansdowne was in the Government, and that Lord Lansdowne is the author of the Note to the signatory Powers suggesting a further conference. This does not look as though we had done anything very dreadful which need hamper our action on the Congo. The second fact is that Lord Percy, speaking in the House two years ago, declared that Great Britain was "perfectly willing" to submit the terms of
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those concessions to an international tribunal, with a view to ascertaining if they could in any way be regarded as infringing the Act of 1885.

The second objection has always struck me as lamentably weak. In the first place, the main purpose of the West African Conference of 1885 was to deal with the territories of the Congo Basin, and a regular conference arising out of the violation of the clauses of the Act would obviously be confined to the same programme. Aside from this the argument would, as Mr. Bennett stated with such admirable force in the House last July, "If pressed to its conclusion stultify all human effort towards improvement." To contend, moreover, that any political errors or individual abuses which have occurred in Tropical African dependencies are in any sense of the word comparable with the system of pillage and destruction deliberately wrought on the Congo by King Leopold and his associates in the interest of private profit, is to exhibit a lack of proportion and absence of mental balance beyond the boundaries of reasonable discussion. The hands of no colonising Power are clean in the sense that no colonising Power has been free from political error, or political injustice, and that no colonising Power has been free from the presence of incompetent and vicious servants among its personnel in colonies or dependencies. Shameful things have been done in British, French, and German Africa—especially in time of war—and when those shameful things have come to light, public opinion has denounced them and insisted upon redress. But here is no question of the occasional back-slidings which have marked, and ever will mark—especially when passions are aroused locally—the relationship of the for-
ward and backward races of mankind. Here is a policy
cynically and ruthlessly elaborated and pursued by one
man for his own personal ends, and for the enrichment
of a handful of individuals at the cost of untold human
suffering. Here is one man living in luxury in Europe,
claiming before the world his right to regard the greater
portion of Central Africa as his private property, the
people inhabiting it as his serfs, the riches it contains as
his own, and his power to utilise the labour of the people
of the land for the acquisition of those riches, absolute
and unquestioned! Here is raid, massacre, mutilation,
torture, incendiarism, and destruction visited upon a
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people, not in a state of war at all; but merely as inci-
dental features in the raising of " taxation "!

Since Pharaoh enslaved the Israelitish nation to
minister to his ambitions, there has been no parallel to
this! To acquiesce in such a monstrous emendation
from a disordered brain, because the millennium has not
yet dawned, would be tantamount to committing moral
suicide. No; that second objection has only to be
dissected in the light of common sense to be rejected.
It is worthily inspired, but, if adopted, would lead
Christianity to the abyss of self-destruction.

So much for the cons. What of the pros? Above and
beyond them all is the great cry for Justice and Mercy
which arises from the Congo forests. Can we be deaf to
it, we who are of the race of Clarkson and of Wilberforce?
Can we forget our glorious part in the emancipation of
the negro race? Can we forget that our forefathers in
the teeth of Parliamentary opposition, class prejudice,
mob violence, fought a system hoary with age, and sanc-
tified by custom, and carried that fight to a glorious vic-
tory? Can we fail to see the finger of God pointing out to
us the path we are called upon to tread in the extra-
ordinary coincidence that the real awakening of the
British conscience to the great Congo tragedy synchro-

nises with the Centenary of the most noble act which our
historical annals record? Let us reject with indignant
scorn the croaking of the pessimists who tell us that our
people have deteriorated, and that they have deserted the
ideals of their forebears! Let us prove to them that
they are wrong! Let us prove to them that the heart of
the nation still beats soundly as of yore, by the perform-
ance of our plain and simple duty, by saving the races of
Central Africa from the grip of the modern slavers!

Morally we would seek in vain to escape from our
responsibilities. Materially Britain has legitimate con-
siderations to invoke which impel her to action in the
interests of her own people and in the interests of the
communities which in Africa recognize her flag and enjoy
her protection. In invoking them she deals a crushing
and irrecoverable blow to the basis of legal shams on
which the rubber slave-trade seeks to rest, it once to justify its
existence, and hide its veritable character. We know
that the subtlety of jurists is seldom at a loss. It can be
utilised, and has been utilised again and again, in the
defence of the indefensible. But before great principles
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interpreted by the instruments of fairness and plain,
straightforward argument, it breaks down. The subtlety
of the jurist has been called upon to justify the pillage of
the Congo Basin and the enslavement of its inhabitants.
On the untenable premise (vide Section IV.) that King
Leopold's African enterprise constitutes a " State/"
jurists have evolved the theory that he is entitled to lay
claim to the land, and the produce of the land — i.e., its
realisable wealth — which can be gathered only by the
inhabitants of the land, and to delegate in part his ownership of that land and its produce to those upon whom it may please him to confer such powers — to you, or I, or any one. That, be it noted, is the legal or judicial defence of pillage and enslavement in Tropical Africa. Its audacity is truly prodigious. It can be met in argument by the simple enunciation of a principle which is, and ever must be, the cardinal feature of all legitimate European enterprise in the African tropics.

In those whose labour is alone available for the cultivation of the land and for the harvesting of its natural wealth, in those is ownership of the land and its products vested; and with those — the people of the land — must the white man negotiate on terms of honest commercial dealing if he would acquire those products of which modern industrialism has need.

From this fundamental principle which regulates and directs relationship between the white man and the black in the African tropics (where the white man cannot cultivate the soil or harvest natural wealth), save in the Congo Basin since King Leopold's decrees came into force, there can be no derogation. To retreat a single inch in this regard is to leave the door wide open to the buccaneer, the pirate, and the slaver; is to open the African tropics to the rapacity of unscrupulous speculators; is to decree the enslavement of the African races by men who sit at home and pocket the dividends, leaving their foul work to be carried out by others.

This principle is termed Trade.

Trade means barter, or exchange. Between individuals, as between communities, as between nations, it presupposes, and in practice necessitates, the possession on either side of commodities to sell with which to purchase. In the African tropics the commodities possessed by the inhabitants are the raw products of their plains and their forests, products which they alone can cultivate or gather. When transactions are localised in far inland regions — such as the Congo — involving any expense on the part of the European purchaser of raw produce in conveying it to the nearest port of shipment, the only commodities which the African can offer in exchange for the white man's goods are rubber, ivory, and a few valuable resins, such as gum copal and gum Arabic. These are the only articles the white man can purchase and make a profit on, for they are the only ones which will bear the cost of transport to Europe. Be it understood, therefore, that these commodities constitute the wealth actual and potential of the African, apart from and outside of his local wealth, which is represented by the extent of his household, domestic slaves (or more accurately, domestic servants), food plantations, fishing grounds, cattle (if the country is cattle rearing), and rude industries. Unlike the European in the actual stage of our evolution, the inhabitant of Tropical Africa is not, in his normal state, called upon to labour more than is necessary to supply himself and his family with food, shelter, weapons of war and the chase, baskets and nets for fishing, receptacles for culinary and domestic uses, and so forth. Moreover, living as he does under a communistic society where the chief is at once the head and the "father" of the clan, his wants if he falls sick, or when he gets infirm and unable to labour, are supplied by the clan. There are no workhouses in the African tropics, and save under the stress of drought, or famine due to failure in crops or other cause, there is no poverty. The labour, then, which the African may give to other purposes than those of sustaining life in conditions as comfortable as his needs and ambitions dictate, is not necessary to life.
Yet, throughout the African tropics wherever and whenever the white man has come with commodities to sell which the native has not possessed, and has become attracted by, the latter has voluntarily grafted upon himself of extra labour in order to gather and reap commodities produced by his land which the white man required in exchange for what he brought. If this natural keenness of the African in the "great Black Belt" to trade lies at once the key-note to Afro-European relationship in that Belt, and the explanation of the white man's presence therein. The Phoenicians were the first to recognise the commercial instincts of the West African native, and successive relays of white-skinned peoples-
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have followed their lead. The West Central African trade has grown to great proportions, and with the advent of the iron horse is increasing every year. Its future is incalculable, and in time West Central African production will appreciably affect all the markets of the Western world. The "laziness and indifferenence" of the native to which King Leopold, who has robbed him of countless millions, testified publicly in Brussels last year, are exemplified by the millions of pounds sterling worth of palm oil, palm kernels, mahogany, rubber, guns, wax, plissava, ground-nuts (and now raw cotton can be added to the list) which every year is brought to Europe in ocean steamers, products of the voluntary labour of the African in his own land, owner of the soil, owner of its fruits, "cultivator in his own right, proprietor of his own mighty muscles! The value of all this produce does return to Africa ("for which it proves a source of prosperity" — vide Section I.) in European and American merchandise, and so the African gives employment to tens of thousands of European workmen and artisans, and provides thousands of European families with the wherewithal to obtain these necessities of life, in the shape of wages arising from the labour of the African thousands of miles away. When the Labour Parties of England and the Continent have realised that between the labourer at home and the labourer in Africa there is practical community of interest as co-partners in the world's production, constructive assistance in the problems connected with the administration of Tropical African dependencies may be expected from them.

Now, on the Congo, since the Decrees of 1891, an altogether different conception prevails. There, as I have said, everything is abnormal. Sitting in his royal palace five thousand miles removed from his black subjects whom he has never seen, King Leopold has with a single stroke of the pen robbed the native of his entire wealth, actual and prospective, and calmly appropriated it himself. So colossal a theft has never been imagined by mortal man. The rubber which grows in the forest does not belong to the native. It belongs to King Leopold! And so with "dead" and "live" ivory, and so with the valuable resins, and so with everything! And observe how this works out, and must work out, in practice. I may write down, "The contents of the Bank of England belong to E. D. Morel." That would be foolishness, would it not? Equally foolish would it be for King
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Leopold to write down, "The wealth of Central Africa belongs to me" — if he stopped there. But the regenerator of the negro race is anything but foolish, and he did not stop there. Having appropriated on paper, he proceeded to acquire in deed. So he claimed the
labour of the people to bring him their wealth which he has pirated. There is no need to purchase what belongs to you, by virtue of your royal will. So the abnormal replaces the normal. Armed force replaces trade. The revolution is accomplished, and the enslavement of the people, so long as that armed force can be maintained, is complete. It is marvellously simple.

* * * *

The one weak part of the British Note to the Powers in 1903 is the paragraph in which the suggestion is put forward that the question of "commercial freedom" — otherwise trade — as laid down in the Act of the West African Conference, should be separated from the question of the treatment of the natives, and referred to the Hague Court. But nothing is more patent than that the two questions are inextricably interwoven. They cannot be separated. They make one. If the trade in the produce of his country is taken from the native by a prima facie claim to possession of that produce, ill-treatment necessarily follows as night follows day; for such a claim is merely grotesque unless it be enforced, and it can only be enforced by compelling the native to collect that produce through the constant use of armed coercion, involving the inevitable incessant outrage, wholesale and retail. To submit the effects of a given cause to one international tribunal and the cause itself to another is surely amazing illogicalness?

I maintain that it is the duty of the British Government, above all Governments, to uphold the principle of trade in the African tropics, in the legitimate interests of the people who have entrusted it with power. This is no question of Protection versus Free Trade, of irritation with other Powers because they put on differential tariffs against our goods, and we should prefer they did not. It is a question of trade itself, the pivotal element which unites all societies, the link which binds together in a practical sense the various branches of the human family. I can only attribute the peculiar horror which seems to strike some worthy persons at the mere mention of the word "trade" or "commerce" in connection with the
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Congo problem to ignorance of the essence of that problem, and to forgetfulness that the very existence of this country is dependent upon trade. Trade spells freedom for the inhabitant of the African tropics. Its suppression spells his enslavement by those who deny him the right to own the produce of his country, deprive him of his right to buy and to sell, strangle for ever his economic development, force him at the end of the lash and at the muzzle of the rifle to harvest what was once his wealth before they stole it from him, and lay it at the feet of the despoiler. Surely those who label themselves humanitarians, affect to look upon the African as a cross between a babe and a saint (he is neither), and boast of a superior moral sense, should consider this, aspect of the matter, if their nerves are unwrought at what they deem the utilitarianism of the other? The plain fact of the matter is that to insist upon the principle of trade relationship between the European and the Negro in his own home as the basic principle in that relationship is synonymous with declaring that the Negro must be treated (not as a half-babe, half-saint, to be petted and veneered with an outward culture altogether foreign to his ideas, leaping over twelve centuries m a few years) as a Man with the rights of a Man — not as a
brute-beast.

To great commercial nations like England, Germany, and the United States the closing of the greater part of Central Africa to trade is a blow dealt to the legitimate interests of the British, German, and American peoples by a monarch whose "sovereignty" over the Congo was recognised by them principally on the ground — vide Section I. — that his intentions were to facilitate in every conceivable manner the development and extension of trade. Stanley, speaking at Manchester in 1884 in favour of King Leopold's enterprise, went into flights of rhapsody over the potentialities of the Congo as a market for British manufactured cotton goods. Mr. Frelinghuysen, American Secretary of State, in a letter to Mr. Tisdel, said, "Soon these millions of people inhabiting the interior of Africa will, under the inspiring influence of civilisation, become purchasers of every kind of provision, manufactured goods, agricultural implements, &c., and I see no reason why the people of the United States should not come in for a large share of the valuable trade which must soon be developed in this region." Mr. Kasson, the American delegate at the Conference, congratulated himself that "we secure (by the action taken at Berlin) the abolition of all monopolies, private or co-operative."

"Monopolies" have become one gigantic monopoly, going far beyond a monopoly of trade, which signifies the right of a certain party to be the exclusive purchaser of produce in a given region, involving claim to personal possession of the very elements of trade, thus doing away with the need of purchase altogether. An owner, let it be repeated, is not called upon to purchase what belongs to him.

"The importance of the rich prospective trade of the Congo valley has led to the general conviction that it should be open to all nations on equal terms," said President Arthur in his message of December, 1884.

It is not only closed to all nations, it is extirpated! The fundamental idea of this programme is to facilitate the access of all commercial nations in the interior of Africa," declared Bismarck at the opening of the Congress. "Freedom of trade — remarked Lord Vivian at the Brussels Conference in 1890 — was established in the interests not only of civilisation but of the native races of Africa."

Thus it will be seen that the representatives of the great commercial nations saw nothing to be ashamed of in consecrating aloud the principle of trade in the Congo basin. Why should Englishmen be afraid of upholding that principle to-day? Lest they be accused of "ulterior motives"? Where are the "ulterior motives"? They are not "ulterior," they are actual, legitimate, common-sense. They should be boldly proclaimed, insisted upon. The British merchant has the right of erecting factories and trading with the natives all over the Congo, even in the Domaine de la Couronne, for all its inalienability! That every square yard of the territory should be claimed by King Leopold and his financiers, and everything of economic value thereon, matters not one jot. The claim is preposterously impudent. British trade, with or without the medium of the British merchant, has the right to penetrate into every corner of the "Congo Free State." That King Leopold's principal secretary in Brussels should inform the British Govern-
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ment, in effect, that trade is impossible on the Congo—
because "there are no longer any unappropriated lands
there" is mere insinence. That the same official
should declare in reference to the British Note that it
"confuses the utilisation of his property" — that is
King Leopold's property, e.g., 800,000 square miles in
Central Africa — "by the owner" — that is King Leopold
— "with trade" — that is the right of the natives to buy
and to sell — and that "the native who collects on behalf
of the owner" — King Leopold — "does not become the
owner of what is so collected, and naturally cannot dis-
pose of it to a third party" ^ is, with the exception of
King Leopold's Manifesto of 1906, the most cynical
avowal of wholesale spoliation ever penned. What!
The Power to which King Leopold came on bended knees
twenty—two years ago begging for support calmly infor-
med to—day by the same Potentate that the British
merchant and British trade are shut out from
Central Africa, because it has pleased him by a stroke of
the pen to substitute himself for the native as the owner
of the commercial wealth of Central Africa, and that
consequently the native has nothing to exchange for
British goods! In very truth the proposition is laugh-
able on its audacity. To use an historic phrase, it is
enough of this folly." Aye, and more than enough, for it
exercises itself not only at the expense of the legitimate
interests of great nations, but at the price of African
blood shed in torrents, and African misery unportrayable
in words.

And, finally, there is another reason why Britain
should decline any longer to recognise the pretensions
of King Leopold. To every Power holding possessions
in the neighbourhood of his "fine stations," and in
proximity to the operations of his ivory and rubber—raid-
ing officials, the seizure and collection by armed force
of his revenues is a positive danger and disturbance.
The presence of a lawless, marauding soldiery, ever
increasing in numbers, and only held in nominal discip-
line by the conferring of full freedom to loot and rape, is
a menace. The erection of frontier—forts armed with
heavy guns, a threat. The importation of enormous
quantities of ball cartridges and ammunition to make
rubber, a la Congolaise, which includes the provisioning

iMemorandum, September 17, 1903.
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of fighting clans with material of war to force rubber in
the royal interest from their weaker neighbours, when
the "regulars" are employed elsewhere, is a peril which
it would be folly to ignore. Two great rebellions of
native soldiery, which brought the "Congo Free State"
almost toppling to the ground, have occurred in the last
ten years. Even the fort at Shinkakassa just outside
Boma, the capital, was seized a few years ago by the
garrison, exasperated with the treatment of their women
by King Leopold's officials, who, terrified out of their
lives, ran hither and thither like scared rabbits, for all
their gold—lace and impeccable ducks; Boma itself being
saved from destruction only by the ignorance of the
mutineers in the working of the time—fuse; and from
pillage by the action of a brave British coloured subject
of Lagos, who organised his compatriots from the British
West African colonies (settled in various capacities at
Boma) into patrols, which marched through the town,
and who was destined later on to be hounded to a
suicide's grave by the malignity of the men he had
rescued. Bands of these revolted soldiery have on
several occasions invaded and committed havoc in the
contiguous British possessions, and to this day hold
parts of the Congo territory into which no official dare
set foot. Any moment may bring forth another and
graver revolt, and any day may see the rise of an intelli-
gent native corporal with a brain above his fellows, some bastard Arab blood in his veins perhaps, who will make a bold bid for empire against the officials of the absentee landlord. And over all the land broods the shadow of a great crime, filling the breasts of the miserable people with an undying hatred of the accursed white man and all his ways. Given the slightest chance at combination, given a leader, given a favourable set of circumstances, and the smouldering embers will burst into a flame, and the conflagration might well spread until every official of the King, with his throat cut, had been flung into the river. It would be a just retribution, but what sort of task would confront the criminal apathy of Europe! Sir Harry Johnston is not given to sensationalism, or rash predictions, but this is what he wrote in 1902 — four years ago, before the charges against King Leopold's enterprise were thoroughly established — "if all the stories are true of the wickedness perpetrated in the Congo Free State since 1885 there will some day be such a rising against the white man and such punishment inflicted on European interests in the heart of Africa as will surpass any revolt that has yet been made by the black and the yellow man against his white brother and overlord." To watch with philosophic eye this cauldron of native discontent and misery fed with the ingredients of a civilised barbarism go seething on is madness. Arguments drawn from the necessity of keeping up the "prestige" of the white man in the African tropics do not appeal to me very much, for the surest foundation for the maintenance of such is justice, "even-handed, tiger justice," as poor Mary Kingsley used to say, but I often wonder that the White Powers can continue their supine contemplation, while deeds are done in the Congo Basin which brand with indelible infamy the white race in the eyes of the black, deeds which in Lord Fitzmaurice's words " make Civilisation ashamed of its name," deeds which cry to Heaven for vengeance, and for which, some day, in the fulness of time, a fearful penalty will be enacted.

* -x- -x- * * *

The Act of the West African Conference provides a weapon which can be wielded against the "civilised barbarism" introduced by King Leopold, with or without the convocation of a renewed Conference of all the Signatory Powers of that Act, a weapon which requires but five of the Signatory Powers to make up their minds to use. (Art. 1) That weapon is the "Navigation Commission" which has never been invoked, although the essential clauses of the Act have been violated with impunity for fifteen years. The question of navigation on the Congo waterways is intimately bound up with the question of the trading rights of European merchants and of the natives, for it is obvious that freedom of navigation is a misnomer if trade is non-existent; consequently the general question of maladministration, misrule and spoiliation is also involved. Indeed the idea entertained by the Plenipotentiaries of the Powers at Berlin in providing for a Navigation Commission was clearly concerned with the protection of trade. Thus
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M. de Kusserow, one of the German delegates at the
Conference, dedared (Prot. 3: sitting November 27, 1884), that in the view of his Government freedom of trade should not be left unsupervised (sans contrôl); and he added "The International Navigation Commission appears to it (the German Government) a competent instrument to be provisionally entrusted with this supervision." Moreover, the Act itself as signed by all the Powers is explicit. Article 25 reads, "This provision of the present Act of Navigation shall remain in force in time of war. Consequently all nations, whether neutral or belligerent, shall be always free, for the purposes of trade, to navigate the Congo, its branches, affluents and mouth as well as the territorial waters fronting the embouchure of the river."

The powers enjoyed by this Commission as provided in the Act would be as considerable as those enjoyed by the Danube River Commission. They would be virtually sovereign powers, in regard to everything affecting navigation, and who controls navigation in the Congo Basin controls the arteries and veins of the "Congo Free State." It is "independent of the territorial authorities" (Art. 20). The Powers composing it "can have recourse" to their own ships of war. It can raise loans (Art. 23).

In short, the appointment of this Commission would be the stepping stone for that wider and closer international control of the Congo which, failing the possible but unlikely solution of Belgian annexation on lines acceptable to public opinion, honor and safety alike demand shall no longer be delayed.

With these considerations I bring this chapter and with it this volume to a close. I have indicated the specific courses of action which are open to Great Britain under her own Treaty rights, — rights which no Power would dream of contesting, and I have given expression to « widely spread conviction that the adoption by Great Britain of one or more of the steps denoted would compel international interference. To these I may here add

1 Let it be clearly understood that what I mean to convey is not the doubt that the Belgian people would desire to do the right thing, but the doubt of their being in a position to do it. Very apt chapter.
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that Great Britain would appear to be entitled (with or without the appointment of a Navigation Commission) to place a gun-boat on the Upper C'illo-ngo, and that she ought to do it on behalf of her own subjects; and, I hasten to add, other Powers would seem to have precisely the same option in that respect. I have given reasons — and no one can deny that they are grave, legitimate, and weighty reasons — why Great Britain should drop the policy of vain expostulation pursued for ten years, and take energetic measures to abide by, and if necessary to enforce, her Treaty rights in her own justifiable interests; and I have proved, I venture to think to the satisfaction of all reasonable persons, that in so acting Great Britain would be serving the general interests of humanity. With respect to the position of Great Britain as one of the Signatory Powers of the Act of the West African Conference I have shown how preponderating is that position in regard to the corresponding position of most of the Signatory Powers; how great is the prestige of Great Britain abroad at the present moment; how immensely important are the issues at stake; how duty and honour summon the British Government to a vigorous initiative. Finally, I have drawn attention to the very definite instrument which the Act of the Conference provides for the invocation of practical international control over the vast fluvial system of the Congo.

What remains to be said can be embodied in a couple
Nothing impracticable, nothing unrealisable is being demanded on behalf of the Congo natives. No grand-motherly legislation, no sentimental legislation, no sentimental claims are being urged in their interest. Only justice. They have been robbed of their property. We demand that their property shall be restored to them. They have been robbed of their liberty. We demand that their liberty shall be restored to them. They are bound in chains. We demand that those chains shall be rent asunder. For fifteen years they have been degraded, enslaved, exterminated. We demand that this shall stop, not fifteen years, or five years, or one year hence, but now.

The "Congo Free State" has long ceased to exist. It has given place to a political monster and international outlaw. Of that political monster and international outlaw, but one thing can be said or written, Delenda est Carthago.

The reek of its abominations mounts to Heaven in fumes of shame. It pollutes the earth. Its speedy disappearance is imperative for Africa, and for the world.
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"* We must repair an evil we have wrongly tolerated too long."* - Emile Vandervelde.

"Sir E. Grey feels that the exhaustive and closely-reasoned memorandum enclosed in your letter will be of great assistance to His Majesty's Government in their efforts to secure the restoration to the natives of their rights." - Foreign Office to Author, January 29, 1909.

More than two years were to elapse before the accomplishment of the purpose indicated in the closing words of the last chapter.

In August, 1908, the "Congo Free State" ceased to exist. It expired amidst the execrations of mankind, the only instance in history, I think, in which a "sovereign and independent State" has been destroyed by the force of public opinion.

Its demise should have coincided with the liberation of the Congo peoples from the terrible afflictions of which they had been the unhappy victims since 1891; with the total abandonment of the Leopoldian system, and with the inauguration of normal administrative processes. And this would have been the case if the rulers of Belgium had had any bowels of compassion, and if Sir Edward Grey had rewarded the singularly faithful and comprehensive support extended to him by keeping to his public and private pledges. To none would a cessation of the need for agitation here have been more welcome than to the reformers. The Congo Reform Association would have laid down its arms with thorough satisfaction. For myself I should have greeted the close of the campaign with unfeigned joy. I had been fighting King Leopold and his satellites, not only in England but...
all over Europe and in the United States, for eight years, and for the last two of them—i.e., from the date of the foundation of the Association—the task had absorbed my whole life to an extent hardly realised even by those the most closely associated with me.

But it was not to be. Five more years of incessant labours lay before us; labours of an even more arduous character and of infinitely greater complexity. Before recalling the events which made this necessary, it will be advisable to summarise the situation obtaining at the time this book first appeared in the Spring of 1906, and to recapitulate the circumstances attending the disappearance of the "Congo Free State" and the substitution for it of the direct and constitutional rule of Belgium over the Congo.

The publication of the report of King Leopold's Commission of Inquiry had completely altered the controversial aspect of the question. The inquiry had been forced upon the King as the outcome of the action taken by the House of Commons and the issue of the Casement and Cromer reports. The nature of the Commission of Inquiry's Report had placed the new Liberal Cabinet in an unassailable moral position and in a very powerful diplomatic position. Its Foreign Secretary had taken a strong line on the subject of the Congo when in Opposition. He now had the ball at his feet.

King Leopold's object was to gain time. The Commission of Inquiry had returned in March, 1905. The publication of the Report had been delayed until November. When it appeared it was seen that the minutes of the entire evidence had been suppressed, contrary to the distinct pledge given by the Congo Government. It appearance synchronised with the appointment of a "Commission of Reforms" composed with the single exception of the President of the Commission of Inquiry, of "Congo Free State" officials, judges and politicians deeply compromising the King's African policy, and even of administrators on the boards of the rubber Trusts. The appointment of such a Commission was an insult in itself, and the refusal, despite reiterated requests on the part of the British Government to produce the evidence, was indicative of the kind of opposition which would be encountered. The King's manifesto alluded to in Section 71 had sounded the ultimate note of defiance.

A five days' debate liad taken plane in the Belgian Chamber. It had been marked by many passionate incidents—M. Beernaert, the ex-Premier, associating himself with Vandervele, Lorand, Jansen, Ber brand, and other Socialists and Radicals and with clericals like Verhaeghen and Coifs in condemnation of the Congo Government, which still found defenders in the persons of Kinuj Leopold's servile ministers, and political wire-pullers like M. Woesze. Finally, the Chamber passed a resolution pledging the Belgian Parliament to take up once more the abandoned annexation project drafted by M. Beernaert in 1901 and quashed by King Leopold as already explained.

With the passing of this resolution there began the long wrangle between the King and his Parliament as to the form annexation should take, or, indeed, whether annexation should take place at all, which lasted until August, 1908.
While this dispute was going on, complicated and confused by party issues and prejudices, pressure upon the natives of the Congo led doubled in intensity. The royal beneficiary and his financial partners, the concessionaire Companies, saw the handwriting on the wall and determined to make the most of the time allotted to them. From every quarter of the Congo there poured an incessant stream of reports of a horrifying character — from numerous Protestant and Catholic missionaries, chance travellers, like Richard Harding Davis, Italian officers, and even Belgian officials like Commander Lemaire and Stanislas Lefranc, the magistrate. These reports continued during the whole of 1906, 1907, and the first few months of 1908. This unofficial testimony was, moreover, supplemented by British Consular reports. In this respect a momentary digression is permissible.

The tragedy which marked the close of Roger Casement's career, the proverbial shortness of the public memory and the wrongs and sufferings inflicted upon Belgium through the German invasion have enabled evert-in persons, in inspired in some cases by self-interest, 1 February 90, 27, 28, March 1 and 2, 1916.

2 Section V. Chapter II.
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in others by malice, to foist upon a public influenced by war psychology a great many absurdities in connection with the Congo. These absurdities have been eagerly seized upon by dishonest or ignorant scribblers in the Press. Amongst them we may note the legend which portrays Roger Casement as the sole and unique fount of official information whence the British Government drew its conclusions on the affairs of the Congo. I do not propose to deal with the other legends here; but only with this particular one. The facts are these. The circumstances attending Roger Casement's initial investigation are stated in this volume' and require no supplementing. After Lord Lansdowne had circulated Roger Casement's report as a State paper, its author obviously could not return to the Congo, whose system of government he had denounced in the performance of his Consular functions, and as the result of the investigations he had been instructed by his Government to carry out. He was appointed Consul at Lisbon, and afterwards at Rio de Janeiro, where he subsequently unearthed and exposed another great evil, the Putumayo scandal. His action in both cases, action attended by grave personal risks, not only placed humanity for ever in his debt, but gave to the Government he so ably and courageously represented the opportunity of achieving the only two creditable diplomatic performances in its official record.

In the years which followed, the development of the Congo question as an international problem of first rank determined the British Government largely to increase its Consular staff on the Congo. It appointed a number of Vice-Consuls who toured the country in every direction. During the years 1907 to 1913 a series of voluminous reports from these Consular officers were published. They form, indeed, the most bulky and detailed evidence of the conditions prevailing in the Congo available during that period. The legend to which I have alluded above is, therefore, a grotesque travesty of the truth. The pigeon-holes of the Foreign Office were

1 It should ever be borne in mind that although Belgian capitalists were the principal beneficiaries from the rubber slave-trade in the Congo, they had associates in other countries. To one and all the British reform movement meant heavy losses, the market value of their shares steadily depreciating over a term of years from four figures to a few pounds.
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stuffed with records of King Leopold's misgovernment long before a popular movement compelled that Government Department to bestir itself. Roger Casement's report was only the first of a long series of British Consular reports* for which succeeding British Governments assumed responsibility in issuing them to the world. It was of peculiar importance, because it was the first which was made public; because a whitewashing report at that moment would have delayed reform for many years, and because the man who wrote it possessed unusual qualifications for the task, both of head and heart. He infused into an official publication some of that passion of pity and indignation for the oppressed and downtrodden which was the dominant emotion of his life, while in no way departing from the language of strict moderation imposed upon him by his official position. This is not the time or the place to discuss Roger Casement's actions ten years later, after the outbreak of the Great War. But I should feel it to be a cowardly slur upon the memory of a man whose labours on the Congo and the Amazon I hold in profound admiration, if I did not register here my conviction that when the true story of that last episode in his career comes to be written, it will be very different from the story told at the trial.

The first batch of these Consular reports was published in May, 1907. They were followed by a further instalment some months later. They revealed, to quote Lord Lansdowne's remarks in the Lords: "The same odious system of terrorism ... a condition of things profoundly mortifying to all who have at heart the honour and reputation of this country." They removed the final doubts which may still have lingered in some responsible minds as to the causation of these deeds of shame. As Sir Charles Dilke put it in the House:

"There was a universal concurrence of opinion in this country that the system of government was at the base of the whole of the horrors, and that it was useless to deal with the horrors unless the whole system of government was revolutionised."

The evidence — Consular and other — which accumulated from the Spring of 1906 to the Summer of 1908

1 Incidentally, it may be stated here that neither Sir Charles Dilke, Mr. H. R. Fox-Bourne, nor myself were acquainted with Roger Casement at that time. I doubt whether they knew of his existence. I certainly did not.
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would, even if classified and summarised, alone suffice to fill a volume equal in size to the present one. It is, therefore, impracticable to deal with it here. Moreover it varies in no essential particular from that given in Section II, except in the abundance of explanatory detail. The systematised devilry was accentuated, that is all. The output of rubber from the Congo steadily increased, and as the methods adopted in procuring it continued to be based upon the original policy explained in Section P: the results were such as might have been expected.

Meantime what was the attitude of the British Government? It had received snub after snub, rebuff after rebuff at the hands of King Leopold. The damming reports of the Commission of Inquiry, instead of being followed by reforms, had led to increased outrage. The evidence had been suppressed. Officials deeply implicated in the Commission's findings had been re-instated. No single official or responsible person on the Boards of the Concessionaire Companies had been prosecuted. Baron
Wahis, the King's right hand man from the beginning of the rubber atrocities, had been reappointed Governor-General. The spokesman of the Foreign Office admitted in the House of Commons, not only that the state of affairs in the Congo was very much aggravated, but that individual natives, who had testified before the Commission of Inquiry upon a guarantee of immunity, had been the victims of outrage and persecution, and that even the lives of the missionaries themselves were in danger. In every public declaration by himself, or by his constitutional Ministers, King I'epold treated the British Government with a savage contempt which would have provoked a sterner image. In 1904 Sir Edward Grey, in Opposition, had argued that it was incompatible with the nation's honour "to sit still and do nothing." Lord Lansdowne had at least done something when the case for action was not nearly so strong. But despite continued provocation, and faced with the incontestable fact that British intervention had, up to that moment, only succeeded in making the lot of the Congo natives more intolerable, Sir Edward did, in fact, sit still and do nothing. He seemed to have no policy until the revival of the annexation project in the Belgian Chamber (Supra) appeared to open out before him the line of

1 Chapt-^" IV.
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"east resistonre. From thence onwards be declared hina-

self in favour of a Belgian annexation. But what kind

of Belgian annexation ?

To a Belgian annexation of the Congo there was in principle no objection in this country It had, indeed, been previously urged by the Congo lieform Association, but on one condition, viz., that the Leopoldian system should disappear with the disappearance of the King's direct control. This was the capital issue, and became the pivot of the struggle in the ensuing years. A Belgian annexation involving a complete break with the past presented itself as the easiest and, perhaps, the best solution in view of the general unrest in Europe. But a Belgian annexation carried out under circumstances which would perpetuate the system was the worst of all solutions. And this for two reasons which were advance^!

from the beginning and repeatedly and earnestly pressed by the reformers. The difficulty of obtaining redress for the natives would be increased tenfold. The int^r-
national aspects of the problem would be rendered infinitely more complex and delicate. The tangle of inconsistencies in the relations between Belgium and the "Congo Free State" has already been referred^" to. The British Reform movement had consistently steered clear of involving Belgium in its condemnation of the "Congo Free State." No attack upon Belgium had ever been made. Belgium — the Belgian people — "had been dis-

sociated from responsibility with the irresponsible African despotism of the King from the beginning. This studious effort to be fair and just towards the Belgian people had been ill-rewarded by the bulk of the Belgian Press. But it had been warmly appreciated by the Belgian reformers and by the independent newspapers, such as Le Patriote (Clerical), Le Peuple (Socialist), and La Dernidre Heure (Kadical)." Our attitude enabled us to work on terms of the fullest cordiality with both wings of the Belgian reformers. Vandervelde who, alone

1 Section V., Chapter III.

2 The first two had between them a larger circulation than all the i-real of the Brussels and Antwerp Press put together. Yet the news agencies confined themselves for years to quoting as representing Belgian opinion such papers as the Independence Beige, whose circulation was infinitesimal, but which was the faithful gramophone of the King and his ministers. That was one of the
inany difficulties against which the reformers had to contend.
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among his party, favoured annexation in order that Belgium should make reparation for the evils inflicted upon the Congo by the King, and justify her trust, derived additional strength from the position we adopted. Lorand, who led the anti-annexationist Radicals, and the Socialist Party as a whole, co-operated with us no less sincerely. We claimed to be what, in fact, we were, the true friends of the Belgian people.

Now it was perfectly clear that an unequivocal pronouncement was urgently required of the British Government at this critical juncture, and that the fate of the Congo peoples for years to come depended upon such a pronouncement. It would have taken the form which the course of action previously pursued by the Foreign Office naturally suggested, viz. : That Vae mdefinite prolongation of existing conditions in the Congo while discussions were going on in Belgium over annexation, would not be tolerated; that annexation must carry with it the total disappearance of the Leopoldian system ; that if satisfaction were not forthcoming in these respects, Britain would be compelled to assume all her rights under the Anglo-Congolese Convention of 1884. British and Belgian reformers were alike agreed that, failing such a pronouncement, the state of affairs in the Congo would go from bad to worse, that the annexation discussions would drag on interminably, while pressure upon the unfortunate natives was intensified, and that if annexation finally took place it would be of such a character as to leave the Leopoldian system fundamentally unaltered. The results of the latter event, so far as the reform movement was concerned, would be this : Either reformers would have to sacrifice the substance to the shadow, rest content with their achievement in having wiped the " Congo Free State " off the map of Africa, and dissolve with the certain knowledge that the Congo natives were still being enslaved and martyred. Or, reformers would henceforth be compelled to bring the whole weight of their onslaught to bear against the Belgian Government. The position would thereby be that a British national movement was exist, openly directed against Belgium as officially represented by its Government, i.e., against a neutral country whose position in a Europe surcharged with electricity was the constant object of international intrigue, and whose
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good graces Germany, France, and England were assiduously competing. Whether the Foreign Office ever seriously imagined that we should haul down the flag "n the event of an unsatisfactorily annexation, I do not know. It certainly received the most emphatic warnings that we should do nothing of the kind. It imagined, no doubt, that once annexation accomplished it would be strong enough to break us if we persisted, by waving the international danger flag, and thus frightening our supporters away.' This eventually was what Sir Edward Grey tried to do. But he failed. That British diplomacy should have wished to avoid a quarrel with Belgium was pretty clear then. It has become clearer since. The remedy was in its own hands. If it declined to apply it, British diplomacy had no fault to find with anyone but itself.

A period of twenty-two months elapsed between the vote of the Belgian Chamber in favour of annexation and the production of the Annexation Bill and " Treaty of Cession " of the Congo from the " Congo Free State " to Belgium. During the whole of this period the British Government remained supine and inactive. Yet it con-
Continued to issue Consular reports from the Congo of the same horrible and shameful character. Evidence from Belgium steadily pointed to the fact that the Belgian Government dared produce no scheme of annexation involving the country in national expenditure upon the Congo, without which no drastic reform was possible. It was accompanied by renewed proof of a temper and a spirit of open and contemptuous derision towards British representations. Taking their cue from the King's Manifesto, Belgian Ministers tended more and more to repudiate the binding character of the clauses of the Berlin Act in relation to the government of the Congo.

Meantime the enslavement of the natives became ever more comprehensive.

"I do not hesitate to say," wrote Vice-Consul Mitchell, "that the whole policy of the Congo State in every detail is its own enrichment. . . . The products of the soil, as everyone knows, have been appropriated wholesale."

Consul Thesiger described the life of the Congo native as one of "perpetual labour for the State." Vice-Consul Beak reported: "There is no pretence at administration. The present oppressive system is responsible for an enormous loss of life." In face of the growing exasperation of public opinion at home, Sir Edward Grey tried the effects of soothing syrup. He solemnly assented that annexation would "produce not a list of reforms for the Congo, but an entire change in the system of Government of the country." He expressed the opinion that the Belgian Government was 'anxious to approach the question with the desire to secure a satisfactory solution'; that it was better to 'wait to see what the conditions and scheme of annexation would be'; that he could not "press the matter forward" until he knew "the actual conditions of annexation."

Every statement of this kind was greeted with a chorus of flaunts and jeers by the inspired Belgian Press. The apathy of the British Government stimulated all the reactionary forces in Belgium, and filled the Belgian reformers with bitterness. "What kind of thing," they said in effect, "is this Government of yours? It issues report after report from its own officials showing that conditions in the Congo are, if anything, worse than ever. Why does it do so if nothing comes of them? It professes to be a friend of Belgium, but its attitude is assisting the present reactionary government in Belgium to force upon us a Treaty which will commit us to the prosecution of an odious system. It knows that the country has never been consulted with regard to annexation, and that there is a strong opposition to such a step. By a single word, your Government could forr-eour Ministers to go to the country and tell it the truth. Why does it not pronounce that word?" Finally, the expected happened. The terms of the Treaty of Transfer published on December 3, 1909, confirmed the worst apprehensions. The territory of the Congo had been given another name— that of "Belgian Congo": but the administrative system remained untouched. The land, the natural wealth and the human labour of the Congo, passed from Leopold IT., autocratic sovereign of the "Congo Free State" to Belgium. The stock holdings of the "Congo Free State" Government in the great concessionaire Trusts passed from the Congo Government to the Belgian Government. The Concessionaire Companies remained. The so-called "tax" in rubber remained. Eight hundred thousand square miles of African territory, with everything human and vegetable within it, became
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in a literal sense the property of the Belgian State, to be...
thenceforth exploited for the benefit of that State, as it had been previously exploited by Leopold I. The "Colonial Law" followed the lines of the Treaty. Even the legislation of the defunct Congo Government retained force of law under the cegis of Belgian rule. Of the fourteen members of the Colonial Council, eight were nominated by the King. It was the legalisation of a peculiarly atrocious form of African slavery as a Government institution for the profit of a small neutral State in Europe.

The explosion of national anger which followed this conclusive evidence of the real intention of the Belgian Government shook for a time the complacency of the Foreign Office. Public indignation was reflected both inside and outside the House in unmistakable fashion. The Lord Mayor took the chair at an imposing demonstration in the Queen's Hall, supported by the members of the Common Council, by the Lord Provost of Edinburgh, the Sheriff of Hull, and twelve London Mayors, all these functionaries attending in their robes of office. Debates took place in both Houses of Parliament, Lord Cromer, the Archbishop of Canterbury, and Lord Lansdowne rivalling one another in the vigour of their language. "I assert without hesitation," declared Lord Cromer, "that never in my experience have I seen or heard of misrule comparable to the abuses that have grown up with the Congo State. ... If it (Belgian annexation) is to be a mere cloak under which the present system in the Congo is to be continued, I hope His Majesty's Government will have no hesitation in resolutely rejecting it." The Archbishop of Canterbury opined that the matter "far transcended any mere question of contemporary politics." Lord Lansdowne maintained that the position in the Congo was "intolerable." He trusted that the Belgian Government and people would realise that we were "earnestly bent upon the complete reversal of the whole policy under which the 'Congo Free State' had lately been administered, and that the British people were determined that an end shall be put to a condition of things which they have long regarded with feelings of abhorrence and shame." In the Commons feeling ran very high. At a private meeting of Liberal members, held before the debate, it was decided that failing a positive pledge by Sir Edward Grey that Belgium must annex on terms which would ensure the abandonment of the Leopoldian policy, some 250 Liberal members would form a division and vote against the Government. Their action would undoubtedly have been followed in other quarters. Every party in the House put up a speaker, Sir George White, representing the Nonconformist Liberals, Sir Henry Norman, Sir Francis Channing, and Mr. Leif Jones, the "broad stream" of Liberal opinion, Sir John Kennaway, the Conservatives, Mr. George Roberts, the Labour Party, while Mr. George Lansbury spoke for the Irish Party. Contemporaneously the prolonged effort to secure official American co-operation begun by the author of this volume at the end of 1904, and persisted in with devoted energy by the American Congo Reform Association under Dr. Barbour, Dr. Eobert Park, Mr. Samuel Clemens (Mark Twain), Booker T. Washington, and others, was crowned with final success, the American Secretary of State notifying the British Government that America was prepared to support Great Britain in such action as it might see fit to take.

Under the stimulus of these manifestations, Sir Edward Grey took a line well calculated to convince all whom it might concern that the British Government at last realised — in the words of Sir Henry Norman — that the time had come "either to begin acting or to stop talking." He accepted on behalf of the Government a resolution, which the House passed unanimously,
registering the conviction " that the present system of administration in the Congo is destructive of the personal liberty and economic rights of the native population," and assuring the Government of its " hearty support in the measures it may be necessary to take, either alone or in conjunction with the signatories of the Berlin Act." He admitted that " no external question for the last 30 years had moved the country so strongly and so vehemently." He agreed that it " must be a condition precedent to any transfer of the Congo to another authority, that that authority should take it over on terms which will place it in a position to give assurances, and to guarantee that those assurances shall be carried out, and the Treaty obligations of the Congo would be fulfilled." He hinted broadly that if this " condition precedent " were not fulfilled, the British Government would take isolated action.
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That was on February 26, 1908. Exactly one month afterwards, Sir Edward Grey, unknown to the House or country closed a despatch to the Belgian Government specifying the reforms which annexation should bring, with these words: "H.M. Government merely submits these vicivs for the friendly consideration of the Belgian Government." The " must " had become " we hope"; the "guarantee" had lapsed into the good pleasure of Belgian Ministers, who had just shown by the deed of transfer they had produced (after more than two years) that they did not intend to take the Congo over on terms compatible with the obligations of the Berlin Act!

That fatal despatch gave Belgian Ministers the assurance that they had nothing to fear; that the Foreign Secretary's pledge to the House meant nothing; that he spoke with one voice in the legislature and with another voice in the diplomatic closet, and that they could proceed safely with their Bill as it stood.

So they promptly drove it through the Chamber without the alteration of a single comma. It passed the Chamber by a majority of only 29 in a House counting 166 Members, of whom only 83 voted in favour, and 54 against; the remainder being absent or abstaining from voting. Anything less " national " than such a vote it would have been difficult to conceive. It was the culminating proof that the Belgian Government, which the Foreign Office had persisted in treating as the mandatory of the " Belgian people," had no claim to the title.

It is difficult adequately to characterise Sir Edward Grey's action. He had definitely and formally pledged, himself to the House of Commons that it " must be a condition precedent" to any transfer of the Congo that the terms should be such as would make away with the system on which the Congo had been run for twenty years. He gave that pledge knowing that the terms produced by the Belgian Government were such that no change of the kind was conceivable. His pledge in the circumstances amounted to an undertaking that unless the Belgian Government became amenable to reason at the last moment, he would take the steps open to him under the Anglo-Congolese Convention. It was so understood by the Belgian reformers who had pleaded unavailingly for months for such a declaration. Both the pro and anti-annexationists had pleaded for it as an act of friendship towards the Belgian people demanded. Their attitude was perfectly understandable. Their country was being lured into a formidable adventure upon false
The condition of the Congo was depicted in glowing colours, whereas many of its districts were ruined economically, and the population destroyed. Belgium was told that the administration of the Congo would not cost the Belgian taxpayer one penny. This was a monstrous unhitch unless the natural resources of the country, together with the labour of its inhabitants, were to be treated as exploitable material for the benefit of the Belgian revenues, as they had been previously treated by King Leopold and his financial bodyguard for their own private purposes. The Congo required money to be poured into it, not taken out of it, if the work of reconstruction necessitated by a couple of decades of organised pillage was to be taken in hand. From this general prospect of annexation "with the blinkers on," all reformers, whether for or against annexation, recoiled in dismay. The pros' line of argument was Vandervelde's: "I want my country to know the truth; to know that it has a costly, thankless task before it, but one which its honour demands shall be undertaken in a spirit of self-sacrifice and reparation."
The antis' line of argument was: "This burden is much too heavy for Belgium to assume. Belgium is being deceived. There is no call upon her to make amends for that for which she has not been directly or legally responsible. If we annex, we shall commit TERROR in any case. But if we annex under these conditions, we shall carry a burden of disgrace constituting a peril to our national position. A neutral Belgium in Europe cannot be an imperialist Belgium in Africa. There is only one solution for the Congo problem in harmony with Belgian interests, and that solution is internationalisation."

With Sir Edward Grey's declaration, tardy as it was, both -pros and antis felt that Belgian Ministers would now have no option but to place the whole problem before the country, and invite a national vote on an issue of such capital importance to the country's future.

If Belgium's reformers had reason to complain of the British Foreign Secretary's attitude, British reformers were entitled to feel that they had been grossly betrayed. They had been told early in the day that, however anxious...
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Ministers might be personally to take official action in a matter of foreign policy in which no direct material British interests were involved, it was impossible that they should do so unless a public opinion sufficiently determined and powerful existed to support them in really effective measures. Reformers had created that support which Ministers had declared to be indispensable - to the performance by the British Government of a plain duty 'They had done so with no machinery at then-disposal, and with no funds other than public subscriptions and publicly recorded. They had convinced all men of the facts. They had laboured incessantly for years. They had never been detected in error. They had given Ministers a popular backing to steer a straight course, such as admittedly no British Ministry had received for generations. They had done so with no object other than that of liberating an unhappy population from systematic destruction, and of putting an end to a great international crime. And at the critical moment the Minister most concerned had failed them; failed them abjectly and piteously.

In so doing he had decreed the continued enslavement of a helpless race. That was his capital offence in the eyes of the reformers. To curtail this further period of wrong-doing was the object to which reformers now set themselves. The Congo Free State Government had been destroyed. But the system it liad steered a strained. The Belgian Government had decreed that it would perpetuate that system under the Belgian flag. Very good The supreme object of the reformers was the disappearance of the system. That object they would pursue with
a determination unaltered by the fact that the Belgian Government itself had henceforth assumed direct responsibility for the maintenance of the system.

II.

VICTORY AT LAST.

The conditions on which the Congo Free State was founded have not only never been fulfilled; they have been continuously and habitually violated. The country has been closed to trade, the inhabitants have been deprived of customary rights and subjected to a system of forced labour, and their condition, going steadily from bad to worse, has become the truly appalling condition which is described, not by sensational reporters, not by hysterical -- "as some people call them -- missionaries, but in a long series of Parliamentary papers, reports from our own Consuls, and in the investigations by Belgium itself by a Commission appointed a few years ago. ' . . . The agitation in this country with regard to Congo reform has been subjected to much criticism foisted on the assumption that it had some political motive.1 The agitation never had any such motive. It is disinterested; it is jujucere; it has no ulterior or selfish end. It is in no sense impertinent, for it has regard to a territory and a population towards which by treaty we have undertaken solemn obligations. -- Mr. AsQuith (Prime Minister) at the Guildhall, November 9th, 1909.

We have been afraid of our own shadow in the Congo question. --- SIR Charles Dilke in the House of Commons, March 21st, 1910.

And then one more thing we have gained -- the immense advantage in the position of native races throughout Africa, certainly the whole of Central Africa, I should say, throughout Africa as a whole. Let it once have been shown -- and it did look very black a few years ago -- let it once have been shown that Europe could acquiesce, even with a shrug of horror, in a system of admitted wrong-doing to native peoples, for whom our European nations, with England not least, had responsibility, confidence surely would have been shattered in European Government and European leadership and European promises. All these things our Association ... has genuinely achieved for the good of man. -- Archbishop OF Canterbury, speaking at the final meeting of the Congo Reform Association, June 16th, 1913.

If ever there was laid upon the shoulders of any European governing class the obligation of bringing instant relief to a subject race, that obligation rested

I i.e., the Belgian assertion that the Congo Reform movement, and the author of this volume, in particular, aimed at a British occupation end seizure of the Congo territory, and that concern for the Congo natives was a hypocritical pretence to mask British imperialistic lusts.
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upon the governing class in Belgium, from the moment it made itself responsible for the government of the Congo. The inconceivable barbarities inflicted upon the Congo natives had been inflicted under the direct responsibility of the head of the Belgian State in his position as Sovereign of the Congo, a position he had assumed with the consent of the Belgian Legislature. The Belgian governing class had abetted, assisted and shielded the King in his wrong-doing. It had been his passive and sometimes active accomplice. Belgian officers had done the King's bidding on the Congo. The Belgian diplomatic and consular machinery had been placed unreservedly at the King's disposal. As a class, and as individuals, the political rulers of Belgium shared in the guilty profits wrung from the agony of a whole people. Vast fortunes had been made in Belgium out of the "Bed Rubber" of the Congo. Belgian industries had
profited largely. In the eleven years preceding annexation, twenty-one millions sterling of rubber and ivory, product of the merciless exploitation of the Congolese, had been discharged upon Antwerp's quays. In the great open spaces of Brussels, the enlargement of its parks and public buildings, the beautifying of its palaces, its colonial museums; in the façades and race-courses at Ostend; in the extension of Belgian under-takings abroad, in China, South America, and elsewhere, the blood and tears of the Congo races had been crystallised. It was the knowledge of these things, the conviction that so great a crime must bring its retribution, that provoked Le Patriote to exclaim in a notable outburst of indignation a year after annexation, " God will punish Belgium!"

Belgium, as we have seen, annexed the Congo in August, 1908, or rather, that date witnessed the success of the Belgian Government in saddling an ignorant country with a tremendous responsibility under conditions of the grossest unfairness to that country itself, both from the moral and material standpoint. It had been able to do this owing to the failure of Sir Edward Grey, despite his positive pledges to the House of Commons, to put forward a categorical demand for guarantees that the System under which the Congo had been exploited should disappear concurrently with annexation.
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Let me summarise once more the dominant features in that System:

1. All the natural wealth (possessing negotiable value) of the Congo was held to be the property of its European rulers.

2. The native population was compelled by the continuous and pitiless application of physical force in every form and to both sexes) to gather the raw products of the country which constituted that natural wealth for its alien rulers and their financial associates in Europe; to labour incessantly the whole year round at the muzzle of the rifle and at the end of the lash, for its foreign taskmasters.

3. The native population was additionally required to feed the native army; to supply carriers for it, carriers for transport of all kinds, labour for the whole processes involved in the handling and export of the vast quantity of raw products thus sweated out of the country; and to feed that labour.

The object of this System was simplicity itself. The purpose of its beneficiaries was to drain the Congo of its natural wealth, to expend a portion of the proceeds on the mechanism necessary to accomplish this—an army of "officials" paid on commission, a large native army, a large labour army, flotillas, armament, etc., and to retain the balance. The enterprise was, therefore, purely piratical, and so long as Leopold II. and his partners managed it, the principle upon which it was run was "After me the deluge." He and they were merely irresponsible plunderers. But for a responsible European Government to manage the Congo on that principle was suicidal. Every hour of its continuance meant that the Congo was becoming poorer, poorer by the frantic exploitation of its material resources, poorer, above all, by the terrific decrease in its population resulting from the enforcement of the System. The Belgian ruling classes were quite shrewd enough to appreciate this fact. But the abandonment of the System involved the loss of the revenues derived from its maintenance. If the Belgian State—henceforth responsible for the Government of the Congo—abandoned its claim to own the natural wealth of the Congo, and to force the native population to collect it in the name of "taxation," the revenue, of the Colony represented by that "taxation" disappeared. The natural wealth of the
country— the rubber, the ivory, the copal, and so on— ceased to be the raw material of revenue. It became once more the raw material of commerce, to be bought and sold against imported merchandise through the normal processes of trade. Revenue, hitherto derived from the direct sale in Europe of natural products obtained by force, could only be looked for in future from custom duties and licences on trade. Now this transformation meant, in any case, an immediate and enormous drop in the revenue. It meant, indeed, for a long period, the almost total elimination of revenue. To build up once again a legitimate trade of any substantial dimensions in that ravaged and shattered country vii might be a matter of a couple of generations. In other words, if the system were done away with, Belgium, instead of drawing money out of the Congo, would have to put money into the Congo. The Belgian tax-payer would have to replace the revenue hitherto supplied by the Congo slave, until the slave, once again a free man, had recovered sufficiently from his awful experiences to become a man of commerce and a trader once more. Now this was the difficulty which confronted Belgian Ministers. They had declined to face it, because to face it meant that the annexation of the Congo would have presented itself to the electorate as an unpopular proposition. The Belgian Government had not only declined to face the issue; it had flagrantly misled the country, pledging itself over and over again to the assertion that the Congo would make a paying proposition, and that the Belgian people in annexing it would be put to no expense. This committed Belgian Ministers to uphold the System, leaving it to their successors to deal with the ultimate consequences. After being the victims for sixteen years of King Leopold's rapacity, the natives of the Congo were to be sacrificed upon the altar of Belgian Party politics.

The reformers squared their shoulders and set to work grimly to handle the situation thus created. It was a singular one. The reformers now had a double task to perform. They had to break down the Belgian purpose and they had to maintain such pressure upon the Foreign Office and the Government generally as would make it impossible for the Government to give an official recognition to the Belgian annexation. As the price of his breach of faith with the House of Commons, Sir Edward Grey had secured an abundant crop of assurances from Belgian Ministers. They had positively and repeatedly promised him that an "immediate" improvement in the condition of the natives would follow the passage of the annexation Bill through the Chamber. Sir Edward Grey had taken note of these assurances in a despatch to the Belgian Government. In doing so he had reiterated that in the opinion of the British Government the Congo System was "a system of forced labour differing in name only from slavery." He had laid stress on the promise of an "immediate" change "without delay." Needless to say, no change whatever accompanied annexation. Indeed, some of the worst reports ever received from the Congo, especially from the British Consular Staff, were published by the Foreign Office during the months immediately following annexation. Consul Thesiger's indictment of the Kasai Concessionaire Company was particularly terrible, showing, as it did, that the most intelligent and physically finest of all the Congo races was being systematically exterminated. Confronted with the reports of his own Consuls, to say nothing of the unofficial testimony which the Congo Reform Association continued to forward to him; with the contumacy of the Belgian Government,
and with the growing exasperation of the country, Sir Edward Grey's attitude underwent one of those periodical stiffenings which seemed at the moment to promise a really vigorous move, but which had been precedently followed on every occasion by collapse. In November (1908) he wrote a strong despatch to the Belgian Government to the effect that His Majesty's Government considered it essential that "some immediate amelioration" in the treatment of the natives should be brought about. The occasion was timely. The Belgian Government had just introduced its first Congo "budget," in which it nakedly set forth the revenue anticipated from the so-called "forced labour" of the Congolese for the ensuing twelve months. Vandervelde, fresh from a voyage to the Congo, had denounced in the Chamber with passionate vehemence the condition of affairs there prevailing: "Your sixteen millions of revenue is produced solely by forced labour... Your budget reposes upon confiscation and forced labour... Your profits are made in blood and mud." A typical case of the operations of Congo "justice" had just occurred. A Belgian officer with the murder of 27 natives to his account, besides the burning of villages and the taking-of hostages, had been allowed to return home unmolested and had since been promoted.

As an instance of the thoroughgoing support given by the Congo Reform Association to the Foreign Secretary whenever the latter showed signs of an intention to grapple with Belgian Ministers, we at once organised a manifesto to strengthen his hands signed by 11 Peers, 77 Members of Parliament of all Parties, all the Lord Mayors and Lord Provosts, 30 Provincial Mayors, 13 Editors, the Presidents of the most important Chambers of Commerce in the country, 30 Bishops and leading divines of the Established Church, all the Free Church leaders, and a host of "unattached" distinguished people. "A manifestation of public opinion of a very unusual kind," the Times called it. What was the result? M. Renkin, the Belgian Colonial Minister, dismissed Sir Edward Grey's despatch with the contemptuous comment: "I maintain that the situation on the Congo is at the present moment satisfactory." Sir Edward Grey swallowed the affront and sank back into quiescence.

But the reformers made things pretty lively in the country, concentrating upon two main demands—the abandonment by the Belgian Government of its claim to own the natural products of the country, and a substantial vote of credit by the Belgian Parliament to the Congo as an indication that the abandonment was genuine. As the result of this vigorous public pressure, the Belgian Colonial Minister so far modified his attitude in a despatch to the British Government as to ask for more time in which to elaborate reforms, and set out for the Congo himself. He was followed in the early Spring of 1909 by Prince Albert—the present King of the Belgians—then heir to the throne. Just at this time the United States Government issued an outspoken despatch in which it took occasion to remind the Belgian Government that the "basis of the sovereignty" of the Congo Free State, which sovereignty Belgium had assumed, reposed upon the original treaties concluded by the International Association with the Congo Chiefs in 1883-4, thus showing that the United States Government rejected the plea constantly put forward by Belgian Ministers that the Congo was a matter of "internal Belgian politics." Sir Edward Grey had pointedly welcomed the co-operation
of the United States. The movement for reform — everywhere set on foot by the Congo Reform Association — was also making headway on the Continent as well as in America, An American Congo Reform Association had existed since the author's visit to the States in the autumn of 1904. Leagues with the same objects in view had been created in France and Switzerland. An International League for the defence of the natives of the Congo was now formed with M. Bjornsten-Bjomsen (Norway) as its titular President, and the author of this volume addressed meetings in Paris, the headquarters of the League, attended by a number of French deputies and literary men, amongst others, M. Anatole France, M George Brandes, the Danish historian, being also present. Once again there seemed some hope for the Congo natives.

And then came a bolt from the blue. In May (1909) the House of Commons was again debating the Congo question. Ever since the snub administered by the Belgian Colonial Minister to Sir Edward Grey's November (1908) despatch (Supra), indications of weakening on the part of the Foreign Office had grown steadily. Inspired paragraphs had appeared in the Press hinting at a forthcoming recognition of the annexation by Britain and European complications if the pursuit of reform by British public opinion were not dropped. There was a well authenticated belief that the Foreign Office contemplated submitting Britain's whole case to the Law Officers of the Crown!

The real facts of the matter were that the Foreign Office, irrespective of the Secretary of the Secret State — i.e., the diplomatic machine, had always been opposed to the reform movement. It was the traditional attitude. And it was about this time that Sir Edward Grey began to be more and more the servant of the machine, and not its master — the experience of all occupants of his post except men of really strong character like Lord Salisbury or to go farther back, Disraeli and Palmerston. The Foreign Office was sore and sick over Congo. Ever since the annexation, brought about under conditions which made diplomatic conflict with Belgium inevitable, the Foreign Office had been checkmated at every encounter by Rmg Leopold and his ministers. It had gone from defeat to

1 Al » lrrt(r date a similar League wae formed in Germany.
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defeat, for which it only had its own incompetence to thank. Throughout the entire controversy the Foreign Office had shown itself to be the worst "equipped information Bureau in Europe. Moreover, French diplomacy was pressing the Foreign Office hard to throw over the <Songo, for reasons perfectly well known to the w.f.w informed.

It was in these circumstances that the House met in 41. somewhat savage mood, and Sir Charles Dilke, wliora the whole House always followed on Congo matters, expressed the general view when he said: ' ' The state of affairs in the Congo, the dominion for which we are responsible, is tantamount to slavery. That, the Govern ment has declared, and I venture to suggest that no fears that we may conjure up, or any real reasonable fears as to the state of European relations, can affect the policy pursued by ourselves and the United States in this matter. It is impossible for us to submit to the opinion of the Law Officers of the Crown the great questions at issue that have been at stake. No doubt any matter of our own treaty rights may be submit-ted, but Uj submit the larger questions which have been put — the right to submit these to our own Law Officers of the Crown cannot for one moment be established."

Sir Edward Grey thereupon delivered a speech v/liich
was little less than an attempt to smash the reform movement. He wrung his hands, declared in so many words that he could do nothing, affected horror at the notion of isolated action under the Anglo-Congolese Treaty which the previous year he had declared he was prepared in certain contingencies to take, and asserted that, "rashly managed," the Congo question would lead to complications compared with which those of the "last few months (i.e., arising out of the Austrian annexation of Bosnia) would be child's play." This egregious would-be surrender of an official case, upheld for five years by successive British Governments, with full knowledge of the issues involved, had two immediate results.

King Leopold organised a great Congo demonstration at Antwerp — the emporium of the Red Rubber of the Congo — and there announced that Belgium would continue to enrich herself at the expense of the Congo as long as she